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## Preface

The Health Behaviors of South Dakotans 2019 serves as a way to measure health risks of South Dakotans.

The information used to develop the report came from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) initiated the BRFSS with help from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

The survey consists of questions aimed at tracking and trending prevalence of health behaviors and conditions over time.

The BRFSS is the world's largest telephone survey. The survey is administered to households with adults age 18 years or older.

The Office of Health Statistics edited and compiled data for this publication. This report contains as much information as practical from the survey.

For questions regarding The Health Behaviors of South Dakotans 2019, please contact:
Office of Health Statistics
615 East 4th Street
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-2536
Phone: (605) 773-3361
http://doh.sd.gov/Statistics/
National web site: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/

The following people were the main contributors to the development of this report:
Carrie Cushing Policy Data Analyst
Mark Gildemaster Coordinator of South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance
System
Daniel Bucheli Communications Director
Virginia Peterson Management Analyst
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## History

By the early 1980s, scientific research clearly showed that personal health behaviors played a major role in premature morbidity and mortality. The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) periodically used surveys to obtain national estimates of health risk behaviors among U.S. adult populations, but these data were not available on a state-specific basis. This deficiency was critical for state health agencies that have the primary role of targeting resources to reduce behavioral risks and their consequent illnesses.

About the same time as personal health behaviors received wider recognition in relation to chronic disease, morbidity and mortality, telephone surveys emerged as an acceptable method for determining the prevalence of many health risk behaviors among populations. In addition to their cost advantages, telephone surveys were especially desirable at the state and local level, where the necessary abilities and resources for conducting area probability sampling for inperson household interviews were likely unavailable.

As a result, surveys were developed and conducted to monitor state-level prevalence of the major behavioral risks associated with premature morbidity and mortality. The basic philosophy was to collect data on actual behaviors, rather than on attitudes or knowledge, which would be especially useful for planning, initiating, supporting, and evaluating health promotion and disease prevention programs. Data from the questionnaire provided health departments, public health offices, and policymakers with necessary behavioral information. When combined with mortality and morbidity statistics, these data enable public health officials to establish policies and priorities and to initiate and assess health promotion strategies.

In 1984, the creation of the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) began to collect prevalence data on risk behaviors and preventative health practices that affect health status. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed a standard core questionnaire for states to use to provide data that would be comparable with all states. Individual states could add questions to gather additional information on topics of specific interest to them. The South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) started the BRFSS in South Dakota in 1987 with the help of the CDC. By 1994, all states, the District of Columbia, and three territories were participating in the BRFSS.

## Purpose

- The main purpose of the BRFSS at the state level is for program support within the DOH. Every year, various health programs collaborate and plan the optional content of the survey to gather useful data. They are then able to use those data to determine priority health issues and identify populations at highest risk. This leads to effective program planning, initiation, support, and evaluation of health promotion and disease prevention programs.
- The DOH also uses BRFSS data to increase awareness and educate the public, the health community, and policymakers about health matters through responses to media inquiries, reports, and publications. Private and public health officials throughout South Dakota receive a copy of this report to aid program efforts in influencing public health issues.

The South Dakota Department of Health strategic plan includes goals that will be measured by key performance indicators. Two of these performance indicators use BRFSS data. They include:

- Increase the percentage of those without diabetes who have had a test for blood sugar or diabetes within the past 3 years from 51.4\% in 2018 to 59\% by 2025.
- Increase the percentage of adults ages 50-75 in South Dakota up-to-date with recommended colorectal cancer screening from 69\% in 2018 to 80\% by 2025.


## Report Description

This report includes several sections covering major indicators from the survey. The DOH has organized the sections in the following manner:

- A definition of the indicator is given.
- The prevalence of the indicator in South Dakota is given and the prevalence in the United States and D.C. is given if it is available.
- A time trend analysis for each indicator is given as far back as comparable data have been gathered. This includes a dashed trend line as well as the actual data results for each available year. Multiple years of data are very valuable not only for analyzing the trend of the indicator, but also help to show the variability in some indicators.
- A detailed demographic breakdown is included. This table is important because it can identify demographic subgroups at highest risk.
- Text explaining any demographic differences or associations with the given indicator is included. When a prevalence is indicated to be significantly different for different demographics, it simply means the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the given indicators do not overlap.
- Any additional data gathered on the given topic will then follow.

Table 1, on the next page, shows the estimated risk factor rates and the estimated number of persons in South Dakota who are at risk for the selected risk factors. The DOH based the estimated population at risk on 2019 population estimates from the U. S. Census Bureau.

Table 1
Estimated Percentage and Number of Persons at Risk Due to Selected Factors (Ages 18 and Older Unless Otherwise Specified): South Dakota BRFSS, 2019

| Topic | Estimated \% | Estimated Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body Mass Index - Overweight (BMI 25.0+) | 71\% | 473,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Obese (BMI 30.0+) | 33\% | 220,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Severely Obese (BMI 35.0+) | 13\% | 89,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Morbidly Obese (BMI 40.0+) | 5\% | 32,000 |
| Does Not Meet Physical Activity Recommendations | 54\% | 362,000 |
| Cigarette Smoking | 18\% | 122,000 |
| Smokeless Tobacco Use | 6\% | 43,000 |
| E-Cigarette Use | 5\% | 31,000 |
| Tobacco Use (Cigarette, Smokeless, or E-Cig) | 29\% | 191,000 |
| Not Heard About South Dakota Quitline for All Tobacco Use | 13\% | 90,000 |
| Diabetes | 11\% | 71,000 |
| No Health Insurance (18-64 Years Old) | 10\% | 49,000 |
| No Health Insurance (0-17 Years Old) | 2\% | 4,000 |
| No Health Insurance (0-64 Years Old) | 7\% | 53,000 |
| No Routine Check-Up in Past Two Years | 15\% | 99,000 |
| High Blood Pressure | 31\% | 206,000 |
| High Cholesterol | 28\% | 187,000 |
| No Flu Shot in Past 12 months (65+ Years Old) | 36\% | 55,000 |
| Never Had a Pneumonia Vaccination (65+ Years Old) | 27\% | 41,000 |
| No Tetanus Shot in Past Ten Years | 22\% | 146,000 |
| Ever Had a Heart Attack | 5\% | 32,000 |
| Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease | 4\% | 29,000 |
| Ever Had a Stroke | 3\% | 18,000 |
| Ever Been Diagnosed with Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer) | 7\% | 50,000 |
| Ever Been Diagnosed with Skin Cancer | 7\% | 44,000 |
| Current Asthma | 8\% | 56,000 |
| Arthritis | 27\% | 178,000 |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | 6\% | 39,000 |
| Depressive Disorder | 17\% | 115,000 |
| Professional Treatment for Mental Problem | 12\% | 80,000 |
| Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30 days | 8\% | 53,000 |
| Kidney Disease | 3\% | 19,000 |
| Severe Vision Impairment | 4\% | 26,000 |
| Hearing Difficulty | 8\% | 51,000 |
| Drank Alcohol in Past 30 Days | 59\% | 392,000 |
| Binge Drinking | 21\% | 142,000 |
| Heavy Drinking | 7\% | 46,000 |
| Taken Prescription Pain Medication in Past 12 Months | 15\% | 98,000 |
| Professional Treatment for Substance Abuse | 2\% | 15,000 |
| Not Currently Using Birth Control (18-49 Females) | 20\% | 34,000 |
| Fair/Poor Health Status | 16\% | 107,000 |
| Physical Health Not Good for 30 of the Past 30 days | 7\% | 45,000 |
| Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30 Days | 8\% | 54,000 |
| Increased Confusion/Memory Loss (45+ Years Old) | 10\% | 35,000 |
| Less Than Two Servings of Fruit per Day | 72\% | 478,000 |
| Less Than Three Servings of Vegetables per Day | 87\% | 581,000 |
| Less Than Five Servings of Fruits and Vegetables per Day | 87\% | 579,000 |
| No Advance Directive in Place | 72\% | 478,000 |
| Never Been Tested for HIV | 68\% | 456,000 |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019

Table 2 shows the topics covered on South Dakota's BRFSS each year from 2010 through 2019.

| Table 2Topics Covered on the South Dakota BRFSS, 2010-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topics | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Advanced Directive | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcohol Consumption | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Arthritis |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Asthma | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Birth Control | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body Mass Index | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Breast Cancer Screening |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Cancer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Cancer Survivorship |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular Disease | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Care Giving |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cervical Cancer Screening |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Cholesterol Awareness | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Cognitive Impairment | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Colorectal Cancer Screening |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Depressive Disorder | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Diabetes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Diabetes - Pre | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Disability (Physical, Mental, or Emotional) |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Emotional Support \& Life Satisfaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Falls |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Flu Shots | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Care Coverage and Access | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Care Coverage - Children | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Status / Healthy Days | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| "Healthy South Dakota" - Name Recognition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| Hearing Difficulty | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heart Attack - Knowledge of Signs and Symptoms |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| High Blood Pressure - Prevalence | X |  | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| High Blood Pressure - Actions to Control |  |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |
| HIV/AIDS | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| HPV |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Immunization - Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Influenza Like Illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Kidney Disease | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Lung Cancer Screening |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mental Health Treatment | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutrition/Fruits \& Vegetables | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Oral Health |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Oral Health - Children |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Physical Activity - Exercise Trips |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Activity - Hours Sitting per Day |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Activity - Leisure Time |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Physical Activity - Type and Amount of Time | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Physical, Mental, or Emotional Limitations |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Pneumonia Vaccination | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Prescription Pain Medication Use | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prostate Cancer Screening |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Salt Related Behavior |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Seat Belts |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Sexual Violence |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| Shingles Vaccination |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Topics Covered on the South Dakota BRFSS, 2010-2019

| Topics | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Sleep |  | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |  | X |
| Special Health Conditions - Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Stroke - Signs and Symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun Exposure / Skin Cancer |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X |
| Sweetened Beverages / Menu Labeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |
| Tetanus Shot | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Tobacco - Cigarette Use | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tobacco - E-Cigarette Use | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobacco - QuitLine Name Recognition | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| Tobacco - Second Hand Smoke | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tobacco - Smokeless | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| TV Viewing |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| Vision Impairment | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |
| Weight Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2010-2019

## Participating Agencies

The South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System is a combined effort between the South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The DOH contracted with Issues and Answers to collect the data through telephone interviews. However, the DOH continues to supervise the survey process, as well as design and distribute the report. The CDC provides financial and technical assistance, develops the questionnaire, designs the methodology, and processes the data.

## Method of Surveillance

This study uses a telephone survey rather than other survey methods because of its low cost, ease of administration in reaching respondents, and reliability. Telephone surveys are less representative of areas where a significant portion of the population does not have telephones. Cell phones were first called in 2011. Fifty-four percent of all surveys were completed via cell phone in 2019 with the intent to continue to increase this percentage in the coming years.

## Questionnaire Development

The BRFSS is designed to collect information on the health behaviors of adults over time. For the 2019 survey (Appendix B), standard demographic questions were included along with sections on general health status, physical and mental health, health insurance, hypertension, cholesterol, chronic health conditions, cardiovascular disease, tobacco use, alcohol use, physical activity and nutrition, immunization, and HIV/AIDS. South Dakota also added several state-specific questions to the end of the core questionnaire including secondhand smoke, name recognition of the South Dakota QuitLine, cancer, advance directives, family planning, e-cigarettes, prescription pain medication, substance abuse treatment, and children's health insurance.

## Accuracy of Survey Data

It is important to remember that the survey data are self-reported. Therefore, people may tend to report a more favorable lifestyle than actually practiced. The accuracy of self-reported data may also vary according to risk factors, i.e., self-reported smoking status is thought to be more accurate than self-reported eating habits. These limitations do not negate the survey's ability to identify high-risk groups and monitor long-term trends.

## Eligible Respondent Selection

Eligible respondents for the landline survey were individuals 18 years of age or over who resided a majority of the time at the household contacted. In households with more than one eligible respondent, a random selection was made to determine the actual respondent. Data included in the children's sections of this report were estimated based on responses from the adult respondent regarding a randomly selected child in the household. Automated prescreening was done to eliminate business phones and non-working numbers.

Eligible respondents for the cell phone survey were individuals 18 years of age or over who did not also have a landline phone or rarely used their landline phone.

## Data Collection Process

There were 6,630 interviews completed between January 1, 2019 and December 31, 2019, at an average of 553 interviews per month.

## Data Processing

The DOH sent the data electronically to the CDC. The CDC then supplied a final data file with applicable data weights and several calculated variables included. The DOH used this file to calculate all the data presented in this report.

## Weighting

Collecting data via telephone survey often produces an over-representation of certain demographic groups in the sample population. Therefore, the sample population may not be representative of the actual population. To account for this, the data are weighted to produce estimates that represent the actual population rather than the sample population.

## Sample Description

Survey interviewers collected demographic variables including age, gender, and race. Those interested can find a summary of the demographic results in a table displayed in Appendix A: Demographics.

Appendix A also summarizes the age, race/ethnicity, household income, education, employment status, marital status, phone status (landline v. cell), home ownership status, presence of children in the household, and pregnancy status of female respondents ages 18-44 years old.

## Completion Rate

Table 3 shows the outcome of all telephone calls. The 6,630 completed interviews represented a completion rate of 2.5 percent. The refusal rate was 6.5 percent.

## Table 3

Disposition of All Telephone Numbers in the Sample, 2019

| Final Outcome |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Completed interview | Number | Percent |
| Refused interview | 6,630 | $2.5 \%$ |
|  | 17,376 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Nonworking number |  |  |
| No answer (Multiple times) | 189,519 | $70.5 \%$ |
| Telephone answering service (Multiple times) | 20,518 | $7.6 \%$ |
| Fast busy/Line busy (Multiple times) | 13,284 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Not a private residence | 6,870 | $2.6 \%$ |
| No eligible respondent at this number | 6,851 | $2.5 \%$ |
| On never call list | 2,485 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Fax line | 1,521 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Language barrier | 1,412 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Physical/mental impairment | 624 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Interview terminated within questionnaire | 517 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Respondent not available during the interviewing period | 203 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Landline phone (Cell phone study) | 46 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Other | 13 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  | 1,142 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |
| Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019 |  |  |

## Overweight and Obese

## OVERWEIGHT OR OBESE

Definition: Overweight or obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 25.0 or above. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds, divided by their height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (Ib)/height (in) ${ }^{2}$ x 703.

## Prevalence of Overweight or Obese

- South Dakota 71\%
- Nationwide median 67\%

Figure 1
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Overweight or Obese Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2019


[^0]| Table 4South Dakotans Who Are Overweight or Obese, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 74\% | 72.6\% | 75.4\% |
|  | Female | 61\% | 59.1\% | 62.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 50\% | 47.4\% | 53.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 67\% | 64.1\% | 69.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 75\% | 72.3\% | 77.0\% |
|  | 50-59 | 76\% | 74.1\% | 77.8\% |
|  | 60-69 | 75\% | 73.7\% | 77.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 72\% | 70.0\% | 74.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 60\% | 56.0\% | 62.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 68\% | 66.5\% | 68.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 75\% | 70.8\% | 78.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 72\% | 61.6\% | 80.5\% |
|  | Hispanic | 69\% | 60.1\% | 76.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 67\% | 64.7\% | 68.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 71\% | 69.0\% | 72.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 69\% | 67.3\% | 70.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 68\% | 63.6\% | 71.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 68\% | 66.4\% | 70.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 67\% | 65.7\% | 69.2\% |
|  | College Graduate | 67\% | 65.3\% | 68.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 69\% | 67.2\% | 70.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 71\% | 68.6\% | 74.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 66\% | 60.1\% | 71.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 60\% | 54.7\% | 64.8\% |
|  | Student | 38\% | 32.9\% | 43.7\% |
|  | Retired | 71\% | 69.5\% | 72.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 73\% | 69.0\% | 77.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 72\% | 70.4\% | 72.8\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 70\% | 67.5\% | 72.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 64\% | 60.9\% | 66.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 57\% | 54.0\% | 59.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 71\% | 69.5\% | 71.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 62\% | 59.6\% | 64.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 64\% | 62.0\% | 66.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 56\% | 52.7\% | 58.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 69\% | 67.9\% | 70.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 67\% | 65.5\% | 68.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 55\% | 51.9\% | 57.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 67\% | 64.4\% | 69.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 65\% | 63.0\% | 67.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 64\% | 58.6\% | 68.9\% |
|  | Brown | 72\% | 69.2\% | 75.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 62\% | 57.1\% | 66.6\% |
|  | Codington | 69\% | 64.9\% | 72.3\% |
|  | Meade | 65\% | 59.2\% | 70.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 62\% | 57.8\% | 66.7\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of being overweight increases as age increases with a peak in the 50 s including significant increases as the 30s and 40 s are reached. After that, the prevalence of being overweight decreases as age increases with a significant decrease as the 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of being overweight, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of being overweight does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of being overweight does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, unemployed, retired, or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of being overweight, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of being overweight, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | Those adults with children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than those with no children. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of being overweight does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| County | Brown county demonstrates a very high prevalence of being overweight, while Pennington, Lincoln, Brookings, and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## OBESE

Definition: Obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 30.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2} \times 703$.

## Prevalence of Obesity

- South Dakota 33\%
- Nationwide median 32\%

Figure 2
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Obese Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 5South Dakotans Who Are Obese, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 33\% | 31.3\% | 34.1\% |
|  | Female | 29\% | 27.9\% | 30.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 19\% | 17.3\% | 21.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 33\% | 30.1\% | 35.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 37\% | 34.4\% | 39.8\% |
|  | 50-59 | 38\% | 35.9\% | 40.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 36\% | 33.7\% | 37.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 30\% | 28.2\% | 32.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 19\% | 16.6\% | 22.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 30\% | 29.1\% | 31.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 44\% | 39.6\% | 47.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 37\% | 28.5\% | 47.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 36\% | 28.1\% | 44.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 34\% | 31.8\% | 35.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 32\% | 29.9\% | 33.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 30\% | 28.2\% | 31.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 33\% | 28.7\% | 36.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 31\% | 29.4\% | 32.9\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 32\% | 30.2\% | 33.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 29\% | 27.6\% | 30.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 32\% | 30.7\% | 33.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 31\% | 27.9\% | 33.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 34\% | 28.9\% | 39.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 26\% | 22.1\% | 31.1\% |
|  | Student | 14\% | 11.1\% | 18.2\% |
|  | Retired | 30\% | 28.2\% | 31.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 46\% | 41.8\% | 50.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 33\% | 31.4\% | 33.8\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 34\% | 31.5\% | 37.0\% |
|  | Widowed | 27\% | 24.3\% | 29.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 26\% | 24.1\% | 28.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 32\% | 30.9\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 30\% | 27.7\% | 32.0\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 30\% | 27.6\% | 31.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 24\% | 21.8\% | 26.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 32\% | 30.7\% | 33.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 31\% | 29.3\% | 31.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 27\% | 24.4\% | 29.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 31\% | 28.9\% | 33.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 29\% | 26.8\% | 31.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 26\% | 22.3\% | 31.1\% |
|  | Brown | 35\% | 32.2\% | 38.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 24\% | 21.0\% | 27.5\% |
|  | Codington | 32\% | 29.2\% | 36.0\% |
|  | Meade | 26\% | 22.2\% | 30.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 25\% | 22.0\% | 29.3\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence obesity than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of obesity increases as age increases with a peak in the 50 s including a significant increase as the 30s are reached. After that, the prevalence of obesity decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of obesity decreases as household income increases. |
| Education | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of obesity, while those who are widowed or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to change based on home ownership. |
| Children Status | Those who live in a household with children demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of being obese than those who live in a household with no children. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to change based on phone status. |
| County | Minnehaha, Brown, and Codington counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity, while Pennington, Lincoln, Brookings, Meade, and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## SEVERELY OBESE

Definition: Severely obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 35.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2} \times 703$.

## Prevalence of Severe Obesity

- South Dakota 13\%
- There is no nationwide median for severely obese

Figure 3
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Severely Obese Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## Table 6

South Dakotans Who Are Severely Obese, 2015-2019

|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 12\% | 11.0\% | 12.9\% |
|  | Female | 13\% | 11.8\% | 13.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 8\% | 7.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 13\% | 11.5\% | 15.4\% |
|  | 40-49 | 15\% | 13.2\% | 17.0\% |
|  | 50-59 | 15\% | 13.5\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 14\% | 12.5\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 11\% | 9.2\% | 12.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 6\% | 4.9\% | 8.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 11.2\% | 12.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 18\% | 15.6\% | 21.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 16\% | 10.3\% | 24.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 14\% | 9.4\% | 20.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 15\% | 13.9\% | 16.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 13\% | 11.5\% | 14.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 10\% | 8.8\% | 11.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 14\% | 11.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 11.3\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 13\% | 11.7\% | 14.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 11\% | 10.0\% | 12.0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 13\% | 11.8\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 10\% | 8.7\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 16\% | 12.4\% | 20.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 11\% | 8.5\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Student | 5\% | 3.5\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Retired | 11\% | 9.8\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 25\% | 21.1\% | 28.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 12\% | 10.9\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 15\% | 13.5\% | 17.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 13\% | 10.6\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 12\% | 10.9\% | 14.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 12\% | 11.1\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 14\% | 12.7\% | 15.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 12\% | 10.4\% | 13.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 9.0\% | 12.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 14\% | 12.6\% | 14.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 12\% | 11.0\% | 12.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 12\% | 10.3\% | 13.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 12\% | 10.0\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 12\% | 10.5\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 10\% | 7.6\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Brown | 14\% | 12.2\% | 16.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 9\% | 7.0\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Codington | 14\% | 11.5\% | 16.5\% |
|  | Meade | 11\% | 8.5\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 5.7\% | 9.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of severe obesity does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of being severely obese increases as age increases until it <br> hits a peak in the 40s and 50s including a significant increase as the 30s are <br> reached. After that, the prevalence of being severely obese decreases as age <br> increases with significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of being severely <br> obese, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of being severely obese decreases as household income <br> Household <br> Income |
| increases. This includes a significant decrease as the $\$ 75,000+$ income group |  |
| is reached. |  |

## MORBIDLY OBESE

Definition: Morbidly obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 40.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (Ib)/height (in) ${ }^{2} x 703$.

## Prevalence of Morbid Obesity

- South Dakota 5\%
- There is no nationwide median for morbid obese

Figure 4
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Morbidly Obese, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 7 <br> South Dakotans Who Are Morbidly Obese, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Female | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 2.4\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 6\% | 4.4\% | 7.1\% |
|  | 40-49 | 5\% | 3.9\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.2\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 4.2\% | 5.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 2\% | 0.9\% | 2.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 3.9\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 7\% | 3.8\% | 12.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.4\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 4\% | 3.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.4\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.9\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Self-employed | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 6\% | 4.0\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 4\% | 2.9\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Retired | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.3\% | 14.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Rent Home | 6\% | 4.7\% | 6.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 3.5\% | 5.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 3.5\% | 5.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 3.6\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 2.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Brown | 6\% | 4.9\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Meade | 5\% | 3.2\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 2\% | 1.4\% | 3.2\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of morbid obesity seems to significantly increase and peak in the 30 s and then decreases as age increases after that. This includes a significant decrease as the 70s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to differ based on race or ethnicity. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of morbid obesity decreases as household income increases. This includes a significant decrease as the $\$ 75,000+$ income group is reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of morbid obesity, while those who are self-employed, a homemaker, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of morbid obesity, while those who are married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of morbid obesity than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of the adults being morbidly obese does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to change based on phone status. |
| County | Minnehaha, Brown, and Codington counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of morbid obesity, while Brookings and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Physical Activity and Nutrition

## PHYSICAL ACTIVITY RECOMMENDATIONS

Definition: South Dakotans who report participating in 150 minutes or more of aerobic physical activity per week.

## Prevalence of Meeting the Physical Activity Recommendations

- South Dakota 46\%
- Nationwide median 50\%

Figure 5
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Met Physical Activity Recommendations, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2014, 2016, or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 8 <br> South Dakotans Who Met Physical Activity Recommendations, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 48\% | 46.3\% | 50.3\% |
|  | Female | 52\% | 49.9\% | 53.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 51\% | 47.1\% | 54.5\% |
|  | 30-39 | 49\% | 45.7\% | 53.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 46\% | 42.3\% | 49.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 49\% | 46.3\% | 52.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 52\% | 49.5\% | 54.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 54\% | 50.3\% | 57.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 50\% | 45.1\% | 54.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 51\% | 49.3\% | 52.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 50\% | 44.6\% | 54.8\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 55\% | 42.6\% | 67.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 41\% | 30.8\% | 51.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$ 25,000 | 47\% | 44.1\% | 49.5\% |
|  | \$25,000-\$74,999 | 50\% | 47.9\% | 52.8\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 55\% | 52.8\% | 57.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 42\% | 36.2\% | 47.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 48\% | 45.5\% | 50.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 50\% | 47.8\% | 52.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 55\% | 53.0\% | 57.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 49\% | 46.8\% | 50.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 49\% | 44.6\% | 52.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 51\% | 42.7\% | 59.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 59\% | 51.8\% | 65.2\% |
|  | Student | 52\% | 45.2\% | 59.7\% |
|  | Retired | 55\% | 53.0\% | 57.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 35\% | 29.6\% | 40.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 51\% | 49.7\% | 53.2\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 47\% | 43.4\% | 50.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 51\% | 47.1\% | 54.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 48\% | 44.4\% | 51.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 51\% | 49.7\% | 52.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 46\% | 43.2\% | 49.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 51\% | 47.7\% | 53.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 48\% | 43.9\% | 51.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 50\% | 48.1\% | 52.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 50\% | 48.2\% | 51.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 44\% | 28.3\% | 60.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 54\% | 50.2\% | 57.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 49\% | 45.2\% | 52.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 53\% | 49.6\% | 56.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 53\% | 46.4\% | 59.5\% |
|  | Brown | 50\% | 46.1\% | 54.4\% |
|  | Brookings | 47\% | 41.6\% | 52.8\% |
|  | Codington | 46\% | 41.2\% | 50.6\% |
|  | Meade | 51\% | 44.8\% | 57.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 61\% | 54.8\% | 67.1\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to change as age <br> changes. |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to differ by <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Household | The prevalence of being physically active increases as household income <br> increases. |
| Income | The prevalence of being physically active increases as education levels <br> increase. This includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is <br> reached. |
| Education | Those who are unemployed, a homemaker, a student, or retired demonstrate <br> a very high prevalence of being physically active, while those who are unable <br> to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to differ by marital <br> status. |
| Status | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of being <br> physically active than those who rent their home. |
| Home | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to change based on <br> the presence of children in the household. |
| Children | The prevalence of being physically active does not seem to change based on <br> phone status. |
| Phone Status | Lawrence county demonstrates a very high prevalence of being physically <br> active, while Minnehaha, Brown, Brookings, and Codington counties show a <br> very low prevalence. |

## FIVE SERVINGS OF FRUITS AND VEGETABLES

Definition: South Dakotans who report they consume at least five servings of fruits and vegetables per day.

## Prevalence of Consuming at Least Five Servings of Fruits and Vegetables Per Day

- South Dakota 13\%
- There is no nationwide median for consuming five fruits and vegetables per day

Figure 6
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Five Servings of Fruits and Vegetables Per Day, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2012, 2014, 2016, or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 9 <br> South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Five Servings of Fruits and Vegetables Per Day, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 11\% | 9.3\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Female | 15\% | 13.5\% | 16.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 11\% | 8.4\% | 13.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 15\% | 12.5\% | 18.1\% |
|  | 40-49 | 14\% | 11.2\% | 16.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 13\% | 11.5\% | 15.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 11\% | 9.9\% | 13.0\% |
|  | 70-79 | 12\% | 9.8\% | 13.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 15\% | 12.0\% | 18.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 11.5\% | 13.3\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 14\% | 10.1\% | 17.9\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 16\% | 7.1\% | 31.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 13\% | 6.7\% | 22.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 13\% | 11.4\% | 15.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 11\% | 9.8\% | 12.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 14\% | 12.4\% | 16.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 15\% | 10.1\% | 20.5\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 10\% | 8.5\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 12\% | 10.5\% | 13.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 16\% | 14.9\% | 18.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 12\% | 11.0\% | 13.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 13\% | 10.4\% | 16.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 14\% | 9.6\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 16\% | 12.0\% | 20.9\% |
|  | Student | 12\% | 8.4\% | 18.0\% |
|  | Retired | 13\% | 11.4\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.1\% | 16.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 13\% | 11.8\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 13\% | 10.5\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 14\% | 11.4\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 12\% | 9.6\% | 14.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 13\% | 12.1\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 12\% | 9.8\% | 14.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 11.9\% | 16.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 8.8\% | 14.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 12\% | 10.8\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 13\% | 11.8\% | 14.3\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 7.4\% | 25.6\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 15\% | 12.3\% | 17.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 11\% | 8.7\% | 13.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 14\% | 12.3\% | 17.0\% |
|  | Lincoln | 11\% | 7.5\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Brown | 13\% | 10.0\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 12\% | 8.8\% | 15.4\% |
|  | Codington | 13\% | 10.4\% | 16.5\% |
|  | Meade | 15\% | 9.6\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 12\% | 7.9\% | 17.7\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

## Gender

Age

Race/ There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference in the prevalence of eating Ethnicity

Household Income

Education

## Employment

Marital
Status
Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of eating five or more fruits and vegetables a day regarding phone status.

County There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of eating five or more fruits and vegetables a day regarding the eight available counties.

Definition: South Dakotans who report they consume at least two servings of fruits per day.

## Prevalence of Consuming at Least Two Servings of Fruits Per Day

- South Dakota 28\%
- There is no nationwide median for two servings of fruits per day

Figure 7
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Two Servings of Fruit Per Day, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2012, 2014, 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 10 <br> South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Two Servings of Fruits Per Day, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 23\% | 21.5\% | 25.0\% |
|  | Female | 31\% | 29.1\% | 32.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 24\% | 20.8\% | 27.5\% |
|  | 30-39 | 27\% | 24.2\% | 30.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 24\% | 21.2\% | 27.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 26\% | 23.7\% | 28.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 26\% | 24.3\% | 28.7\% |
|  | 70-79 | 32\% | 29.3\% | 35.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 40\% | 35.4\% | 44.2\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 27\% | 25.8\% | 28.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 27\% | 22.8\% | 30.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 20\% | 11.2\% | 33.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 33\% | 22.6\% | 44.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 29\% | 26.3\% | 31.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 25\% | 22.9\% | 27.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 28\% | 25.3\% | 29.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 25\% | 20.0\% | 31.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 23\% | 20.9\% | 24.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 27\% | 25.3\% | 29.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 32\% | 30.2\% | 34.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 25\% | 22.9\% | 26.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 27\% | 23.5\% | 31.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 29\% | 21.7\% | 37.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 33\% | 27.3\% | 38.9\% |
|  | Student | 25\% | 19.4\% | 32.0\% |
|  | Retired | 33\% | 30.6\% | 34.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 27\% | 22.5\% | 32.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 27\% | 25.8\% | 28.8\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 24\% | 21.5\% | 27.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 36\% | 32.9\% | 40.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 25\% | 22.0\% | 28.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 28\% | 26.2\% | 28.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 26\% | 23.1\% | 28.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 27\% | 24.0\% | 29.4\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 24\% | 20.7\% | 27.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 29\% | 27.0\% | 30.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 26\% | 24.7\% | 27.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 26\% | 16.0\% | 39.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 28\% | 25.2\% | 31.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 26\% | 23.2\% | 29.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 27\% | 24.4\% | 30.0\% |
|  | Lincoln | 26\% | 21.0\% | 32.6\% |
|  | Brown | 27\% | 23.6\% | 30.9\% |
|  | Brookings | 28\% | 22.9\% | 32.9\% |
|  | Codington | 29\% | 24.7\% | 33.2\% |
|  | Meade | 28\% | 22.3\% | 35.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 23\% | 17.8\% | 28.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of eating at least two <br> servings of fruit per day than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day generally <br> increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 70s <br> and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on race/ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on household income. |
| Income | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on education. |
| Education | Those who are a homemaker or retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of <br> eating at least two servings of fruit per day, while those who are employed for <br> wages show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment | Those who are widowed exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of eating at <br> least two servings of fruit per day than all other types of marital status. |
| Marital | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on home ownership. |
| Home | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Ownership | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on phone status. |
| Children | The prevalence of eating at least two servings of fruit per day does not seem <br> to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| Phene Status | There seems to be no difference among the eight available counties regarding <br> eating at least two servings of fruit per day. |
| County |  |

## THREE SERVINGS OF VEGETABLES PER DAY

Definition: South Dakotans who report they consume at least three servings of vegetables per day.

## Prevalence of Consuming at Least Three Servings of Vegetables Per Day

- South Dakota 13\%
- There is no nationwide median for consuming three servings of vegetables per day

Figure 8
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Three Servings of Vegetables Per Day, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2012, 2014, 2016, or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 11South Dakotans Who Reported Consuming at Least Three Servings of Vegetables Per Day,2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 11\% | 9.7\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Female | 14\% | 12.6\% | 15.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 11\% | 8.6\% | 13.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 15\% | 12.1\% | 17.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 15\% | 12.9\% | 18.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 13\% | 10.7\% | 14.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 11\% | 9.3\% | 12.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 10\% | 8.4\% | 13.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 12\% | 8.9\% | 14.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 11.1\% | 12.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 15\% | 10.4\% | 20.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 20\% | 10.4\% | 35.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 11\% | 5.7\% | 20.6\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$ 35,000 | 12\% | 10.3\% | 14.3\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 10\% | 9.0\% | 11.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 15\% | 13.2\% | 17.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 14\% | 10.0\% | 19.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 9.5\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 11\% | 10.0\% | 13.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 15\% | 13.3\% | 16.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 12\% | 10.9\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 13\% | 10.4\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 13\% | 9.3\% | 19.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 18\% | 13.0\% | 23.3\% |
|  | Student | 12\% | 8.6\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Retired | 11\% | 9.7\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 13\% | 9.1\% | 18.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 13\% | 11.9\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 11\% | 8.9\% | 13.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 12\% | 9.4\% | 14.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 12\% | 9.5\% | 14.5\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 13\% | 11.8\% | 13.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 12\% | 9.6\% | 14.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 13\% | 11.1\% | 15.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 13\% | 10.0\% | 15.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 12\% | 10.3\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 13\% | 11.6\% | 14.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 3.3\% | 18.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 12.1\% | 17.0\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 11\% | 9.0\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 13\% | 11.1\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Lincoln | 10\% | 6.6\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Brown | 11\% | 8.7\% | 14.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 10\% | 7.5\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Codington | 13\% | 9.7\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Meade | 16\% | 10.7\% | 23.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 11\% | 7.7\% | 14.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to change as age changes. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to change as education changes. |
| Employment | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on employment. |
| Marital Status | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on marital status. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on home ownership. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of eating at least three servings of vegetables per day does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | There seems to be no difference regarding eating at least three servings of vegetables per day among the eight counties with sufficient sample size. |

## Tobacco Use

## CIGARETTE SMOKING

Definition: South Dakotans who report having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and now smoke every day or smoke some days.

## Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking

- South Dakota 18\%
- Nationwide median 16\%

Figure 9
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

Age

Race/ Ethnicity

Household Income

Education The prevalence of cigarette smoking decreases as education levels increase with significant decreases at each level.

Employment Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while those who are a student or retired show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence.

Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of cigarette smoking than those who own their home.

The prevalence of cigarette smoking in the adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of cigarette smoking than those who primarily use a landline phone.

The prevalence of cigarette smoking does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status.

Minnehaha, Pennington, and Codington counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while Lincoln county shows a very low prevalence.

In 2018-2019, 51 percent of South Dakotans tried to stop smoking for one day or longer because they were trying to quit smoking as shown below in Table 13.

| Table 13 <br> South Dakotans Who Tried to Stop Smoking, Within the Past <br> 12 Months, for One Day or Longer, Because They Were Trying <br> to Quit Smoking, 2011-2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey Year | Percent |
| $2018-2019$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2017-2018$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2016-2017$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2015-2016$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2014-2015$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2013-2014$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | $56 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019
Figure 10, below, shows the percentage of smokers who have been advised to quit smoking in the past 12 months by a health professional. In 2017-2019, 70 percent of South Dakotans had been advised to quit smoking by a health professional.

Figure 10
Percentage of Smokers Who Have Been Advised by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional to Quit Smoking in the Past 12 Months, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

Figure 11, below, shows South Dakotans' place of work official smoking policy for work areas. The majority of South Dakotans for all five years stated that smoking was not allowed in any work areas.

Figure 11
South Dakotans' Place of Work Smoking Policy, 2015-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

Figure 12, below, shows South Dakotans' rules about smoking inside their homes. The majority of South Dakotans for all five years stated that smoking was not allowed anywhere in their homes.

Figure 12
South Dakotans' Rules About Smoking Inside the Home, 2015-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## SMOKELESS TOBACCO

Definition: South Dakotans who report that they use chewing tobacco or snuff every day or some days.

## Prevalence of Smokeless Tobacco

- South Dakota 6\%
- Nationwide median 4\%

Figure 13
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Use Smokeless Tobacco, 2011-2019


Table 14
South Dakotans Who Use Smokeless Tobacco, 2015-2019

|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 12\% | 10.8\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Female | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 9\% | 7.9\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 4.7\% | 6.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 2\% | 0.9\% | 3.2\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.5\% | 6.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 10\% | 7.7\% | 12.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 6.9\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.3\% | 10.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.0\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 6.7\% | 8.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.6\% | 7.5\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 6.3\% | 10.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.5\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 5.7\% | 7.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 8\% | 6.9\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 9\% | 6.2\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 1\% | 0.5\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Student | 4\% | 2.9\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Retired | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.2\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 7.0\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 3\% | 1.8\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.4\% | 9.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.9\% | 10.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.5\% | 7.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 6\% | 4.4\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 6\% | 3.7\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 4.0\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 6\% | 4.1\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 4.5\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 6.4\% | 12.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 4.7\% | 9.3\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of smokeless tobacco use than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use generally decreases as age increases including significant decreases as the 50 s and 60 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to differ by household income. |
| Education | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use decreases as education levels increase with a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or unemployed demonstrate a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while those who are a homemaker, retired, or unable to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to differ by home ownership status. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use in the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of smokeless tobacco use than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Residents of Meade county exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while residents of Minnehaha county show a very low prevalence. |

Figure 14 shows the percentage of South Dakotans whose doctor, nurse, or other health professional advised them to stop using smokeless tobacco. Less than half, 47 percent, of South Dakotans stated they were advised to quit using smokeless tobacco by a health professional.

Figure 14
Percentage of South Dakotans Advised to Quit Using Smokeless Tobacco by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## E-CIGARETTE SMOKING

Definition: South Dakotans who currently use electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes).

## Prevalence of E-Cigarette Use

- South Dakota 5\%
- There is no nationwide median for electronic cigarette use

Figure 15
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke E-Cigarettes, 2016-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Table 15South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke E-Cigarettes, 2016-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 11\% | 8.6\% | 12.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 5\% | 3.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 3\% | 1.8\% | 3.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.9\% | 10.3\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 3.6\% | 21.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.3\% | 11.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 4.4\% | 7.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.0\% | 5.2\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 4.7\% | 11.5\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.9\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 2.6\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 7\% | 4.1\% | 12.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 2\% | 0.8\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Student | 11\% | 6.8\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Retired | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 6\% | 3.6\% | 8.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 1\% | 0.4\% | 2.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 9\% | 6.9\% | 10.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 5.9\% | 9.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 3.7\% | 6.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 8.3\% | 12.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 0.9\% | 28.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 4.2\% | 7.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 3.2\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.4\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 3.1\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 4.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Codington | 4\% | 2.2\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Meade | 5\% | 2.7\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 2.1\% | 7.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Gender | There seems to be no gender difference regarding e-cigarette use. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | E-cigarette use decreases as age increases. This includes a significant <br> decrease as the 30s and 60s are reached. |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference regarding e-cigarette use. |
| Household <br> Income | The prevalence of e-cigarette use decreases as household income increases. |
| Education | E-cigarette use decreases as education increases. This includes a significant <br> decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unemployed, a student, or unable to work show a very high <br> prevalence of e-cigarette use, while those who are retired show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of e- <br> cigarette use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of e- <br> cigarette use than those who own their home. |
| Home | Those adults who live in a household with no children exhibit a significantly <br> higher prevalence of e-cigarette use than those who live in a household with <br> children. |
| Children |  |
| Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher <br> prevalence of e-cigarette use than those who primarily use a landline. |
| Pregnancy | The prevalence of e-cigarette use does not seem to differ based on <br> pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of e-cigarette use does not seem to differ among the counties <br> available for analysis. |

## TOBACCO USE

Definition: South Dakotans who currently smoke cigarettes, use smokeless tobacco, or use E-cigarettes.

## Prevalence of Tobacco Use

- South Dakota 29\%
- There is no nationwide median for tobacco use

Figure 16
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, Use Smokeless
Tobacco, or Use E-Cigarettes, 2016-2019


[^1]| Table 16South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, Use Smokeless Tobacco, or Use E-Cigarettes, $2016-2019$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 33\% | 31.5\% | 34.8\% |
|  | Female | 19\% | 17.9\% | 20.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 33\% | 30.2\% | 36.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 36\% | 32.5\% | 38.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 30\% | 27.3\% | 33.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 26\% | 24.3\% | 28.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 18\% | 16.6\% | 20.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.1\% | 15.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 5\% | 3.9\% | 7.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 24\% | 22.5\% | 24.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 51\% | 46.4\% | 55.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 55\% | 43.0\% | 65.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 28\% | 20.4\% | 36.8\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 35\% | 32.9\% | 37.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 27\% | 25.4\% | 29.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 17\% | 15.0\% | 18.4\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 42\% | 36.9\% | 46.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 32\% | 30.0\% | 34.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 27\% | 24.8\% | 28.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 12\% | 10.9\% | 13.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 29\% | 27.7\% | 31.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 26\% | 22.7\% | 28.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 43\% | 36.6\% | 49.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 26\% | 20.8\% | 32.1\% |
|  | Student | 23\% | 17.7\% | 29.5\% |
|  | Retired | 13\% | 11.4\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 38\% | 33.4\% | 42.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 20\% | 19.1\% | 21.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 42\% | 38.3\% | 44.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 18\% | 14.8\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Never Married | 35\% | 32.5\% | 38.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 22\% | 20.6\% | 22.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 39\% | 36.8\% | 42.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 34\% | 31.3\% | 36.4\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 34\% | 30.9\% | 37.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 20\% | 18.2\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 29\% | 27.2\% | 30.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 15\% | 6.9\% | 29.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 25\% | 22.0\% | 27.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 25\% | 22.5\% | 28.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 27\% | 24.0\% | 29.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 16\% | 11.6\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Brown | 27\% | 23.5\% | 31.5\% |
|  | Brookings | 25\% | 19.5\% | 31.0\% |
|  | Codington | 24\% | 20.5\% | 28.6\% |
|  | Meade | 30\% | 23.5\% | 36.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 23\% | 18.7\% | 28.8\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of tobacco use than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Tobacco use peaks with those in their 30s and then decreases as age increases. This includes significant decreases as the 60s, 70 s , and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high prevalence of tobacco use, while whites and Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | Tobacco use decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ income groups are reached. |
| Education | Tobacco use decreases as education levels increase. This includes significant decreases at every level. |
| Employment | Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of tobacco use, while those who are retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of tobacco use, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of tobacco use than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of tobacco use by the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of tobacco use than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| County | Residents of Minnehaha, Pennington, Brown, and Meade counties all exhibit a very high prevalence of tobacco use, while Lincoln county shows a very low prevalence. |

## QUITLINE PROGRAM

Definition: South Dakotans who have heard of the South Dakota QuitLine program that offers free services designed to help a person quit tobacco.

## Prevalence of South Dakotans Who Have Heard of QuitLine

- South Dakota 87\%
- There is no nationwide median for the QuitLine program

Figure 17
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Heard of the South Dakota QuitLine Program, 2013-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine peaks with those in their 30s and decreases as age increases after that. This includes significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites exhibit a very high prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine, while Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine increases as household income increases. This includes a significant increase as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ income group is reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the high school and some post high school levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages or a homemaker demonstrate a very high prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine, while those who are unemployed, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine regarding home ownership status. |
| Children Status | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine regarding the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine regarding pregnancy status. |
| County | Residents of Minnehaha, Pennington, and Meade counties exhibit a very high prevalence of those who have heard of the QuitLine, while residents of Brookings county show a very low prevalence. |

## Diabetes

Definition: South Dakotans ever told by a doctor that they have diabetes, excluding women who were told this while they were pregnant.

## Prevalence of Diabetes

- South Dakota 11\%
- Nationwide median 11\%

Figure 18
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Diabetes, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 18 <br> South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Diabetes, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 10\% | 9.6\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.2\% | 9.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 5.9\% | 8.8\% |
|  | 50-59 | 11\% | 9.6\% | 12.2\% |
|  | 60-69 | 17\% | 15.7\% | 18.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 23\% | 20.5\% | 24.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 19\% | 16.4\% | 22.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 8.6\% | 9.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 17\% | 14.7\% | 19.9\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.5\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 11\% | 7.1\% | 17.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 14\% | 13.0\% | 15.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 15\% | 12.3\% | 18.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 9.9\% | 11.9\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 9\% | 8.0\% | 9.7\% |
|  | College Graduate | 7\% | 6.5\% | 7.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 6\% | 5.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 10\% | 7.5\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 9\% | 6.5\% | 12.3\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Retired | 21\% | 19.1\% | 22.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 25\% | 21.6\% | 28.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 9\% | 8.6\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 14\% | 12.3\% | 15.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 19\% | 16.8\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Never Married | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 10\% | 9.8\% | 11.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 9\% | 7.7\% | 9.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.0\% | 3.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 14\% | 13.3\% | 15.4\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 8\% | 7.1\% | 8.3\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 0.5\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.1\% | 4.0\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 8.1\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Lincoln | 7\% | 5.0\% | 10.7\% |
|  | Brown | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 6\% | 4.9\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Codington | 9\% | 7.1\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Meade | 8\% | 6.4\% | 10.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 9\% | 7.2\% | 11.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | There seems to be no gender difference regarding the prevalence of diabetes. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of diabetes generally increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the $40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}$, and 60 s are reached with it peaking in the 70s. |
| Race/Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of diabetes than whites and Hispanics. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of diabetes decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of diabetes decreases as education levels increase. This includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of diabetes, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of diabetes, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of diabetes regarding home ownership. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of diabetes among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of diabetes than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of diabetes does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Pennington, Brown, and Meade counties all demonstrate a very high prevalence of diabetes, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence. |

Figure 19, below, displays the percentage of South Dakotans who had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past three years. Most South Dakotans stated that they had a blood sugar or diabetes test within the past three years.

Figure 19


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019
Figure 20, below, displays the diabetic status of all South Dakotans for the past five years. Most South Dakotans for all years stated that they have never been diagnosed with any type of diabetes.

Figure 20
South Dakotans' Diabetic Status, 2015-2019


[^2]
## Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, or COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis?"

## Prevalence of COPD

- South Dakota 6\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 21
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have COPD, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

Gender There is no significant gender difference regarding the prevalence of COPD.

| Age | The prevalence of COPD generally increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 50 s and 70 s are reached. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | The prevalence of COPD does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of COPD decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of COPD decreases as education levels increase. This includes significant decreases at each level. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of COPD, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of COPD, while those who have never been married or are married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of COPD than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of COPD among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of COPD than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | Females who are not pregnant demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of COPD than females who are pregnant. |
| County | Pennington, Brown, Meade, and Lawrence counties exhibit a very high prevalence of COPD, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence. |

## Health Insurance

## HEALTH INSURANCE (ADULT)

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 18-64, who do not have health insurance, prepaid plans such as health maintenance organizations (HMOs), or government plans such as Medicare or Indian Health Service.

## Prevalence of No Health Insurance

- South Dakota 10\%
- There is no nationwide median for no health insurance

Figure 22
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 20 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 9\% | 8.1\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 6.7\% | 8.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 12\% | 9.6\% | 14.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 10\% | 8.2\% | 11.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 9\% | 6.9\% | 10.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 3.4\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | - | - | - |
|  | 80+ | - | - | - |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 2.1\% | 7.1\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 18\% | 9.1\% | 31.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 25\% | 17.2\% | 34.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 16\% | 14.4\% | 18.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 21\% | 16.1\% | 26.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 10.9\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 5.7\% | 7.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 6.2\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Self-employed | 13\% | 10.4\% | 15.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 27\% | 21.3\% | 33.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 11\% | 7.9\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Student | 3\% | 1.8\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Retired | 3\% | 1.8\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 8\% | 5.4\% | 11.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.3\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 15\% | 12.4\% | 18.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 9\% | 5.6\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 13\% | 11.2\% | 15.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.8\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Rent Home | 16\% | 14.2\% | 18.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 13\% | 10.5\% | 14.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 9\% | 8.5\% | 10.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 2.5\% | 23.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 7.9\% | 11.5\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 10\% | 8.0\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 10\% | 8.3\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 2.2\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Brown | 9\% | 6.2\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 6\% | 3.8\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 3.5\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Meade | 10\% | 7.1\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 13\% | 9.6\% | 17.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | There seems to be no gender difference regarding health insurance status. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of being uninsured decreases as age increases. |
| Race/ | American Indian/Whites and Hispanics demonstrate a very high prevalence <br> of being uninsured, while American Indians show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of being uninsured decreases as household income <br> increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and <br> Household <br> Income |
| Ed5,000+ income groups are reached. |  |

As shown in Table 21 below, employer based coverage was the most common type of health insurance reported by South Dakotans for the past nine years. The second most common was insurance through a private plan.

| Table 21 <br> Type of Health Insurance, Ages 18-64, 2011-2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Number of Respondents | 4,332 | 5,147 | 4,216 | 4,387 | 4,043 | 3,258 | 3,772 | 3,806 | 3,443 |
| Type of Health Insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Based Coverage | 57\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 60\% | 58\% | 59\% | 56\% | 57\% |
| Private Plan | 12\% | 11\% | 12\% | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| Military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or VA | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| The Indian Health Service | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Medicare | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Medicaid or Medical Assistance | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Some Other Source | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| None | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019
Table 22, below, displays how long it has been since South Dakotans had a routine checkup and whether they had health insurance. The majority of insured South Dakotans, 69 percent, stated they had a routine checkup within the past year, while only 35 percent of uninsured South Dakotans had a routine checkup within the past year.

The percent of uninsured South Dakotans who stated that they had a routine checkup five or more years ago was 32 percent while only nine percent of South Dakotans with health insurance had a routine checkup five or more years ago.

| Table 22 <br> How Long Since South Dakotans Last Visited a Doctor for a <br> Routine Checkup, 2013-2019 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Insurance | No Health Insurance |
| Within the past year | $69 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Within the past 2 years | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Within the past 5 years | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 5 or more years ago | $9 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Never | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota of Department Health, 2013-2019

Figure 23, below, shows the percentage of South Dakotans, ages $18-64$, who were asked if there was a time in the past 12 months when they needed to see a doctor but could not because of the cost. Forty percent of South Dakotans without health insurance answered yes to this question.

Figure 23
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Because of the Cost, 2013-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2019

Table 23, below, shows the percentage of South Dakota males who had not had a routine checkup in the past two years and the reason why. Fifty-seven percent of South Dakota males, ages $18-39$, reported they had not had a routine checkup in the past two years because they had not been sick, rarely get sick, or there was a low need to seek medical services.

| Table 23 <br> South Dakota Males, Ages 18-64, Who Had Not Had a Routine Health <br> Check-up in the Past Two Years, 2018-2019 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  |  |  |
|  | Males Only |  |  |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 8 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 6 9}$ |
| Not sick/Rarely get sick/Low perceived need to seek medical services | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Other priorities/Too busy | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Can't afford it | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Just haven't thought of it | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Do not have health insurance | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

[^3]
## CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE

Definition: South Dakota children, ages 0-17, who do not have health insurance, prepaid plans such as health maintenance organizations (HMOs), or government plans such as Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), or Indian Health Service (IHS).

## Prevalence of No Health Insurance

- South Dakota 2\%
- There is no nationwide median for no children's health insurance

Figure 24
Percentage of South Dakota Children, Ages 0-17, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

## Gender

Age The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by age.
Race/ The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by race/ethnicity.

Household Income

Home Ownership

Phone Status

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to change as household income changes.

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by home ownership status.

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by phone status.

County Pennington, Meade, and Lawrence counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of uninsured children, while Lincoln and Brown counties show a very low prevalence.

Table 25, below, shows the different types of health coverage for children, ages $0-17$. The main type of health care coverage for the past nine years was employer based coverage. Medicaid, CHIP, or medical assistance coverage was the second most common type of health coverage.

Table 25
Different Types of Health Coverage for South Dakota Children, Ages 17 and Under, 2011-2019

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}-$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Based Coverage | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
| Medicaid, CHIP, or Medical Assistance | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Private Plan | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| The Indian Health Service | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| The Military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or VA | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Medicare | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Some Other Source | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ |
| None | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## ROUTINE CHECKUP

Definition: South Dakotans who have visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past two years. A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness, or condition.

## Prevalence of Routine Checkup

- South Dakota 85\%
- There is no nationwide median for routine checkups

Figure 25
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Had a Routine Checkup Within the Past Two Years, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

Table 26
South Dakotans Who Have Had a Routine Checkup Within the Past Two Years, 2015-2019

|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 77\% | 75.6\% | 78.2\% |
|  | Female | 88\% | 87.3\% | 89.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 75\% | 72.6\% | 77.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 73\% | 70.8\% | 75.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 81\% | 79.2\% | 83.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 85\% | 83.2\% | 86.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 90\% | 88.4\% | 91.0\% |
|  | 70-79 | 95\% | 92.9\% | 95.9\% |
|  | 80+ | 94\% | 92.0\% | 95.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 83\% | 82.4\% | 84.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 83\% | 79.7\% | 86.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 69\% | 57.9\% | 77.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 73\% | 65.1\% | 80.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 81\% | 78.8\% | 82.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 82\% | 80.2\% | 83.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 86\% | 84.3\% | 87.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 78\% | 73.4\% | 81.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 81\% | 79.3\% | 82.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 83\% | 81.5\% | 84.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 86\% | 85.0\% | 87.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 80\% | 79.0\% | 81.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 74\% | 70.6\% | 76.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 75\% | 68.8\% | 80.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 84\% | 79.7\% | 87.7\% |
|  | Student | 84\% | 78.9\% | 87.7\% |
|  | Retired | 94\% | 93.1\% | 95.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 89\% | 85.6\% | 91.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 85\% | 83.5\% | 85.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 81\% | 78.3\% | 83.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 91\% | 88.7\% | 93.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 76\% | 73.9\% | 78.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 85\% | 83.9\% | 85.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 77\% | 74.5\% | 78.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 76\% | 74.4\% | 78.4\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 74\% | 71.1\% | 76.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 87\% | 86.0\% | 88.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 81\% | 79.6\% | 81.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 83\% | 68.9\% | 90.9\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 84\% | 82.2\% | 86.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 82\% | 79.9\% | 84.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 80\% | 78.1\% | 82.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 88\% | 84.3\% | 91.0\% |
|  | Brown | 86\% | 82.9\% | 87.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 85\% | 81.1\% | 88.0\% |
|  | Codington | 84\% | 80.9\% | 86.8\% |
|  | Meade | 80\% | 75.6\% | 83.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 77\% | 73.4\% | 80.7\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

Gender Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup than males.

Age The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup is highest for those in their 70s and 80 s .
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Race/ } & \text { Whites and American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of } \\ \text { Ethnicity } & \text { obtaining routine checkups, while American Indian/whites and Hispanics show }\end{array}$ a very low prevalence.

| Household | The prevalence of obtaining routine checkups increases as household income <br> increases. This includes a significant increase when the $\$ 75,000+$ household <br> income level is reached. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Education | The prevalence of obtaining routine checkups increases as education <br> increases. This includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is <br> reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of obtaining a <br> routine checkup while those who are self-employed or unemployed show a | very low prevalence.

Marital
Status

Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup does not seem to change Status

County Residents of Lincoln, Brown, Brookings, and Codington counties exhibit a very high prevalence of obtaining routine checkups, while those in Pennington, Meade, and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence.

## Hypertension and Cholesterol

## HYPERTENSION

Definition: South Dakotans who report they have been told by a health professional their blood pressure is high.

## Prevalence of Hypertension

- South Dakota 31\%
- Nationwide median $32 \%$

Figure 26
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Hypertension, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 27South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Hypertension, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 33\% | 31.7\% | 35.2\% |
|  | Female | 28\% | 26.3\% | 29.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 8\% | 6.2\% | 9.8\% |
|  | 30-39 | 15\% | 12.5\% | 17.4\% |
|  | 40-49 | 25\% | 21.7\% | 27.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 35\% | 32.8\% | 38.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 50\% | 46.9\% | 52.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | 60\% | 56.7\% | 63.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 61\% | 56.3\% | 65.1\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 31\% | 30.0\% | 32.3\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 32\% | 28.3\% | 36.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 23\% | 15.4\% | 34.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 22\% | 14.9\% | 32.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$ 35,000 | 34\% | 32.0\% | 36.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 32\% | 29.9\% | 34.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 25\% | 22.7\% | 26.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 35\% | 30.8\% | 40.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 33\% | 30.9\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 29\% | 27.6\% | 31.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 27\% | 25.6\% | 29.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 24\% | 22.3\% | 25.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 26\% | 23.3\% | 29.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 28\% | 21.8\% | 34.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 23\% | 18.8\% | 28.4\% |
|  | Student | 6\% | 3.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Retired | 58\% | 56.1\% | 60.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 44\% | 39.1\% | 49.6\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 32\% | 30.2\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 36\% | 33.2\% | 39.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 58\% | 54.5\% | 61.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 17\% | 14.5\% | 18.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 35\% | 33.2\% | 35.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 22\% | 19.6\% | 23.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 12.1\% | 16.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 8.9\% | 13.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 41\% | 38.8\% | 42.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 26\% | 24.9\% | 27.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 2.4\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.6\% | 9.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 28\% | 25.4\% | 31.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 33\% | 30.4\% | 36.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 30\% | 24.1\% | 35.8\% |
|  | Brown | 30\% | 27.0\% | 33.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 20\% | 17.0\% | 23.0\% |
|  | Codington | 30\% | 26.8\% | 34.4\% |
|  | Meade | 32\% | 27.1\% | 37.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 32\% | 26.8\% | 37.8\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

Gender

Age The prevalence of high blood pressure increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 30 s , 40 s , 50 s , 60 s , and 70 s are reached.

Race/ There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference regarding high blood Ethnicity

Household Income

Education The prevalence of high blood pressure decreases as education levels increase.

Employment Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of high blood pressure, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence.

Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of high blood pressure, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Home Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of Ownership

Children The prevalence of high blood pressure does not seem to change based on Status the presence of children in the household.

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of high blood pressure than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy There seems to be no difference in high blood pressure regarding pregnancy Status

County Those in Brookings county exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of high blood pressure than all other available counties.

The following table shows the percent of South Dakotans with high blood pressure who were taking medicine for it. In 2019, 77 percent of respondents were taking medicine for high blood pressure.

Table 28
Percentage of South Dakotans With High Blood Pressure Who Were Taking Medicine for It, 2011-2019

| Year | \% |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | $77 \%$ |
| 2017 | $79 \%$ |
| 2015 | $79 \%$ |
| 2013 | $81 \%$ |
| 2011 | $78 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

In 2019, 55 percent of South Dakotans with high blood pressure were told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional to check their own blood pressure outside of the doctor's office.

Figure 27
Percentage of Those With High Blood Pressure Who Have Been Told by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional to Check Their Blood Pressure Outside of the Doctor's Office, 2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019

Of those with high blood pressure, 63 percent regularly check their blood pressure outside of the doctor's office because of the doctor's recommendation.

Figure 28
Percentage of Those With High Blood Pressure Who Regularly Check Their Blood Pressure Outside of the Doctor's Office by Doctor's Recommendation, 2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019

Of those with high blood pressure, 85 percent check their blood pressure at home compared to 15 percent of respondents who use another place such as a machine at the pharmacy or a grocery store.

Figure 29
Percentage of Those With High Blood Pressure Who Regularly Check Their Blood Pressure Outside of the Doctor's Office by Location, 2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019

## HIGH BLOOD CHOLESTEROL

Definition: South Dakotans who report they have had their blood cholesterol checked and were told it was high by a health professional.

## Prevalence of High Blood Cholesterol

- South Dakota 28\%
- Nationwide median 33\%

Figure 30
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2012, 2014, 2016, or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 29 <br> South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have High Blood Cholesterol, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 32\% | 30.0\% | 33.7\% |
|  | Female | 29\% | 27.0\% | 30.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 4\% | 3.0\% | 6.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 13\% | 10.3\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 25\% | 22.1\% | 28.8\% |
|  | 50-59 | 37\% | 34.5\% | 40.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 44\% | 41.1\% | 46.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 50\% | 46.9\% | 53.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 45\% | 40.5\% | 49.8\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 31\% | 30.1\% | 32.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 28\% | 23.1\% | 33.3\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 21\% | 13.8\% | 31.8\% |
|  | Hispanic | 21\% | 13.1\% | 31.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 32\% | 29.2\% | 34.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 32\% | 29.5\% | 34.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 27\% | 24.6\% | 29.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 33\% | 28.1\% | 39.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 31\% | 28.9\% | 33.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 30\% | 27.5\% | 31.7\% |
|  | College Graduate | 29\% | 26.9\% | 30.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 24\% | 22.4\% | 25.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 29\% | 25.2\% | 32.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 21\% | 15.6\% | 27.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 27\% | 21.8\% | 33.1\% |
|  | Student | 4\% | 1.9\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Retired | 48\% | 46.1\% | 50.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 43\% | 37.8\% | 49.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 32\% | 30.1\% | 33.2\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 33\% | 29.4\% | 36.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 46\% | 41.9\% | 49.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 16\% | 13.5\% | 18.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 33\% | 31.7\% | 34.5\% |
|  | Rent Home | 21\% | 18.9\% | 23.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 9.4\% | 13.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 7.9\% | 12.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 39\% | 36.9\% | 40.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 26\% | 24.5\% | 27.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 7.7\% | 11.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 28\% | 24.9\% | 30.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 33\% | 29.9\% | 36.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 28\% | 22.4\% | 34.2\% |
|  | Brown | 31\% | 28.0\% | 35.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 19\% | 15.8\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Codington | 29\% | 24.9\% | 32.8\% |
|  | Meade | 26\% | 21.3\% | 30.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 37\% | 31.0\% | 42.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed. This question was not asked in 2016 or 2018. Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of high cholesterol does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of high cholesterol generally increases as age increases. <br> This includes significant increases as the $30 \mathrm{~s}, 40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ and 70 s are <br> reached. |
| Race/Ethnicity | There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference regarding high cholesterol. |
| Household | The prevalence of high cholesterol does not seem to change as household <br> income changes. |
| Income | The prevalence of high cholesterol decreases as education levels increase. |
| Education | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence <br> of high cholesterol, while those who are a student show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of high cholesterol, <br> while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> high cholesterol than those who rent their home. |
| Ownership | The prevalence of high cholesterol does not seem to change based on the <br> presence of children in the household. |
| Children | Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher |
| Status | prevalence of high cholesterol than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Phone Status | Those in Pennington, Lincoln, Brown, Codington, and Lawrence counties all <br> exhibit a very high prevalence of high cholesterol, while those in Brookings <br> and Meade counties show very low prevalence. |

Figure 31, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans with high cholesterol who take medication for it. In 2019, 63 percent of South Dakotans took medication for high cholesterol.

Figure 31
Percentage of South Dakotans With High Cholesterol Who Take Medicine for It, 2017-2019


[^4]
## Cardiovascular Disease

## PREVIOUSLY HAD A HEART ATTACK

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction?"

## Prevalence of Previous Heart Attack

- South Dakota 5\%
- Nationwide median $4 \%$

Figure 32
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Heart Attack, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 30 <br> South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Heart Attack, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.9\% | 3.7\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.0\% | 4.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 4\% | 3.7\% | 5.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 8\% | 6.8\% | 8.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.5\% | 15.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 15\% | 12.4\% | 16.9\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 5.5\% | 9.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 1.5\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.2\% | 10.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 2.0\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 3\% | 2.1\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 2.8\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Student | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Retired | 12\% | 10.7\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.9\% | 14.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 7\% | 5.5\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 9.2\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.7\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 3.6\% | 5.0\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.5\% | 4.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 2.0\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 3.9\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 4.5\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 3.0\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 3.2\% | 6.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of a previous heart attack than <br> females. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of a previous heart attack increases as age increases with <br> significant increases as the 40s, 60s, and 70s are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous heart <br> attack, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of a previous heart attack decreases as household income <br> increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and <br> \$75,000+ household income levels are reached. |
| Income | The prevalence of a previous heart attack decreases as education increases. |
| Education | This includes significant decreases as the some post-high school and college <br> graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence <br> of a previous heart attack, while those who are students show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of a previous heart <br> attack while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | The prevalence of a previous heart attack does not seem to change based on |
| Home |  |
| home ownership status. |  |

## ANGINA OR CORONARY HEART DISEASE

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have angina or coronary heart disease?"

## Prevalence of Angina or Coronary Heart Disease

- South Dakota 4\%
- Nationwide median 4\%

Figure 33
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 31 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.2\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 3.1\% | 3.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% |
|  | 30-39 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 3.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 8\% | 6.8\% | 8.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.3\% | 14.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 16\% | 13.3\% | 18.6\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 4.0\% | 8.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 2.1\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 2.3\% | 7.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 7\% | 5.8\% | 7.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.8\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 4.1\% | 7.0\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 5.1\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.5\% | 4.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 3\% | 2.1\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 3\% | 1.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 3\% | 1.9\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Student | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Retired | 13\% | 11.9\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 10\% | 8.0\% | 12.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 12\% | 10.2\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Never Married | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.7\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 2.9\% | 4.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 5\% | 4.2\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.9\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 3.6\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Codington | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 2.6\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

Gender
Age The prevalence of heart disease generally increases as age increases with significant increases as the $40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$, and 70 s are reached.

Race/ There are no significant racial/ethnicity differences regarding heart disease.

Household Income

Education The prevalence of heart disease does not seem to differ as education levels change.

Employment Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of heart disease, while those who are students show a very low prevalence.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Marital } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of heart disease, while } \\ \text { those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. }\end{array} \\ \text { Status } & \text { Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of }\end{array}$ Ownership

Children The prevalence of heart disease among adults does not seem to change Status

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of heart disease than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of heart disease does not seem to change based on Status

County Minnehaha, Pennington, Brown, Codington, and Lawrence counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of heart disease, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence.

## PREVIOUSLY HAD A STROKE

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a stroke?"

## Prevalence of Previous Stroke

- South Dakota 3\%
- Nationwide median 3\%

Figure 34
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Previously Had a Stroke, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 32 <br> South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Stroke, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.2\% | 2.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 1\% | 0.7\% | 2.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 2\% | 1.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 11\% | 8.7\% | 12.9\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 2.8\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.0\% | 5.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 1.6\% | 9.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 4\% | 3.7\% | 5.0\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.7\% | 7.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 2\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 2\% | 1.0\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 3\% | 2.1\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Student | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Retired | 7\% | 5.8\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 11\% | 8.7\% | 13.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 2\% | 2.0\% | 2.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 2\% | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 3\% | 2.5\% | 3.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 2\% | 1.7\% | 2.3\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.5\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Brown | 4\% | 2.7\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 1.6\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Codington | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Meade | 2\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 2\% | 1.6\% | 3.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of a previous stroke does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of a previous stroke increases as age increases with <br> significant increases as the 60s, 70 s, and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous stroke, <br> while American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of a previous stroke decreases as household income <br> Household <br> Income |
| increases. This includes a significant decrease as the \$35,000-\$74,999 |  |
| household income level is reached. |  |

## Cancer

## CANCER

## Definition: South Dakotans who reported they had ever been diagnosed with cancer (excluding skin cancer).

## Prevalence of Cancer

- South Dakota 7\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 35
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer), 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 33 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer), 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.5\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.0\% | 9.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 2.7\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 12\% | 11.1\% | 13.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 20\% | 18.6\% | 22.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 22\% | 19.7\% | 25.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.2\% | 6.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 2.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 2.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$ 35,000 | 8\% | 7.5\% | 9.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 6.7\% | 8.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.9\% |


| Table 33 (continued)South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer),$2015-2019$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.8\% | 9.6\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 7\% | 6.6\% | 8.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.8\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 6\% | 3.6\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 5.4\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Student | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Retired | 18\% | 16.9\% | 19.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 15\% | 11.9\% | 18.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 8\% | 7.3\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 7.3\% | 10.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 17\% | 15.4\% | 19.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 3\% | 1.9\% | 3.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 9\% | 8.1\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 3.8\% | 5.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 12\% | 10.9\% | 12.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 5.5\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 8\% | 5.8\% | 10.8\% |
|  | Brown | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.7\% | 8.9\% |
|  | Meade | 6\% | 4.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 5.2\% | 8.2\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

Gender Ethnicity

Household Income

Marital
Status

Age The prevalence of cancer increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the $30 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$, and 70 s are reached.

Racel Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of cancer than American

Education $\quad$ The prevalence of cancer does not seem to differ as education levels change.
Employment Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence
of cancer, while those who are students show a very low prevalence.
Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of cancer than males. Indians.

The prevalence of cancer decreases as household income increases.

Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of cancer, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Home Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of

Ownership
Children The prevalence of cancer among adults does not seem to differ based on the Status

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of cancer than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy
Status
County Pennington and Brown counties exhibit a very high prevalence of cancer, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence.

## SKIN CANCER

Definition: South Dakotans who reported they have ever been diagnosed with skin cancer.

## Prevalence of Skin Cancer

- South Dakota 7\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 36
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Skin Cancer, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 34 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Skin Cancer, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.5\% |
| Gender | Female | 6\% | 5.9\% | 7.0\% |
|  | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.8\% |
| Age | 50-59 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 8.9\% | 11.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | 18\% | 16.0\% | 19.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 21\% | 19.0\% | 24.1\% |
|  | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.6\% | 7.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 2\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% |
| Household Income | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.6\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 4.1\% | 7.1\% |
| Education | High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.7\% |
| Education | Some Post-High School | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.7\% |


| Table 34 (continued) <br> South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Skin Cancer, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.2\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 2\% | 1.3\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 6\% | 4.4\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Student | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
|  | Retired | 16\% | 14.7\% | 17.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 6\% | 4.3\% | 7.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 7\% | 6.5\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 6\% | 4.8\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 16\% | 13.8\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 1\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 8\% | 7.3\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Rent Home | 2\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 10\% | 9.1\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 5\% | 4.2\% | 5.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.8\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.1\% | 2.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 8.4\% | 10.7\% |
|  | Lincoln | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Brown | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 4\% | 2.9\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 6.0\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 9\% | 7.6\% | 11.2\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019
Demographics

Gender

Age The prevalence of skin cancer increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 40s, 50s, 60s, and 70s are reached.

Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than all other races/ethnicities.

The prevalence of skin cancer does not seem to change as household income changes.

The prevalence of skin cancer increases as education levels increase.
Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence.

Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than those who rent their home.

The prevalence of adult skin cancer does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.

Phone Status

Pregnancy
Status
County

Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than those who primarily use a cell phone.

The prevalence of skin cancer does not seem to differ by pregnancy status.

Residents of Pennington, Lincoln, Meade, and Lawrence counties exhibit a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while residents of Minnehaha, Brookings, and Codington counties show a very low prevalence.

## FLU SHOT

Definition: South Dakotans ages 65 and older who have had an influenza vaccination within the past 12 months.

## Prevalence of Flu Shot

- South Dakota 64\%
- Nationwide median $64 \%$

Figure 37
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Flu Shot Within the Past 12 Months, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 35 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Flu Shot Within the Past 12 Months, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 62\% | 59.4\% | 64.5\% |
|  | Female | 63\% | 61.2\% | 65.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | - | - | - |
|  | 60-69 | 57\% | 53.8\% | 59.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 64\% | 61.8\% | 66.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 68\% | 64.3\% | 70.9\% |
| Race Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 63\% | 61.1\% | 64.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 52\% | 42.9\% | 60.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 58\% | 55.3\% | 61.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 66\% | 62.8\% | 68.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 65\% | 61.3\% | 69.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 56\% | 49.0\% | 62.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 62\% | 58.8\% | 64.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 63\% | 60.7\% | 66.2\% |
|  | College Graduate | 67\% | 64.7\% | 69.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 58\% | 53.5\% | 63.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 47\% | 41.3\% | 52.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 58\% | 39.6\% | 74.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 65\% | 57.1\% | 72.1\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 66\% | 63.7\% | 67.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 56\% | 46.6\% | 65.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 63\% | 61.3\% | 65.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 55\% | 49.9\% | 59.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 64\% | 60.8\% | 67.2\% |
|  | Never Married | 65\% | 57.8\% | 71.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 63\% | 61.3\% | 64.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 61\% | 56.9\% | 65.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 65\% | 62.7\% | 66.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 60\% | 57.4\% | 62.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 67\% | 62.6\% | 70.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 62\% | 58.0\% | 65.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 69\% | 61.4\% | 76.3\% |
|  | Brown | 64\% | 59.3\% | 68.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 68\% | 63.8\% | 72.5\% |
|  | Codington | 69\% | 64.5\% | 72.8\% |
|  | Meade | 58\% | 51.9\% | 64.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 64\% | 58.4\% | 70.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

Gender There seems to be no gender difference regarding getting a flu shot.
Age The prevalence of getting a flu shot increases as age increases. This includes a significant increase as the 70s are reached.

Race/ Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of getting a flu shot than Ethnicity

Household Income

Education The prevalence of getting a flu shot increases as education levels increase.
Employment Those who are a homemaker or retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of getting a flu shot, while those who are self-employed show a very low prevalence.

## Marital

Status
Home
Ownership
Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a flu shot, while those who are divorced show a very low prevalence.

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher
The prevalence of getting a flu shot does not seem to differ based on home ownership status. prevalence of getting a flu shot than those who primarily use a cell phone.

County $\quad$ There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of getting a flu shot among the eight counties with sufficient sample size.

## PNEUMONIA SHOT

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 65 and older, who have had a pneumonia vaccination.

## Prevalence of Pneumonia Shot

- South Dakota 73\%
- Nationwide median 73\%

Figure 38
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Pneumonia Shot, 2011-2019


[^5]| Table 36 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Pneumonia Shot, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 73\% | 70.1\% | 74.9\% |
|  | Female | 77\% | 74.9\% | 78.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | - | - | - |
|  | 60-69 | 66\% | 63.0\% | 68.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 79\% | 77.1\% | 81.3\% |
|  | 80+ | 79\% | 75.6\% | 81.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 75\% | 73.4\% | 76.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 73\% | 64.4\% | 80.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 73\% | 70.6\% | 76.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 78\% | 74.9\% | 80.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 75\% | 71.5\% | 78.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 74\% | 67.5\% | 79.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 74\% | 71.7\% | 76.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 74\% | 70.8\% | 76.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 79\% | 76.3\% | 80.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 66\% | 61.5\% | 70.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 61\% | 55.2\% | 65.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 61\% | 42.0\% | 77.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 75\% | 67.4\% | 82.1\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 78\% | 76.3\% | 79.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 79\% | 70.7\% | 85.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 75\% | 73.2\% | 77.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 67\% | 62.4\% | 71.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 78\% | 74.9\% | 80.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 75\% | 67.5\% | 81.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 75\% | 73.5\% | 76.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 73\% | 68.9\% | 77.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 1844) | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Phone Status | Landline | 76\% | 74.2\% | 78.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 73\% | 70.8\% | 75.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 76\% | 71.6\% | 79.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 78\% | 74.6\% | 80.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 76\% | 67.4\% | 82.3\% |
|  | Brown | 75\% | 71.3\% | 79.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 79\% | 74.4\% | 82.1\% |
|  | Codington | 80\% | 76.4\% | 84.0\% |
|  | Meade | 69\% | 62.1\% | 74.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 73\% | 67.1\% | 78.1\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | Overall, the prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to change <br> consistently as age changes, but it does show a significant increase as the <br> 70s are reached. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to change as <br> household income changes. |
| Income | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to change as <br> education changes. |
| Education | Those who are a homemaker, retired, or unable to work demonstrate a very <br> high prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot, while those who are employed <br> for wages or self-employed show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a <br> pneumonia shot, while those who are divorced show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> home ownership. |
| Home | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> phone status. |
| Phone Status |  |

## TETANUS SHOT

## Definition: South Dakotans who have had a tetanus shot in the past ten years.

## Prevalence of Tetanus Shot

- South Dakota 78\%
- Nationwide median 73\%

Figure 39
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Had a Tetanus Shot In the Past Ten Years, 2013-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot decreases as age increases. This <br> includes a significant decrease as the 70s are reached. |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot does not seem to differ by <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Household | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot increases as household income <br> increases. |
| Income | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot increases as education level <br> increases. |
| Education | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or a student demonstrate <br> a very high prevalence of getting a tetanus shot, while those who are retired <br> show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are widowed exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of getting a <br> tetanus shot than all other types of marital status. |
| Status | The prevalence of getting a tetanus shot does not seem to differ by home <br> ownership status. |
| Home | The prevalence of adults getting a tetanus shot does not seem to differ by the <br> presence of children in the household. |
| Children | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher <br> prevalence of getting a tetanus shot than those who primarily use a landline <br> phone. |
| Phone Status | Residents of Lincoln, Brown, Brookings, Codington, and Meade counties <br> exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a tetanus shot, while residents of <br> Lawrence county show a very low prevalence. |

## Arthritis

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?"

## Prevalence of Arthritis

- South Dakota 27\%
- Nationwide median $26 \%$

Figure 40
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Arthritis, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

Gender
Age The prevalence of arthritis increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the $30 \mathrm{~s}, 40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$, and 70 s are reached.

Race/ The prevalence of arthritis does not seem to differ by race/ethnicity. Ethnicity

Household Income

Education The prevalence of arthritis decreases as education levels increase. This includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached.

Employment Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of arthritis, while those who are students show a very low prevalence.

Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of arthritis, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of arthritis than those who rent their home.

The prevalence of arthritis does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of arthritis than those who primarily use a cell phone.

The prevalence of arthritis does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. Status

County Pennington, Lincoln, Brown, Codington, Meade, and Lawrence counties exhibit a very high prevalence of arthritis, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence.

Figure 41, below, displays the percentage of South Dakotans with arthritis who are limited in their usual activities because of arthritis or joint symptoms. In 2019, only 39 percent of respondents were limited because of arthritis or joint symptoms.

Figure 41
Percentage of Those With Arthritis Who Are Limited in Their Usual Activities, 2011-2019


Note: This question was not asked in 2014, 2016, or 2018.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## Asthma

Definition: South Dakotans who were told by a doctor, nurse, or health professional that they had asthma and that they still have asthma.

## Prevalence of Asthma

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 10\%

Figure 42
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Asthma, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 39South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Asthma, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.4\% | 10.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 9\% | 7.3\% | 10.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 7\% | 5.9\% | 8.7\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 5.5\% | 8.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 9\% | 7.5\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 6\% | 5.6\% | 7.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 80+ | 7\% | 5.2\% | 9.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 10\% | 8.6\% | 12.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 5.4\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 8\% | 4.6\% | 14.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 8.6\% | 11.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.5\% | 7.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 9.7\% | 15.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.6\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.5\% | 8.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 5\% | 4.2\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 12\% | 7.9\% | 17.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 9\% | 6.0\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Student | 8\% | 5.5\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Retired | 7\% | 6.5\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 18\% | 15.1\% | 21.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 7.7\% | 10.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 8\% | 7.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 8\% | 6.9\% | 10.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 7\% | 6.0\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 11\% | 9.2\% | 12.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 8\% | 6.8\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 6.7\% | 27.9\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 8.0\% | 11.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 6.2\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 6.4\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Lincoln | 9\% | 6.5\% | 12.8\% |
|  | Brown | 7\% | 5.7\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 5.2\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.3\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 5.5\% | 13.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 10\% | 7.6\% | 13.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of asthma than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to change as age increases. |


| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of asthma <br> than whites. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to change as household income <br> Income |
| changes. |  |

Education The prevalence of asthma decreases as education increases. This includes a significant decrease as the high school graduate level is reached.

Employment Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of asthma, while those who are employed for wages, selfemployed, a homemaker, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence.

Marital
Status
Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ based on phone status.
Pregnancy The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. Status

County The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ among the available counties.

## Depression

Definition: South Dakotans who were told by a doctor, nurse, or health professional that they had some form of depression.

## Prevalence of Depression

- South Dakota 17\%
- Nationwide median 20\%

Figure 43
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Depression, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 40 <br> South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Depression, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 12\% | 11.2\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Female | 21\% | 19.8\% | 22.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 18\% | 16.4\% | 20.7\% |
|  | 30-39 | 19\% | 16.9\% | 21.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 18\% | 15.7\% | 19.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 18\% | 16.2\% | 19.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 15\% | 14.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  | 70-79 | 11\% | 9.7\% | 12.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.7\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 16\% | 15.5\% | 17.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 22\% | 18.7\% | 25.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 25\% | 17.2\% | 34.1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 13\% | 9.1\% | 18.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 24\% | 22.4\% | 25.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 15\% | 13.9\% | 16.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 11\% | 9.6\% | 11.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 19\% | 16.0\% | 22.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 16\% | 14.7\% | 17.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 18\% | 16.5\% | 19.2\% |
|  | College Graduate | 15\% | 13.5\% | 15.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 16\% | 14.8\% | 16.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 10\% | 8.4\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 29\% | 23.7\% | 34.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 19\% | 15.1\% | 23.5\% |
|  | Student | 16\% | 11.9\% | 20.1\% |
|  | Retired | 12\% | 11.1\% | 13.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 51\% | 47.0\% | 55.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 14\% | 12.8\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 27\% | 24.3\% | 29.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 16\% | 13.9\% | 18.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 19\% | 17.2\% | 21.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 14\% | 13.2\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 23\% | 21.5\% | 25.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 18\% | 15.9\% | 19.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 20\% | 18.0\% | 22.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 14\% | 13.1\% | 15.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 18\% | 16.6\% | 18.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 24\% | 15.1\% | 35.6\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 24\% | 22.3\% | 26.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 18\% | 16.4\% | 20.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 20\% | 18.2\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 16\% | 12.5\% | 20.2\% |
|  | Brown | 18\% | 15.3\% | 20.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 15\% | 12.4\% | 19.0\% |
|  | Codington | 16\% | 13.9\% | 19.5\% |
|  | Meade | 19\% | 15.2\% | 24.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 18\% | 15.4\% | 22.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of depression than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of depression is similar for those 18-59, and then decreases as age increases including a significant decrease as the 70s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high prevalence of depression, while whites and Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of depression decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of depression as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of depression, while those who are self-employed, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of depression, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of depression among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of depression does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of depression does not seem to differ for the counties available for analysis. |

## Kidney Disease

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have kidney disease? Do NOT include kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence."

## Prevalence of Kidney Disease

- South Dakota 3\%
- Nationwide median 3\%

Figure 44
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Been
Told They Have Kidney Disease, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 41South Dakotans Who Have Been Told They Have Kidney Disease, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 2\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 4.6\% | 6.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 2.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 3\% | 1.2\% | 6.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 1.8\% | 2.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 4\% | 2.8\% | 6.0\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 2\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.9\% | 2.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% |
|  | Self-employed | 1\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 2\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 2\% | 1.1\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Student | 1\% | 0.2\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Retired | 6\% | 4.9\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 8\% | 6.1\% | 9.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 4\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Never Married | 1\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.4\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.9\% | 2.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 2\% | 1.7\% | 2.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 1.2\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 3\% | 2.0\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 2\% | 1.2\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Brown | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 1\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Codington | 3\% | 2.0\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Meade | 2\% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 2\% | 1.3\% | 3.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

Gender
Age The prevalence of kidney disease increases as age increases.
Race/ Whites and American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of kidney Ethnicity

Household Income

Education $\quad$ The prevalence of kidney disease decreases as education levels increase.
Employment Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of kidney disease, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, unemployed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence.

Marital Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of kidney Status

Home There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of kidney disease Ownership

Children The prevalence of kidney disease among adults does not seem to change Status

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of kidney disease than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of kidney disease does not seem to change based on Status

County Those in Brown county demonstrate a very high prevalence of kidney disease, while those in Brookings county show a very low prevalence.

## Vision Impairment

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?"

## Prevalence of Vision Impairment

- South Dakota 4\%
- There is no nationwide median for vision impairment

Figure 45
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have a Vision Impairment, 2013-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2019

| Table 42 <br> South Dakotans Who Have a Vision Impairment, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Female | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 2.0\% | 4.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 1.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.2\% | 4.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 4.5\% | 7.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 11\% | 8.8\% | 13.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 7.2\% | 11.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 4.9\% | 15.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 6\% | 2.8\% | 11.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 7\% | 5.8\% | 7.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 2.0\% | 3.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 7.2\% | 11.6\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 4\% | 3.9\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 3\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.7\% | 2.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 2\% | 1.2\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 6\% | 3.8\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 3.5\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.5\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Retired | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 16\% | 13.1\% | 19.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 8.0\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 4\% | 3.0\% | 5.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.8\% | 3.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 0.7\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.2\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Brown | 4\% | 2.6\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Codington | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Meade | 5\% | 3.7\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 3\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of severe vision impairment does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of severe vision impairment generally increases as age increases including significant increases as the 40s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites exhibit a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of severe vision impairment decreases as household income increases with significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of severe vision impairment decreases as education levels increase with significant decreases at every level. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while those who are married or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of severe vision impairment than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of severe vision impairment in the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of severe vision impairment than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of severe vision impairment does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Residents of Meade county demonstrate a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while residents of Lawrence county show a very low prevalence. |

## Alcohol Use

## DRANK IN PAST 30 DAYS

Definition: South Dakotans who report drinking alcohol in the past 30 days.

## Prevalence of Drinking in Past 30 Days

- South Dakota 59\%
- Nationwide median 54\%

Figure 46
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Drank Alcohol In the Past 30 Days, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 43 <br> South Dakotans Who Drank Alcohol In the Past 30 Days, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 64\% | 62.7\% | 65.6\% |
|  | Female | 51\% | 49.4\% | 52.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 62\% | 59.0\% | 64.5\% |
|  | 30-39 | 63\% | 60.3\% | 65.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 64\% | 60.9\% | 66.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 59\% | 56.4\% | 60.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 55\% | 53.3\% | 57.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 45\% | 42.2\% | 47.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 33\% | 29.4\% | 35.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 60\% | 58.7\% | 60.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 40\% | 35.9\% | 43.8\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 53\% | 43.7\% | 63.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 50\% | 41.6\% | 58.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 46\% | 44.0\% | 48.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 62\% | 59.8\% | 63.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 73\% | 70.9\% | 74.4\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 40\% | 36.1\% | 44.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 50\% | 48.4\% | 52.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 61\% | 59.1\% | 62.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 68\% | 66.8\% | 69.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 65\% | 63.1\% | 66.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 65\% | 62.0\% | 67.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 52\% | 45.8\% | 57.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 35\% | 30.7\% | 39.9\% |
|  | Student | 56\% | 49.8\% | 61.2\% |
|  | Retired | 47\% | 45.1\% | 48.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 30\% | 26.6\% | 34.6\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 62\% | 60.5\% | 63.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 51\% | 48.3\% | 54.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 36\% | 33.1\% | 38.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 57\% | 54.1\% | 59.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 60\% | 58.5\% | 60.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 54\% | 52.1\% | 56.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 60\% | 57.6\% | 62.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 65\% | 62.5\% | 68.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 48\% | 46.5\% | 49.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 61\% | 60.1\% | 62.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 6.3\% | 26.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 58\% | 55.5\% | 60.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 59\% | 56.2\% | 61.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 57\% | 54.9\% | 59.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 62\% | 56.7\% | 66.8\% |
|  | Brown | 56\% | 52.7\% | 59.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 62\% | 57.3\% | 65.6\% |
|  | Codington | 57\% | 53.4\% | 60.8\% |
|  | Meade | 52\% | 46.7\% | 57.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 61\% | 56.6\% | 65.0\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of drinking alcohol than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Alcohol use increases with age until the 40s when it peaks. After that, it decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the $50 \mathrm{~s}, 70$ s, and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of drinking alcohol than American Indians and Hispanics. |
| Household Income | Alcohol use increases as household income increases. This includes significant increases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ income groups are reached. |
| Education | Alcohol use increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the high school graduate, some post-high school, and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages or self-employed demonstrate a very high prevalence of alcohol use, while those who are a homemaker or unable to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married exhibit a very high prevalence of alcohol use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | Those with no children in the household exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those with children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who use primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | Females who are not pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who are pregnant. |
| County | Residents of Brookings county demonstrate a very high prevalence of alcohol use, while residents of Meade county show a very low prevalence. |

## BINGE DRINKING

Definition: South Dakota males who report having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion or South Dakota females who have four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion, one or more times in the past month.

## Prevalence of Binge Drinking

- South Dakota 21\%
- Nationwide median $17 \%$

Figure 47
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Engage In Binge Drinking, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 44 <br> South Dakotans Who Engage In Binge Drinking, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 25\% | 23.5\% | 26.2\% |
|  | Female | 14\% | 12.7\% | 14.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 32\% | 29.7\% | 34.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 25\% | 22.8\% | 27.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 23\% | 20.5\% | 25.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 18\% | 15.9\% | 19.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 9.2\% | 11.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 19\% | 18.1\% | 19.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 22\% | 18.7\% | 25.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 31\% | 22.2\% | 41.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 18\% | 11.8\% | 26.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 18\% | 16.1\% | 19.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 20\% | 18.5\% | 21.8\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 23\% | 21.7\% | 25.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 17\% | 13.5\% | 20.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 18\% | 16.7\% | 19.9\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 21\% | 19.7\% | 22.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 19\% | 17.4\% | 20.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 25\% | 23.3\% | 26.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 20\% | 17.7\% | 22.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 22\% | 17.7\% | 28.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 8\% | 5.6\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Student | 30\% | 24.6\% | 34.9\% |
|  | Retired | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.6\% | 16.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 17\% | 16.4\% | 18.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 19\% | 16.5\% | 21.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 5\% | 3.8\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 29\% | 26.5\% | 31.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 17\% | 16.1\% | 17.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 26\% | 24.1\% | 28.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 23\% | 21.2\% | 25.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 34\% | 31.3\% | 36.6\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 11\% | 10.2\% | 12.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 23\% | 21.5\% | 23.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 2.6\% | 22.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 22\% | 19.7\% | 24.1\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 19\% | 16.7\% | 21.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 17\% | 14.8\% | 18.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 20\% | 16.1\% | 25.3\% |
|  | Brown | 18\% | 15.5\% | 20.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 25\% | 20.6\% | 30.1\% |
|  | Codington | 20\% | 16.9\% | 22.8\% |
|  | Meade | 15\% | 11.3\% | 19.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 19\% | 15.8\% | 23.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of binge drinking than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Binge drinking decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the $30 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high prevalence of binge drinking, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | Binge drinking increases as household income increases. |
| Education | The prevalence of binge drinking does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, unemployed, or a student demonstrate a very high prevalence of binge drinking, while those who are a homemaker or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of binge drinking, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of binge drinking than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | Those who have no children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of binge drinking than those who have children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of binge drinking than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of binge drinking does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Brookings county exhibits a very high prevalence of binge drinking, while Pennington and Meade counties show a very low prevalence. |

## HEAVY DRINKING

Definition: South Dakota males who report having more than 2 drinks per day, or South Dakota females who report having more than 1 drink per day.

## Prevalence of Heavy Drinking

- South Dakota 7\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 48
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Engage In Heavy Drinking, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of heavy drinking than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Heavy drinking generally decreases as age increases. This includes significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | There seems to be no racial/ethnic difference regarding heavy drinking. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of heavy drinking does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of heavy drinking does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or unemployed demonstrate a very high prevalence of heavy drinking, while those who are a homemaker or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of heavy drinking, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of heavy drinking than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | Those who have no children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of heavy drinking than those who have children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of heavy drinking than those who use primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | There seems to be no difference in heavy drinking regarding pregnancy status. |
| County | There seems to be no difference in heavy drinking among the available counties. |

## General Health Status

## FAIR OR POOR HEALTH STATUS

Definition: South Dakotans who report having fair or poor health from possible response choices of "excellent", "very good", "good", "fair", or "poor".

## Prevalence of Fair or Poor Health Status

- South Dakota 16\%
- Nationwide median 18\%

Figure 49
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Fair or Poor Health Status, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | There seems to be no significant gender difference in the prevalence of those <br> in fair or poor health. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of fair or poor health increases as age increases. This <br> includes significant increases when people reach their 50s and 80s. |
| Race/ | American Indians exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of those in fair or <br> poor health than all other races/ethnicities. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of fair or poor health decreases as household income <br> Household <br> increases. This includes significant decreases when the \$35,000-\$74,999 <br> and $\$ 75,000+$ household incomes are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of fair or poor health decreases as education increases. This <br> includes significant decreases as the high school graduate, some college, and <br> college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of those in <br> fair or poor health while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or <br> a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of those in <br> fair or poor health, while those who are married or have never been married <br> show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> fair or poor health than those who own their home. |
| Home | The prevalence of fair or poor health of adults does not seem to differ based |
| Ownership |  |
| on the presence of children in the household. |  |

## PHYSICAL HEALTH NOT GOOD

Definition: South Dakotans who reported their physical health was not good for 30 days of the past 30, including physical illness and injury.

## Prevalence of Physical Health Not Good for 30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 7\%
- There is no nationwide median for physical health not good

Figure 50
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Physical Health Not
Good for 30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 47 <br> South Dakotans Who Reported Physical Health Not Good for 30 Days of the Past 30, 2015- $2019$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Female | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 8.5\% | 10.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 10\% | 8.3\% | 11.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 10\% | 8.6\% | 12.4\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.7\% | 6.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 10\% | 8.1\% | 12.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 4.3\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 3\% | 1.8\% | 6.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 11\% | 9.7\% | 11.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 4.5\% | 6.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 8.9\% | 13.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.5\% | 8.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 3\% | 2.9\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 11\% | 7.3\% | 15.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.4\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 1.2\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Retired | 9\% | 8.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 39\% | 35.3\% | 43.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.2\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 11\% | 9.5\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 9.0\% | 12.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 4\% | 3.4\% | 5.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.0\% | 3.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 0.7\% | 18.0\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.5\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 4.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 7\% | 6.0\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 3.4\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Brown | 7\% | 5.3\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 4\% | 3.2\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 4.8\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Meade | 8\% | 5.9\% | 11.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 5.4\% | 10.1\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | The prevalence of poor physical health does not seem to differ based on <br> gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of poor physical health increases as age increases. This <br> includes a significant increase as the 50s are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of poor physical health, while <br> whites and Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of poor physical health decreases as household income |
| Household | increases. This includes significant decreases when the \$35,000-\$74,999 and <br> \$75,000+ household incomes are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of poor physical health decreases as education increases. <br> This includes significant decreases as the high school and college graduate |
| levels are reached. |  |

Figure 51, below, shows the average number of days South Dakotans stated their physical health was not good for the past 30 days. For the past nine years the average number of days has remained steady.

Figure 51
Average Number of Days South Dakotans' Physical Health Was Not Good In the Past 30 Days, 2011-2019
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## MENTAL HEALTH NOT GOOD

Definition: South Dakotans who report their mental health was not good for 20 to 30 days of the past 30, including stress, depression, and problems with emotions.

## Prevalence of Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 8\%
- There is no nationwide median for poor mental health

Figure 52
Percentage of South Dakotans Stating Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

Table 48
South Dakotans Who Stated Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30, 2015-2019

|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 6.7\% | 8.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 9\% | 7.1\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 9.1\% |
|  | 40-49 | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 4\% | 2.6\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 7.2\% | 11.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 14\% | 8.6\% | 22.5\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.2\% | 9.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 9.2\% | 11.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 8.6\% | 14.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.7\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 6\% | 5.5\% | 7.2\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.2\% | 4.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 5\% | 4.6\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 15\% | 11.0\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 2.7\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Student | 8\% | 5.2\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Retired | 3\% | 2.8\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 27\% | 23.5\% | 30.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 10\% | 8.7\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 8\% | 5.7\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 10\% | 8.7\% | 11.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 7\% | 6.2\% | 8.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 3.8\% | 22.0\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.8\% | 11.0\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 5.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 6.4\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 3.1\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Brown | 6\% | 4.4\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.7\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.3\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 4.9\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 5\% | 3.8\% | 7.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019
Gender Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of poor mental health than
Age The prevalence of poor mental health decreases as age increases.
Racel American Indians and American Indian/whites exhibit a very high prevalence of poor mental health while whites show a very low prevalence.

## Household Income

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Education } & \text { The prevalence of poor mental health decreases as education increases. } \\ & \text { This includes significant decreases as the high school and college graduate }\end{array}$ levels are reached.

Employment Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of poor mental health while those who are self-employed, homemakers, or retired show a very low prevalence.

Those who are married exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of poor mental health than all other types of marital status.

Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of poor mental health than those who own their home.

The prevalence of poor mental health of the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of poor mental health than those who primarily use a landline phone.

The prevalence of poor mental health does not seem to change based on pregnancy status.

The prevalence of poor mental health does not seem to differ among the eight available counties.

Figure 53, below, shows the average number of days all respondents stated their mental health was not good for the past 30 days. In 2019, the average number of days was 3.6 which is the highest for the past nine years.

Figure 53
Average Number of Days Respondents' Mental Health Was Not Good In the Past 30 Days, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT

Definition: South Dakotans who are currently taking medicine or receiving treatment from a doctor or other health professional for any type of mental health condition or emotional problem.

## Prevalence of Mental Health Treatment

- South Dakota 12\%
- There is no nationwide median for mental health treatment

Figure 54
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Taking Medicine or Receiving Treatment for Mental Health or Emotional Problems, 2016-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Table 49 <br> South Dakotans Who Are Taking Medicine or Receiving Treatment for Mental Health or Emotional Problems, 2016-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 8\% | 7.1\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Female | 16\% | 14.4\% | 17.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 13\% | 10.7\% | 16.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 11\% | 9.4\% | 13.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 16\% | 13.2\% | 18.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 13\% | 11.2\% | 15.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 13\% | 11.1\% | 14.7\% |
|  | 70-79 | 8\% | 5.8\% | 10.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 3\% | 1.8\% | 4.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 11.3\% | 13.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 7.2\% | 12.3\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 14\% | 6.7\% | 26.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 14\% | 7.0\% | 26.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 17\% | 15.0\% | 19.0\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 11\% | 9.5\% | 12.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.5\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 6.7\% | 12.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 10.0\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 13\% | 11.7\% | 15.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 12\% | 10.4\% | 13.4\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 12\% | 10.4\% | 12.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 4.2\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 20\% | 13.7\% | 27.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 11\% | 7.7\% | 15.6\% |
|  | Student | 14\% | 8.3\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Retired | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 43\% | 37.8\% | 49.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 10\% | 9.4\% | 11.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 19\% | 16.7\% | 22.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 8.8\% | 13.9\% |
|  | Never Married | 13\% | 10.5\% | 15.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 10\% | 9.5\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 17\% | 14.4\% | 19.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 9.1\% | 12.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 15\% | 12.6\% | 18.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 10\% | 9.0\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 13\% | 11.5\% | 13.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 21\% | 10.2\% | 38.3\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 16\% | 14.0\% | 19.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 13\% | 10.6\% | 15.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 14\% | 11.5\% | 16.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 13\% | 6.7\% | 23.0\% |
|  | Brown | 13\% | 9.2\% | 18.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 13\% | 9.2\% | 19.2\% |
|  | Codington | 16\% | 11.5\% | 22.2\% |
|  | Meade | 12\% | 6.1\% | 20.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 13\% | 9.6\% | 18.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of seeking professional help <br> for mental health issues than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking professional <br> help for mental health issues from 18-69, but it decreases significantly as the <br> 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ | There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference in the prevalence of seeking <br> professional help for mental health issues. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of seeking help for mental health issues decreases as <br> household income increases. This includes a significant decrease as the <br> \$35,000-\$74,999 income group is reached. |
| Income | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking help for mental <br> health issues regarding education levels. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work exhibit very high prevalence of seeking help for <br> mental health issues, while those who are self-employed, a homemaker, or <br> retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are divorced demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> seeking help for mental health issues than all other types of marital status. |
| Status | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> seeking help for mental health issues than those who own their home. |
| Home | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking help for mental <br> health issues regarding the presence of children in the household. |
| Chwnership | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking help for mental <br> health issues regarding phone status. |
| Ctatus | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking help for mental <br> health issues among the eight counties with sufficient sample size. |

## USUAL ACTIVITIES UNATTAINABLE

Definition: South Dakotans who report poor physical or mental health kept them from doing their usual activities for 10 to 30 days of the past 30 days, such as self-care, work, or recreation.

## Prevalence of Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 8\%
- There is no national median for usual activities unattainable for $10-30$ days of the past 30

Figure 55
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 50 <br> South Dakotans Who Stated Usual Activities Unattainable Due to Poor Physical or Mental Health for 10-30 Days of the Past 30, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 7.4\% | 8.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 5\% | 3.8\% | 6.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 6\% | 4.5\% | 7.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 9\% | 7.9\% | 10.2\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 9.1\% | 11.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 7\% | 5.8\% | 9.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 9.2\% | 13.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 8.1\% | 17.8\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.9\% | 9.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 12\% | 11.2\% | 13.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.2\% | 7.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 9.3\% | 14.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 17\% | 12.5\% | 21.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 6\% | 3.8\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Student | 4\% | 2.2\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Retired | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 46\% | 42.3\% | 50.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 13\% | 11.5\% | 15.0\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 7.8\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 7\% | 5.7\% | 8.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.5\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Rent Home | 10\% | 8.9\% | 11.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 4.6\% | 6.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 3.9\% | 6.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 8\% | 7.5\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 7\% | 1.9\% | 20.3\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 5.8\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 7.2\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 3.2\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 6.1\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.2\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Meade | 8\% | 5.8\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 8\% | 6.1\% | 11.3\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities increases as age increases until it peaks in the 60s. After that, it decreases as age increases. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites exhibit a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while whites show a low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases when the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities decreases as education increases. This includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while those who are married or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of poor health keeping adults from usual activities does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Residents of Minnehaha county exhibit a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while residents of Lincoln and Brookings counties show a very low prevalence. |

Figure 56, below, shows the average number of days in the past 30 days where poor physical or mental health kept South Dakotans from doing their usual activities. For the past nine years the average number of days has remained steady.

Figure 56
Average Number of Days Poor Physical or Mental Health Kept South Dakotans From Doing Their Usual Activities In the Past 30 Days, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

## Advance Directive

Definition: South Dakotans who report they have an advance directive which is a document that states what kind of health care treatment you would want to receive, or not want to receive, if you could not speak for yourself.

## Prevalence of Advance Directive

- South Dakota 28\%
- There was no nationwide median for having an advance directive

Figure 57
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have an Advance Directive, 2015-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Having an advance directive in place increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 30s, 60s, 70 s , and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than American Indians and American Indian/Whites. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of having an advance directive in place increases as household income increases. This includes a significant increase as the $\$ 75,000+$ income group is reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of having an advance directive in place increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of having an advance directive in place, while those who are students show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of having an advance directive in place, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | Those with children in the household exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than those with no children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | Women who are not pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of having an advance directive in place than women who are pregnant. |
| County | Residents of Pennington, Lincoln, Brown, Codington, Meade, and Lawrence counties all show a very high prevalence of having an advance directive in place, while residents of Brookings county show a very low prevalence. |

## Confusion/Memory Loss

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 45 and older, who report they have experienced recent increased confusion or memory loss.

## Prevalence of Increased Confusion/Memory Loss

- South Dakota 10\%
- There is no nationwide median for increased confusion or memory loss

Figure 58
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 45 and Older, Who Have Experienced Increased Confusion or Memory Loss, 2013-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2019

| Table 52 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 45 and Older, Who Have Experienced Increased Confusion or Memory Loss, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 8\% | 6.2\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 4.0\% | 12.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 7\% | 5.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 4.8\% | 8.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 13\% | 9.4\% | 18.5\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 7.2\% | 18.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 1.4\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 12\% | 9.7\% | 15.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 8.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 5\% | 3.3\% | 6.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 7.2\% | 20.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 6.2\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 8\% | 6.0\% | 9.4\% |
|  | College Graduate | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 5\% | 3.6\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 3.6\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 10\% | 4.8\% | 19.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 2.6\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 30\% | 22.8\% | 37.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 7\% | 6.2\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 6.7\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 7.3\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 5\% | 2.1\% | 10.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 7\% | 5.8\% | 8.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 12\% | 8.7\% | 16.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 5.5\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 9\% | 7.1\% | 10.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 4.2\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 6.9\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 15\% | 8.3\% | 25.3\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 5.9\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.2\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Codington | 8\% | 5.2\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Meade | 12\% | 7.8\% | 18.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 4.5\% | 11.0\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of increased confusion or memory loss does not seem to <br> differ based on gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of increased confusion or memory loss does not seem to <br> change as age changes from 45-79, but there is a significant increase as the <br> 80s are reached. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of increased confusion or memory loss does not seem to <br> differ by race/ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of increased confusion or memory loss decreases as <br> household income increases with a significant decrease as the $\$ 35,000-$ <br> \$74,999 income group is reached. |
| HouseholdIncome | The prevalence of increased confusion or memory loss decreases as <br> education levels increase. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence <br> of increased confusion or memory loss than all other types of employment. |
| Employment |  |

## Hearing Difficulty

Definition: South Dakotans who answered yes to the question: "Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?"

## Prevalence of Hearing Difficulty

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 59
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Deaf or Have Serious
Difficulty Hearing, 2016-2019


[^7]| Table 53 <br> South Dakotans Who Are Deaf or Have Serious Difficulty Hearing, 2016-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 10\% | 9.2\% | 11.1\% |
|  | Female | 5\% | 4.6\% | 5.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 1.7\% | 3.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 2.0\% | 3.7\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 2.9\% | 5.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.5\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 8.8\% | 11.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 18\% | 15.8\% | 20.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 28\% | 24.8\% | 31.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.9\% | 8.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 8.6\% | 14.3\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 5.1\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 7\% | 3.6\% | 12.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 8.7\% | 11.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 5.9\% | 7.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 8.5\% | 13.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 8.2\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.2\% | 7.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 5\% | 4.7\% | 6.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 7\% | 5.2\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 7\% | 4.9\% | 10.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.9\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Student | 1\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Retired | 18\% | 16.2\% | 19.4\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 16\% | 12.5\% | 19.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 8\% | 6.9\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 20\% | 17.1\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Never Married | 3\% | 2.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 8\% | 7.6\% | 8.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.1\% | 4.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 11\% | 10.3\% | 12.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 0.3\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.9\% | 2.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 2.4\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 6.3\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Codington | 10\% | 8.0\% | 12.2\% |
|  | Meade | 10\% | 7.4\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.7\% |

[^8]
## Demographics

Gender

| Age | The prevalence of hearing difficulty increases as age increases. This includes <br> significant increases when people reach their $50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty, <br> while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of hearing difficulty decreases as household income |
| Household |  |
| Income | increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and <br> $\$ 75,000+$ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of hearing difficulty decreases as education increases. This <br> includes a significant decrease as the some post-high school level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence <br> of hearing difficulty while those who are a student show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty, <br> while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | The prevalence of hearing difficulty does not seem to differ based on home <br> ownership status. |
| Home | The prevalence of hearing difficulty does not seem to change based on the <br> presence of children in the household. |
| Children | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher <br> prevalence of hearing difficulty than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Phone Status | Pennington, Brown, Codington, and Meade counties exhibit a very high <br> prevalence of hearing difficulty, while those in Minnehaha, Lincoln, and <br> Brookings counties show very low prevalence. |

Definition: South Dakotans who report they have ever had an HIV test.

## Prevalence of HIV Test

- South Dakota 32\%
- Nationwide median $40 \%$

Figure 60
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been
Tested for HIV, 2011-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

| Table 54South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Tested for HIV, 2015-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 26\% | 24.5\% | 27.3\% |
|  | Female | 29\% | 27.2\% | 30.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 30\% | 27.9\% | 33.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 42\% | 39.7\% | 45.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 41\% | 37.9\% | 43.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 25\% | 23.4\% | 27.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 16\% | 14.5\% | 17.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 9\% | 7.4\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 3\% | 2.4\% | 5.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 24\% | 23.1\% | 25.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 49\% | 45.5\% | 53.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 50\% | 39.8\% | 59.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 48\% | 39.2\% | 56.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 32\% | 29.9\% | 33.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 27\% | 25.2\% | 28.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 27\% | 25.6\% | 29.4\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 23\% | 19.4\% | 27.0\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 24\% | 22.7\% | 26.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 29\% | 27.7\% | 31.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 29\% | 27.7\% | 30.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 32\% | 30.7\% | 33.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 24\% | 21.3\% | 27.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 44\% | 38.1\% | 50.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 30\% | 25.3\% | 36.0\% |
|  | Student | 19\% | 14.9\% | 23.6\% |
|  | Retired | 11\% | 9.5\% | 11.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 42\% | 37.7\% | 46.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 25\% | 23.8\% | 26.3\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 41\% | 38.1\% | 44.0\% |
|  | Widowed | 9\% | 7.5\% | 11.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 31\% | 28.8\% | 33.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 24\% | 22.7\% | 24.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 38\% | 35.7\% | 40.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 41\% | 38.9\% | 43.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 32\% | 29.5\% | 35.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 18\% | 16.7\% | 19.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 31\% | 29.9\% | 32.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 67\% | 53.9\% | 77.9\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 42\% | 39.7\% | 45.1\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 30\% | 27.6\% | 32.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 33\% | 30.4\% | 35.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 30\% | 24.9\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Brown | 23\% | 20.0\% | 26.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 18\% | 15.1\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Codington | 23\% | 19.8\% | 26.8\% |
|  | Meade | 33\% | 28.1\% | 38.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 22\% | 18.4\% | 25.7\% |
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## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of HIV testing does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | HIV testing peaks with those in their 30 s and then decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the $50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of HIV testing than all other races/ethnicities. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of HIV testing does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of HIV testing increases as education levels increase. This includes a significant increase as the some post-high school level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while those who are retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | Those who have children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who do not have children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who primarily use a landline. |
| Pregnancy Status | Those who are pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who are not pregnant. |
| County | Minnehaha, Pennington, Lincoln, and Meade counties exhibit a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while Brown, Brookings, Codington, and Lawrence counties all show a very low prevalence. |

## Prescription Pain Medication

Definition: South Dakotans who have taken prescription pain medication in the past twelve months.

## Prevalence of Prescription Pain Medication

- South Dakota 15\%
- There is no nationwide median for prescription pain medication

Figure 61
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Taken Prescription Pain Medication In the Last 12 Months, 2017-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2019


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2019

## Demographics

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of taking prescription pain <br> medication than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication generally increases as <br> age increases and peaks in the 60s. This includes a significant increase as <br> the 50s are reached. After that, the prevalence decreases as age increases. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on race or ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to <br> change as household income changes. |
| Income | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to <br> change as education levels change. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of taking <br> prescription pain medication, while those who are employed for wages, self- <br> employed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of taking prescription <br> pain medication, while those who have never been married show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Home | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on home ownership. |
| Chwership | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Children | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on phone status. |
| Phone Status | Residents of Pennington county demonstrate a very high prevalence of taking <br> prescription pain medication, while residents of Minnehaha, Brookings, and <br> Codington counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Substance Abuse Treatment

Definition: South Dakotans who have ever been treated or are currently being treated by a health care professional for substance abuse.

## Prevalence of Substance Abuse Treatment

- South Dakota 2\%
- There is no nationwide median for substance abuse treatment

Figure 62
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Been or Are Currently Being Treated for Substance Abuse, 2016-2019


[^10]| Table 56 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Been or Are Currently Being Treated for Substance Abuse, 2016-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 2\% | 1.9\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Female | 1\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 2.6\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 2.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.3\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 2.7\% | 8.3\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 1.1\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 2\% | 0.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 2\% | 0.8\% | 3.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 2\% | 1.7\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 2\% | 1.7\% | 3.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 2\% | 0.8\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 8\% | 4.1\% | 14.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 1\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Student | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% |
|  | Retired | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 4\% | 2.2\% | 6.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 3\% | 2.3\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 4\% | 2.6\% | 5.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 1\% | 1.1\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 2.6\% | 4.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.6\% | 3.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.6\% | 4.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 1\% | 0.9\% | 1.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 2\% | 1.7\% | 2.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 0.8\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.1\% | 3.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 0.9\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 3\% | 1.9\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Lincoln | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% |
|  | Brown | 1\% | 0.4\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 1\% | 0.4\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Codington | 2\% | 1.0\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Meade | 3\% | 1.1\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 2\% | 0.8\% | 3.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

## Demographics

Gender

Age

Ethnicity
Household Income

Education The prevalence of seeking treatment for substance abuse does not seem to change as education changes.

Employment Those who are unemployed or unable to work exhibit a very high prevalence of seeking treatment for substance abuse, while those who are self-employed, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced or have never been married demonstrate a very high prevalence of seeking treatment for substance abuse, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence.

Home Those who rent their home exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of seeking Ownership

## Children

Status
Phone Status There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking treatment for substance abuse regarding phone status.

Pregnancy There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of seeking treatment for Status

County Residents of Pennington county demonstrate a very high prevalence of seeking treatment for substance abuse, while residents of Lincoln county show a very low prevalence.

## Family Planning

Definition: South Dakota females, ages 18-49, who are currently using birth control.

## Prevalence of Birth Control Use

- South Dakota 80\%
- There is no nationwide median for using birth control

Figure 63
Percentage of Female South Dakotans, Ages 18-49, Who Are Currently Using Birth Control, 2017-2019


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2019

| Table 57Female South Dakotans, Ages 18-49, Who Are Currently Using Birth Control, 2017-2019 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017-2019 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 79\% | 75.4\% | 83.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 82\% | 74.7\% | 87.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 81\% | 73.7\% | 86.6\% |
|  | 40-49 | 74\% | 66.9\% | 80.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | - | - | - |
|  | 60-69 | - | - | - |
|  | 70-79 | - | - | - |
|  | 80+ | - | - | - |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 81\% | 76.7\% | 84.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 75\% | 63.6\% | 83.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 78\% | 69.2\% | 84.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 81\% | 73.5\% | 86.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 85\% | 77.8\% | 90.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | * | * | * |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 69\% | 58.2\% | 78.0\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 80\% | 73.4\% | 85.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 86\% | 80.9\% | 89.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 79\% | 74.1\% | 83.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 78\% | 64.5\% | 87.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | * | * | * |
|  | Homemaker | 77\% | 62.0\% | 87.8\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | * | * | * |
|  | Unable to Work | * | * | * |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 80\% | 75.0\% | 84.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 77\% | 62.2\% | 87.8\% |
|  | Widowed | * | * | * |
|  | Never Married | 80\% | 71.6\% | 87.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 81\% | 76.2\% | 85.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 75\% | 67.0\% | 82.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 81\% | 75.2\% | 85.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 79\% | 70.5\% | 86.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 79\% | 70.3\% | 86.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 80\% | 74.9\% | 83.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 80\% | 75.9\% | 84.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 73\% | 60.0\% | 82.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 78\% | 65.7\% | 86.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | * | * | * |
|  | Brown | * | * | * |
|  | Brookings | * | * | * |
|  | Codington | * | * | * |
|  | Meade | * | * | * |
|  | Lawrence | * | * | * |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2019

## Demographics

Age
Birth control use decreases as age increases.
Race/ The prevalence of birth control use does not seem to differ based on Ethnicity

Household race/ethnicity.

Income
Education Birth control use increases as education levels increase.
Employment The prevalence of birth control does not seem to change based on employment.
Marital Birth control use does not seem to differ based on marital status.
Status
Home Birth control use does not seem to differ based on home ownership status.
Ownership
Children
Status
Birth control use does not seem to differ based on presence of children in the household.

Phone Status Birth control use does not seem to differ based on phone status.
County The prevalence of birth control use does not seem to differ between the two available counties of Minnehaha and Pennington.

The following table shows the type of birth control women, ages 18-49, use. The most common method of birth control was birth control pills followed by male condoms.

| Type of Birth Control Us Used, 2017-2019 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Birth control pills | $26 \%$ |
| Male condoms | $23 \%$ |
| Female sterilization (ex. Tubal ligation, Essure, Adiana) | $17 \%$ |
| Male sterilization (vasectomy) | $14 \%$ |
| IUD | $13 \%$ |
| Other method | $7 \%$ |

Source: South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2017-2019

The following table shows the reasons for not using birth control when asked of women, ages 1849. The most common reason for not using birth control was that the respondent did not think they or their partner could become pregnant (infertile or too old). The second most common response was they did not think they were going to have sex or had no regular partner.

| Table 59 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reason for Not Using Birth Control, 2017-2019 |  |
| Don't think you or your partner can get pregnant (infertile or too old) | $34 \%$ |
| Didn't think you were going to have sex/no regular partner | $10 \%$ |
| Just didn't think about it | $9 \%$ |
| Religious reasons | $7 \%$ |
| Other reasons | $40 \%$ |

Source: South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2017-2019

## Appendix A: Demographics

| Table 60Demographics of Survey Respondents, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  |  | \# Resp. | Col \% | \# Resp. | Col \% | \# Resp. | Col \% |
| Total |  | 6,630 | 100\% | 2,914 | 100\% | 3,716 | 100\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 644 | 10\% | 345 | 12\% | 299 | 8\% |
|  | 30-39 | 722 | 11\% | 357 | 12\% | 365 | 10\% |
|  | 40-49 | 769 | 12\% | 341 | 12\% | 428 | 12\% |
|  | 50-59 | 1,163 | 18\% | 533 | 18\% | 630 | 17\% |
|  | 60-69 | 1,524 | 23\% | 683 | 23\% | 841 | 23\% |
|  | 70-79 | 1,168 | 18\% | 459 | 16\% | 709 | 19\% |
|  | 80+ | 640 | 10\% | 196 | 7\% | 444 | 12\% |
| Race/Ethnici ty | White, Non-Hispanic | 5,465 | 82\% | 2,402 | 82\% | 3,063 | 82\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 858 | 13\% | 358 | 12\% | 500 | 13\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 109 | 2\% | 50 | 2\% | 59 | 2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 102 | 2\% | 53 | 2\% | 49 | 1\% |
|  | Other | 96 | 1\% | 51 | 2\% | 45 | 1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$10,000 | 196 | 3\% | 79 | 3\% | 117 | 3\% |
|  | \$10,000-\$14,999 | 190 | 3\% | 69 | 2\% | 121 | 3\% |
|  | \$15,000-\$19,999 | 326 | 5\% | 120 | 4\% | 206 | 6\% |
|  | \$20,000-\$24,999 | 487 | 7\% | 201 | 7\% | 286 | 8\% |
|  | \$25,000-\$34,999 | 571 | 9\% | 253 | 9\% | 318 | 9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$49,999 | 876 | 13\% | 397 | 14\% | 479 | 13\% |
|  | \$50,000-\$74,999 | 966 | 15\% | 467 | 16\% | 499 | 14\% |
|  | \$75,000 + | 1,630 | 25\% | 839 | 29\% | 791 | 21\% |
|  | Not Stated | 1,352 | 21\% | 475 | 16\% | 877 | 24\% |
| Education | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade or Less | 79 | 1\% | 43 | 1\% | 36 | 1\% |
|  | Some High School | 277 | 4\% | 120 | 4\% | 157 | 4\% |
|  | High School or G.E.D. | 1,879 | 28\% | 891 | 31\% | 988 | 27\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 2,060 | 31\% | 887 | 30\% | 1,173 | 32\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2,304 | 35\% | 956 | 33\% | 1,348 | 36\% |
|  | Not Stated | 31 | 0\% | 17 | 1\% | 14 | 0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2,709 | 41\% | 1,233 | 42\% | 1,476 | 40\% |
|  | Self-employed | 820 | 12\% | 527 | 18\% | 293 | 8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 201 | 3\% | 103 | 4\% | 98 | 3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 257 | 4\% | 8 | 0\% | 249 | 7\% |
|  | Student | 165 | 2\% | 82 | 3\% | 83 | 2\% |
|  | Retired | 2,060 | 31\% | 794 | 27\% | 1,266 | 34\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 326 | 5\% | 125 | 4\% | 201 | 5\% |
|  | Not Stated | 73 | 1\% | 33 | 1\% | 40 | 1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3,871 | 58\% | 1,757 | 60\% | 2,114 | 57\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 864 | 13\% | 389 | 13\% | 475 | 13\% |
|  | Widowed | 844 | 13\% | 183 | 6\% | 661 | 18\% |
|  | Never Married | 1,002 | 15\% | 561 | 19\% | 441 | 12\% |
|  | Not Stated | 49 | 1\% | 24 | 1\% | 25 | 1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 3,021 | 46\% | 1,035 | 36\% | 1,986 | 53\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 3,609 | 54\% | 1,879 | 64\% | 1,730 | 47\% |
| Home Ownership | Own Home | 5,037 | 80\% | 2,188 | 79\% | 2,849 | 80\% |
|  | Rent Home | 1,291 | 20\% | 588 | 21\% | 703 | 20\% |
| Children in Household | Yes | 1,712 | 26\% | 743 | 26\% | 969 | 26\% |
|  | No | 4,805 | 73\% | 2,114 | 73\% | 2,691 | 73\% |
|  | Not Stated | 89 | 1\% | 46 | 2\% | 43 | 1\% |
| Pregnant (18-44) | Yes | 41 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 41 | 4\% |
|  | No | 1,069 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 1,069 | 96\% |
|  | Not Stated | 9 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 1\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2019

| Table 61 <br> Surveys Completed by Resident County, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident County | Surveys Completed | \% of Total Surveys | Total Adult Population | \% of Total Population | \# Surveyed per 1,000 Population |
| Total | 6,630 | 100.0\% | 667,558 | 100.0\% | 9.9 |
| Aurora | 11 | 0.2\% | 2,069 | 0.3\% | 5.3 |
| Beadle | 30 | 0.5\% | 13,460 | 2.0\% | 2.2 |
| Bennett | 151 | 2.3\% | 2,229 | 0.3\% | 67.7 |
| Bon Homme | 16 | 0.2\% | 5,563 | 0.8\% | 2.9 |
| Brookings | 535 | 8.1\% | 27,836 | 4.2\% | 19.2 |
| Brown | 575 | 8.7\% | 29,634 | 4.4\% | 19.4 |
| Brule | 18 | 0.3\% | 3,932 | 0.6\% | 4.6 |
| Buffalo | 22 | 0.3\% | 1,203 | 0.2\% | 18.3 |
| Butte | 48 | 0.7\% | 7,850 | 1.2\% | 6.1 |
| Campbell | 13 | 0.2\% | 1,150 | 0.2\% | 11.3 |
| Charles Mix | 16 | 0.2\% | 6,520 | 1.0\% | 2.5 |
| Clark | 26 | 0.4\% | 2,731 | 0.4\% | 9.5 |
| Clay | 44 | 0.7\% | 11,619 | 1.7\% | 3.8 |
| Codington | 578 | 8.7\% | 21,364 | 3.2\% | 27.1 |
| Corson | 114 | 1.7\% | 2,592 | 0.4\% | 44.0 |
| Custer | 46 | 0.7\% | 7,646 | 1.1\% | 6.0 |
| Davison | 40 | 0.6\% | 15,222 | 2.3\% | 2.6 |
| Day | 21 | 0.3\% | 4,211 | 0.6\% | 5.0 |
| Deuel | 49 | 0.7\% | 3,348 | 0.5\% | 14.6 |
| Dewey | 152 | 2.3\% | 3,658 | 0.5\% | 41.6 |
| Douglas | 6 | 0.1\% | 2,160 | 0.3\% | 2.8 |
| Edmunds | 33 | 0.5\% | 2,946 | 0.4\% | 11.2 |
| Fall River | 39 | 0.6\% | 5,586 | 0.8\% | 7.0 |
| Faulk | 17 | 0.3\% | 1,708 | 0.3\% | 10.0 |
| Grant | 33 | 0.5\% | 5,462 | 0.8\% | 6.0 |
| Gregory | 15 | 0.2\% | 3,189 | 0.5\% | 4.7 |
| Haakon | 38 | 0.6\% | 1,456 | 0.2\% | 26.1 |
| Hamlin | 63 | 1.0\% | 4,155 | 0.6\% | 15.2 |
| Hand | 6 | 0.1\% | 2,501 | 0.4\% | 2.4 |
| Hanson | 12 | 0.2\% | 2,410 | 0.4\% | 5.0 |
| Harding | 12 | 0.2\% | 988 | 0.1\% | 12.1 |
| Hughes | 47 | 0.7\% | 13,285 | 2.0\% | 3.5 |
| Hutchinson | 30 | 0.5\% | 5,444 | 0.8\% | 5.5 |
| Hyde | 13 | 0.2\% | 1,021 | 0.2\% | 12.7 |
| Jackson | 112 | 1.7\% | 2,179 | 0.3\% | 51.4 |
| Jerauld | 7 | 0.1\% | 1,539 | 0.2\% | 4.5 |
| Jones | 5 | 0.1\% | 708 | 0.1\% | 7.1 |
| Kingsbury | 16 | 0.2\% | 3,778 | 0.6\% | 4.2 |
| Lake | 35 | 0.5\% | 10,220 | 1.5\% | 3.4 |
| Lawrence | 92 | 1.4\% | 21,319 | 3.2\% | 4.3 |
| Lincoln | 581 | 8.8\% | 44,224 | 6.6\% | 13.1 |
| Lyman | 13 | 0.2\% | 2,670 | 0.4\% | 4.9 |
| McCook | 17 | 0.3\% | 4,034 | 0.6\% | 4.2 |
| McPherson | 16 | 0.2\% | 1,790 | 0.3\% | 8.9 |
| Marshall | 36 | 0.5\% | 3,753 | 0.6\% | 9.6 |
| Meade | 504 | 7.6\% | 21,993 | 3.3\% | 22.9 |
| Mellette | 84 | 1.3\% | 1,427 | 0.2\% | 58.9 |
| Miner | 10 | 0.2\% | 1,684 | 0.3\% | 5.9 |
| Minnehaha | 612 | 9.2\% | 144,430 | 21.6\% | 4.2 |
| Moody | 31 | 0.5\% | 4,831 | 0.7\% | 6.4 |
| Oglala Lakota | 320 | 4.8\% | 8,964 | 1.3\% | 35.7 |
| Pennington | 661 | 10.0\% | 87,805 | 13.2\% | 7.5 |
| Perkins | 28 | 0.4\% | 2,271 | 0.3\% | 12.3 |
| Potter | 13 | 0.2\% | 1,698 | 0.3\% | 7.7 |
| Roberts | 40 | 0.6\% | 7,349 | 1.1\% | 5.4 |
| Sanborn | 8 | 0.1\% | 1,759 | 0.3\% | 4.5 |
| Spink | 22 | 0.3\% | 4,909 | 0.7\% | 4.5 |


| Surveys Completed by Resident County, 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2019
2019 Population Estimates, United States Census Bureau

## Appendix B: BRFSS Questionnaire

## Health Status

1.1 Would you say that in general your health is-

1 Excellent
2 Very good
3 Good
4 Fair
5 Poor
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Healthy Days

2.1 Now thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good? Number of days
$\bar{N}$ one
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

### 2.2 Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good? <br> Number of days (01-30) <br> 88- None <br> Don't know / Not sure <br> Refused

2.3 During the past 30 days, for about how many days did poor physical or mental health keep you from doing your usual activities, such as self-care, work, or recreation?
Number of days (01-30)
88 None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Health Care Access

3.1 Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, government plans such as Medicare, or Indian Health Service?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
3.2 Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider? If No, ask: Is there more than one, or is there no person who you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Yes, only one } \\ 2 & \text { More than one }\end{array}$
3 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

### 3.3 Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No <br> Don't know / Not sure <br> Refused

| 1.4 | About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago) |
| 2 | Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago) |
| 3 | Within the past 5 years (2 years but less than 5 years ago) |
| 4 | 5 or more years ago |
| Don't know / Not sure |  |
| Never |  |
| Refused |  |

## Hypertension Awareness

4.1 Have you EVER been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that you have high blood pressure? If "Yes" and respondent is female, ask: "Was this only when you were pregnant?"
1 Yes
2 Yes, but female told only during pregnancy [Go to next section]
3 No [Go to next section]
4 Told borderline high or pre-hypertensive [Go to next section]

Don't know / Not sure
Refused
[Go to next section]
[Go to next section]
4.2 Are you currently taking medicine for your high blood pressure?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Cholesterol Awareness

5.1 Blood cholesterol is a fatty substance found in the blood. About how long has it been since you last had your blood cholesterol checked?
1 Never [GO TO NEXT SECTION]
2 Within the past year (anytime less than one year ago)
3 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 3 years (2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$5 \quad$ Within the past 4 years (3 years but less than 4 years ago)
$6 \quad$ Within the past 5 years (4 years but less than 5 years ago)
85 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused [GO TO NEXT SECTION]

| 5.2 | Have you EVER been told by a doctor, nurse or other health professional that your blood <br> cholesterol is high? |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes |
| 2 | No | [GO TO NEXT SECTION] | Don't know / Not sure | [GO TO NEXT SECTION] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Refused | [GO TO NEXT SECTION] |

### 5.3 Are you currently taking medicine prescribed by your doctor for your blood cholesterol?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Chronic Health Conditions

Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had any of the following? For each, tell me Yes, No, or you're Not sure.
6.1 (Ever told) you that you had a heart attack also called a myocardial infarction?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## 6.2 (Ever told) (you had) angina or coronary heart disease? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No <br> Don't know / Not sure <br> Refused

6.3 (Ever told) (you had) a stroke?
$1 \quad$ Yes
$2 \quad$ No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

| 6.4 | (Ever told) | (you had) asthma? |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Yes |  |
| 2 | No | [Go to Q6.6] |
| Don't know / Not sure | [Go to Q6.6] |  |
| Refused | [Go to Q6.6] |  |

6.5 Do you still have asthma?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.6 (Ever told) (you had) skin cancer?
$1 \quad$ Yes
$2 \quad$ No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.7 (Ever told) (you had) any other types of cancer?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.8 (Ever told) (you had) chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or C.O.P.D., emphysema or chronic bronchitis?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.09 (Ever told) (you had) a depressive disorder (including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression)?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.10 Not including kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence, were you ever told you have
kidney disease? Note: (Incontinence is not being able to control urine flow.)
$1 \quad$ Yes
$2 \quad$ No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.11 (Ever told) (you had) diabetes?

IF YES AND RESPONDENT IS FEMALE, ASK: WAS THIS ONLY WHEN YOU WERE PREGNANT? IF RESPONDENT SAYS PRE-DIABETES OR BORDERLINE DIABETES, USE RESPONSE CODE 4. 1

2 Yes, but female told only during pregnancy
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
3 No
4 No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes
Don't know / Not sure
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
Refused
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]

### 6.12 How old were you when you were told you have diabetes?

Code age in years [97 = 97 and older]
D̄̄n't know / Not sure
Refused

## Arthritis

Has a doctor, nurse or other health professional ever told you that you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?
1 Yes

2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
[Go to next section]
[Go to next section]
[Go to next section]

INTERVIEWER NOTE: Arthritis diagnoses include:

- rheumatism, polymyalgia rheumatica
- osteoarthritis (not osteoporosis)
- tendonitis, bursitis, bunion, tennis elbow
- carpal tunnel syndrome, tarsal tunnel syndrome
- joint infection, Reiter's syndrome
- ankylosing spondylitis; spondylosis
- rotator cuff syndrome
- connective tissue disease, scleroderma, polymyositis, Raynaud's syndrome
- vasculitis (giant cell arteritis, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, Wegener's granulomatosis,
- polyarteritis nodosa)

Has a doctor or other health professional ever suggested physical activity or exercise to help your arthritis or joint symptoms?
1 Yes

2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
Have you ever taken an educational course or class to teach you how to manage problems related to your arthritis or joint symptoms?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

Are you now limited in any way in any of your usual activities because of arthritis or joint symptoms?
1 Yes

2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
In the next question, we are referring to work for pay. Do arthritis or joint symptoms now affect whether you work, the type of work you do or the amount of work you do?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
Please think about the past 30 days, keeping in mind all of your joint pain or aching and whether or not you have taken medication. During the past 30 days, how bad was your joint pain on average on a scale of 0 to 10 where 0 is no pain and 10 is pain or aching as bad as it can be.

Enter number [00-10]
Dōn't know/ Not sure
Refused

## Demographics

### 8.01 What is your age?

Code age in years
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.02 Are you Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin?

If yes, ask: Are you...
1 Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano/a
2 Puerto Rican
3 Cuban
4 Another Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin
No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.03 Which one or more of the following would you say is your race?

10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
47 Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian

## 52 Guamanian or Chamorro

53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
Other
No additional choices
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.04 Which one of these groups would you say best represents your race?

INTERVIEWER NOTE: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategory underneath major heading.
10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
47 Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
Other
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

```
8.5 Are you...?
M Married
2 Divorced
3 Widowed
Separated
N Never married
6 A member of an unmarried couple
Refused
```

8.6 What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?
1 Never attended school or only attended kindergarten
2 Grades 1 through 8 (Elementary)
$3 \quad$ Grades 9 through 11 (Some high school)
4 Grade 12 or GED (High school graduate)
5 College 1 year to 3 years (Some college or technical school)
$6 \quad$ College 4 years or more (College graduate)
Refused
8.7 Do you own or rent your home?

1 Own

2 Rent
3 Other arrangement
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

### 8.8 In what county do you currently live?

ANSI County Code (formerly FIPS county code)
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

### 8.9 What is the ZIP Code where you currently live? ZIP Code

$\overline{\mathrm{D}} \overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\bar{t} \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{kno} \mathrm{w}$ / Not sure
Refused
8.10 Not including cell phones or numbers used for computers, fax machines or security systems, do you have more than one telephone number in your household?
1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q8.12]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q8.12]
Refused
[Go to Q8.12]

### 8.11 How many of these telephone numbers are residential numbers?

Residential telephone numbers [6=6 or more]
$\overline{6} \quad$ Six or more
Don't know / Not sure
None
Refused

### 8.12 How many cell phones do you have for personal use? <br> Enter number (1-5) <br> $6 \quad$ Six or more <br> Don't know / Not sure <br> None <br> Refused <br> 8.13 Have you ever served on active duty in the United States Armed Forces, either in the regular military or in a National Guard or military reserve unit? <br> 1 Yes <br> 2 No <br> Don't know / Not sure <br> Refused

8.14 Are you currently...?

1 Employed for wages
2 Self-employed
3 Out of work for 1 year or more
4 Out of work for less than 1 year
5 A Homemaker
6 A Student
7 Retired

8 Unable to work
Refused
8.15 How many children less than 18 years of age live in your household?
Number of children

Nōne
Refused
8.16 Is your annual household income from all sourcesIf respondent refuses at ANY income level, code Refused 04 Less than $\$ 25,000$ If no, ask 05; if yes, ask 03
( $\$ 20,000$ to less than $\$ 25,000$ )
03 Less than $\$ 20,000$ If no, code 04; if yes, ask 02
( $\$ 15,000$ to less than $\$ 20,000$ )
02 Less than \$15,000 If no, code 03; if yes, ask 01
( $\$ 10,000$ to less than $\$ 15,000$ )
01 Less than \$10,000 If no, code 02
05 Less than \$35,000 If no, ask 06
( $\$ 25,000$ to less than $\$ 35,000$ )
06 Less than $\$ 50,000$ If no, ask 07
( $\$ 35,000$ to less than $\$ 50,000$ )
07 Less than $\$ 75,000$ If no, code 08
( $\$ 50,000$ to less than $\$ 75,000$ )
08 \$75,000 or more
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.17 About how much do you weigh without shoes?

Weight (pounds/kilograms)
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.18 About how tall are you without shoes?

I__ Height (ft / inches/meters/centimeters)
Dōn't know / Not sure
Refused
8.19 To your knowledge, are you now pregnant?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Hearing Impairment

Some people who are deaf or have serious difficulty hearing use assistive devices to communicate by phone.
8.20 Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not Sure
Refused
8.21 Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not Sure
Refused
8.22 Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.23 Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.24 Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
8.25 Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Tobacco Use

9.1 Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life? NOTE: 5 packs = 100 cigarettes 1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q9.5]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q9.5]
Refused
[Go to Q9.5]


Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q9.5]
Refused
[Go to Q9.5 USENOW3]
9.4 How long has it been since you last smoked a cigarette, even one or two puffs?

01 Within the past month (less than 1 month ago)
02 Within the past 3 months ( 1 month but less than 3 months ago)
03 Within the past 6 months ( 3 months but less than 6 months ago)
04 Within the past year ( 6 months but less than 1 year ago)
05 Within the past 5 years (1 year but less than 5 years ago)
06 Within the past 10 years ( 5 years but less than 10 years ago)
$07 \quad 10$ years or more
08 Never smoked regularly
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.5 Do you currently use chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus every day, some days, or not at all?

1 Every day
2 Some days
3 Not at all
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Alcohol Consumption

10.1 During the past 30 days, how many days per week or per month did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage such as beer, wine, a malt beverage or liquor?
1 __ Days per week
2-- Days in past 30 days
No drinks in past 30 days [Go to next section]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to next section]
Refused
[Go to next section]
10.2 One drink is equivalent to a 12-ounce beer, a 5 -ounce glass of wine, or a drink with one shot of liquor. During the past 30 days, on the days when you drank, about how many drinks did you drink on the average?
Number of drinks
Dōn't know / Not sure
None
Refused
10.3 Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, how many times during the past 30 days did you have $\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{X}=5$ for men, $\mathrm{X}=4$ for women] or more drinks on an occasion? Number of times
$\overline{\text { None }}$
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.4 During the past 30 days, what is the largest number of drinks you had on any occasion?

Number of drinks
Dōn't know / Not sure
Refused

## Exercise (Physical Activity)

11.01 During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?
1 Yes
2 No [Go to C 11.08]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to C 11.08]
Refused
[Go to C 11.08]
11.02 What type of physical activity or exercise did you spend the most time doing during the past month?
(Specify) [See Physical Activity Coding List]
Don't know / Not Sure[Go to C 11.08]
Refused
[Go to C 11.08]
11.03 How many times per week or per month did you take part in this activity during the past month?

1_- Times per week
2_- Times per month
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
11.04 And when you took part in this activity, for how many minutes or hours did you usually keep at it?
_:_ Hours and minutes
Dōn't know / Not sure
Refused
11.05 What other type of physical activity gave you the next most exercise during the past month? (Specify) [See Physical Activity Coding List]
Dōn't know / Not Sure[Go to C 11.08]
No other activity [Go to C 11.08]
Refused
[Go to C 11.08]
11.06 How many times per week or per month did you take part in this activity during the past month?

1__ Times per week
2_- Times per month
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
11.07 And when you took part in this activity, for how many minutes or hours did you usually keep at it?

- : Hours and minutes

D'ōn't know / Not sure
Refused
11.08 During the past month, how many times per week or per month did you do physical activities or exercises to strengthen your muscles?
1_ _ Times per week
2__ Times per month
Never
Don't know / Not sure

Refused

## Fruits and Vegetables

12.01 Now think about the foods you ate or drank during the past month, that is, the past 30 days, including meals and snacks. Not including juices, how often did you eat fruit? You can tell me times per day, times per week or times per month.
1_ _ Times per day
2_- Times per week
3__ Times per month
Less than once a month
Never
Don't Know
Refused
12.02 Not including fruit-flavored drinks or fruit juices with added sugar, how often did you drink 100\% fruit juice such as apple or orange juice?
1_- Times per day
2-- Times per week
3_- Times per month
Less than once a month
Never
Don't Know
Refused
12.03 How often did you eat a green leafy or lettuce salad, with or without other vegetables?

1_- Times per day
2_ _ Times per week
3__ Times per month
Less than once a month
Never
Don't Know
Refused
12.04 How often did you eat any kind of fried potatoes, including French fries, home fries, or hash browns?
1_- Times per day
2_ _ Times per week
3__ Times per month
Less than once a month
Never
Don't Know
Refused
12.05 How often did you eat any other kind of potatoes, or sweet potatoes, such as baked, boiled, mashed potatoes, or potato salad?
1_ _ Times per day
2_ - Times per week
3__ Times per month
Less than once a month
Never

Don't Know
Refused
12.06 Not including lettuce salads and potatoes, how often did you eat other vegetables?

1_ _ Times per day
2_ _ Times per week
3_- Times per month
Less than once a month
Never
Don't Know
Refused

## Immunization

13.01 During the past 12 months, have you had either a flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose or a flu shot injected into your arm?
1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q13.03]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q13.03]
Refused
[Go to Q13.03]
13.02 During what month and year did you receive your most recent flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose or flu shot injected into your arm?
--- ---- Month / Year
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
13.03 Have you received a tetanus shot in the past 10 years? If yes, ask: Was this Tdap, the tetanus shot that also has pertussis or whooping cough vaccine?
1 Yes, received Tdap
2 Yes, received tetanus shot, but not Tdap
3 Yes, received tetanus shot but not sure what type
$4 \quad$ No, did not receive any tetanus shot in the past 10 years
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
13.04 Have you ever had a pneumonia shot also known as a pneumococcal vaccine?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## HIVIAIDS

The next few questions are about the national health problem of HIV, the virus that causes AIDS. Please remember that your answers are strictly confidential and that you don't have to answer every question if you do not want to. Although we will ask you about testing, we will not ask you about the results of any test you may have had.

> 14.1 Including fluid testing from your mouth, but Not including tests you may have had for blood donation, have you ever been tested for HIV?
> 1 Yes

2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
[Go to C14.03]
[Go to C14.03]
[Go to C14.03]
14.02 Not including blood donations, in what month and year was your last HIV test?
__l__ Code month and year
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
14.03 I am going to read you a list. When I am done, please tell me if any of the situations apply to you. You do not need to tell me which one.

You have injected any drug other than those prescribed for you in the past year.
You have been treated for a sexually transmitted disease or STD in the past year.
You have given or received money or drugs in exchange for sex in the past year.
You had anal sex without a condom in the past year.
You had four or more sex partners in the past year.
Do any of these situations apply to you?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Pre-Diabetes

1. Have you had a test for high blood sugar or diabetes within the past three years?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes?
If Yes and respondent is female, ask: Was this only when you were pregnant?

1 Yes
2 Yes, during pregnancy
3 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
[GO TO 6.13]
[GO TO 6.13]
[GO TO 6.13]
[GO TO 6.13]
[GO TO 6.13]

## Home/ Self-measured Blood Pressure

16.01 Have your doctor nurse or other healthcare professional recommended you check your blood pressure outside of the office or at home?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused
16.02 Do you regularly check your blood pressure outside of your healthcare professional's office or at home?
1 Yes
2 No [Go to next section]
Don't know/not sure [Go to next section]
Refused [Go to next section]
16.03 Do you take it mostly at home or on a machine at a pharmacy, grocery or similar location?

1 At home
2 On a machine at a pharmacy, grocery or similar location
3 Do not check it
Don't know/not sure
Refused
16.04 How do you share your blood pressure numbers that you collected with your healthcare professional? Is it mostly by telephone, other methods such as emails, internet portal or fax, or in person?
1 Telephone
2 Other methods such as email, internet portal or fax or
3 In person
4 Do not share information
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Cognitive Decline

If respondent is 45 years or older continue, else go to next module.
The next few questions ask about difficulties in thinking or remembering that can make a big difference in everyday activities. This does not refer to occasionally forgetting your keys or the name of someone you recently met, which is normal. This refers to confusion or memory loss that is happening more often or getting worse, such as forgetting how to do things you've always done or forgetting things that you would normally know. We want to know how these difficulties impact you.
20.01 During the past 12 months, have you experienced confusion or memory loss that is happening more often or is getting worse?

1 Yes
[Go to CGHOUSE M20.02]
2 No
[Go to next module]
Don't know/not sure [Go to CGHOUSE M20.02]
Refused
[Go to next module]
20.02 During the past 12 months, as a result of confusion or memory loss, how often have you given up day-to-day household activities or chores you used to do, such as cooking, cleaning, taking medications, driving, or paying bills? Would you say it is...
1 Always
2 Usually
3 Sometimes
4 Rarely
5 Never
Don't know/not sure

## Refused

20.03 As a result of confusion or memory loss, how often do you need assistance with these day-today activities? Would you say it is...
1 Always
2 Usually
3 Sometimes
4 Rarely [Go to CDSOCIAL M20.05]
5 Never [Go to CDSOCIAL M20.05]
Don't know/not sure [Go to CDSOCIAL M20.05]
Refused
[Go to CDSOCIAL M20.05]
20.04 When you need help with these day-to-day activities, how often are you able to get the help that you need? Would you say it is...
1 Always
2 Usually
3 Sometimes
4 Rarely
5 Never
Don't know/not sure
Refused
20.05 During the past 12 months, how often has confusion or memory loss interfered with your ability to work, volunteer, or engage in social activities outside the home? Would you say it is..
1 Always
2 Usually
3 Sometimes
4 Rarely
5 Never
Don't know/not sure
Refused
20.06 Have you or anyone else discussed your confusion or memory loss with a health care professional?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Family Planning

If respondent is female and greater than 49 years of age, is pregnant or if respondent is male go to the next module.
23.01 The last time you had sex with a man, did you or your partner do anything to keep you from getting pregnant?
1 Yes [Go To M23.02]
2 No [GO TO M23.03]
3 No partner/not sexually active [GO TO NEXT SECTION]
4 Same sex partner [GO TO NEXT SECTION]
Don't know/not sure [GO TO NEXT SECTION]
Refused
[GO TO NEXT SECTION]
23.02 The last time you had sex with a man, what did you or your partner do to keep you from getting pregnant?
01 Female sterilization (ex. Tubal ligation, Essure, Adiana)
02 Male sterilization (vasectomy)
03 Contraceptive implant (ex. Nexplanon, Jadelle, Sino Implant, Implanon)
04 IUD, Levonorgestrel (LNG) or other hormonal (ex. Mirena, Skyla, Liletta, Kylena)
05 IUD, Copper-bearing (ex. Paragard)
06 IUD, type unknown
07 Shots (ex. Depo-Provera or DMPA)
08 Birth control pulls, any kind
09 Contraceptive patch (ex. Ortho Evra, Xulane)
10 Contraceptive ring (ex. NuvaRing)
11 Male condoms
12 Diaphragm, cervical cap, sponge
13 Female condoms
14 No having sex at certain times (rhythm or natural family planning)
15 Withdrawl (or pulling out)
16 Foam, jelly, film, or cream
17 Emergency contraception (morning after pill)
18 Other method
Don't know/not sure
Refused
23.03 Some reasons for not doing anything to keep you from getting pregnant the last time you had sex might include wanting a pregnancy, not being able to pay for birth control, or not thinking that you can get pregnant. What was your main reason for not using a method to prevent pregnancy the last time you had sex with a man?
01 You didn't think you were going to have sex/no regular partner
02 You just didn't think about it
03 Don't care if you get pregnant
04 You want a pregnancy
05 You or your partner don't want to use birth control
06 You or your partner don't like birth control/side effects
07 You couldn't pay for birth control
08 You had a problem getting birth control when you needed it
09 Religious reasons
10 Lapse in use of a method
11 Don't think you or your partner can get pregnant (infertile or too old)
12 You had tubes tied (sterilization)
13 You had a hysterectomy
14 Your partner had a vasectomy (sterilization)
15 You are currently breast-feeding
16 You just had a baby/postpartum
17 You are pregnant now
18 Same sex partner
19 Other reasons
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Random Child Selection

I have some additional questions about one specific child. The child I will be referring to is the Xth [please fill in correct number] child in your household. All following questions about children will be about the Xth [please fill in] child.
29.01 1. What is the birth month and year of the Xth child?
__I___ Code month and year
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
29.02 2. Is the child a boy or a girl?

1 Boy
2 Girl
Refused
29.03 3. Is the child Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin?

If yes, ask: Are they...
1 Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano/a
2 Puerto Rican
3 Cuban
4 Another Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin
5 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
29.04 4. Which one or more of the following would you say is the race of the child?

NOTE: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategories underneath major heading.
10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
47 Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
60 Other
88 No additional choices
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
29.05 Which one of these groups would you say best represents the child's race? NOTE: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategories underneath major heading.
10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
47 Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
60 Other
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
29.06 6. How are you related to the child? Are you a...

1 Parent (include biologic, step, or adoptive parent)
2 Grandparent
3 Foster parent or guardian
4 Sibling (include biologic, step, and adoptive sibling)
5 Other relative
6 Not related in any way
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## State-Added Questions

## Health Care Coverage

If "1" to Q. 3.1, continue. Otherwise go to SD01Q02.
SD01. Earlier you were asked some questions about your health care coverage. We'd now like to ask you what type of health care coverage you use to pay for most of your medical care? Is it coverage through:
01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service
08 Some other source
None
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
SD02. Earlier you indicated that you did not have any type of health care coverage, but there are some types of coverage you may not have considered. Please tell me if you have any of the following:
01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service
08 Some other source
None
Don't know/Not sure
Refused

## Men's Health Check-up

SD03. Earlier in the survey you indicated that you had not had a routine health checkup in the past two years. What is the main reason you have not been to a doctor for a routine checkup in the past two years?
01 Can't afford it
02 Do not have health insurance
03 Not sick/rarely get sick/low perceived need to seek medical services
04 Clinic hours don't fit my schedule
05 Transportation difficulties
06 Distrust of doctors
07 Waiting times are too long
08 Past negative experiences

09 Personal factors such as fear, guilt, or embarrassment
10 Believe in alternative medicine
11 Clinic too far away
12 Do not have a personal doctor
13 Other priorities/too busy
14 Just haven't thought of it
$97 \quad$ Other (Specify)
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Tobacco

SD04. In the past 12 months, has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional advised you to ? [Insert "quit smoking" OR "Stop using spit tobacco" ]
1 Yes
2 No
Don't Know/Not Sure
Refused
SD05. While working at your job, are you indoors most of the time?
1 Yes
2 No Go to SD03Q04
Don't Know/Not Sure Go to SD03Q04
Refused Go to SD03Q04
SD06. Which of the following best describes your place of work's official smoking policy for work areas?
1 Not allowed in any work areas
2 Allowed in some work areas
3 Allowed in all work areas
$4 \quad$ No official policy
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
SD07. Which statement best describes the rules about smoking inside your home? Do not include decks, garages, or porches.
1 Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home Go to SD03Q06
2 Smoking is allowed in some places or at some times
3 Smoking is allowed anywhere inside your home
4 There are no rules about smoking inside your home
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD08. On how many of the past 7 days did someone smoke in your home while you were there?
Number of days
55 Not at home in the past 7 days
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Tobacco/E-Cigarettes

SD09. Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic vaping product, even just one time, in your entire life?
1 Yes
2 No [Go to SD03Q08]
Don't know/not sure [Go to SD03Q08]
Refused
[Go to SD03Q08]
SD010. Do you now use e-cigarettes or other electronic vaping products every day, some days, or not at all?
1 Everyday
2 Some days
3 Not at all
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Tobacco/South Dakota Quitline Name Recognition

SD011. Have you heard about the South Dakota Department of Health Program called the "South Dakota QuitLine" that offers free services designed to help a person quit tobacco?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Substance Abuse and Mental Health

SD012. During the past 12 months, have you ever taken a prescription pain medication such as OxyContin, Percocet, Vicodin, Tramadol, or Fentanyl?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't Know/Not Sure
Refused
SD013. Are you now taking medicine or receiving treatment from a doctor or other health professional for any type of mental health condition or emotional problem?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused
SD014. Have you ever been treated or are you currently being treated by a health care professional for substance abuse?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Advance Directive

SD015. An Advance directive is a document that states what kind of health care treatment you would want to receive, or not want to receive, if you could not speak for yourself. Have you completed an advance directive?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Children's Health Insurance

If the total number of children (ages $0-17$ ) is equal to or greater than 1 according to Q .8 .16 , continue. Otherwise, go to Closing Statement.

I'm now going to ask you some more questions about the child in the household [insert "that we talked about earlier" if total number of children is greater than one.] Does this child have health coverage?
SD016. Does this child have health coverage?
1 Yes Go to SD06Q02
2 No Go to SD06Q03
Don't Know/Not Sure Go to Closing statement
Refused Go to Closing statement
SD017. What type of health coverage do you use to pay for most of this child's medical care?
01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid, CHIP, or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service (IHS)
09 Community Health Services
08 Some other source
88 None
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
Go to Closing Statement.
SD018. There are some types of coverage you may not have considered, please tell me if this child is covered by any of the following.
01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid, CHIP, or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service
09 Community Health Services
08 Some other source
88 None

Don't know/Not sure
Refused

## Closing Statement

That was my last question. Everyone's answers will be combined to help us provide information about the health practices of people in this state. Thank you very much for your time and cooperation.


[^0]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

[^1]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

[^2]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

[^3]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2018-2019

[^4]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2019

[^5]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

[^6]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2019

[^7]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

[^8]:    Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

[^9]:    Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed
    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2019

[^10]:    Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2019

