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## Preface

The Health Behaviors of South Dakotans 2020 serves as a way to measure health risks of South Dakotans.

The information used to develop the report came from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). The South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) initiated the BRFSS with help from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

The survey consists of questions aimed at tracking and trending prevalence of health behaviors and conditions over time.

The BRFSS is the world's largest telephone survey. The survey is administered to households with adults age 18 years or older.

The Office of Health Statistics edited and compiled data for this publication. This report contains as much information as practical from the survey.

For questions regarding The Health Behaviors of South Dakotans 2020, please contact:
Office of Health Statistics
615 East 4th Street
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-2536
Phone: (605) 773-3361
http://doh.sd.gov/Statistics/
National web site: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/

The following people were the main contributors to the development of this report:
Carrie Cushing Policy Data Analyst
Mark Gildemaster Coordinator of South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance
System
Daniel Bucheli Communications Director
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## History

By the early 1980s, scientific research clearly showed that personal health behaviors played a major role in premature morbidity and mortality. The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) periodically used surveys to obtain national estimates of health risk behaviors among U.S. adult populations, but these data were not available on a state-specific basis. This deficiency was critical for state health agencies that have the primary role of targeting resources to reduce behavioral risks and their consequent illnesses.

About the same time as personal health behaviors received wider recognition in relation to chronic disease, morbidity and mortality, telephone surveys emerged as an acceptable method for determining the prevalence of many health risk behaviors among populations. In addition to their cost advantages, telephone surveys were especially desirable at the state and local level, where the necessary abilities and resources for conducting area probability sampling for inperson household interviews were likely unavailable.

As a result, surveys were developed and conducted to monitor state-level prevalence of the major behavioral risks associated with premature morbidity and mortality. The basic philosophy was to collect data on actual behaviors, rather than on attitudes or knowledge, which would be especially useful for planning, initiating, supporting, and evaluating health promotion and disease prevention programs. Data from the questionnaire provided health departments, public health offices, and policymakers with necessary behavioral information. When combined with mortality and morbidity statistics, these data enable public health officials to establish policies and priorities and to initiate and assess health promotion strategies.

In 1984, the creation of the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) began to collect prevalence data on risk behaviors and preventative health practices that affect health status. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) developed a standard core questionnaire for states to use to provide data that would be comparable with all states. Individual states could add questions to gather additional information on topics of specific interest to them. The South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) started the BRFSS in South Dakota in 1987 with the help of the CDC. By 1994, all states, the District of Columbia, and three territories were participating in the BRFSS.

## Purpose

- The main purpose of the BRFSS at the state level is for program support within the DOH . Every year, various health programs collaborate and plan the optional content of the survey to gather useful data. They are then able to use those data to determine priority health issues and identify populations at highest risk. This leads to effective program planning, initiation, support, and evaluation of health promotion and disease prevention programs.
- The DOH also uses BRFSS data to increase awareness and educate the public, the health community, and policymakers about health matters through responses to media inquiries, reports, and publications. Private and public health officials throughout South Dakota are able to receive a copy of this report to aid program efforts in influencing public health issues.

The South Dakota Department of Health strategic plan includes goals that will be measured by key performance indicators. Two of these performance indicators use BRFSS data. They include:

- Increase the percentage of those without diabetes who have had a test for blood sugar or diabetes within the past 3 years from 51.4\% in 2018 to 59\% by 2025.
- Increase the percentage of adults ages 50-75 in South Dakota up-to-date with recommended colorectal cancer screening from 69\% in 2018 to 80\% by 2025.


## Report Description

This report includes several sections covering major indicators from the survey. The DOH has organized the sections in the following manner:

- A definition of the indicator is given.
- The prevalence of the indicator in South Dakota is given and the prevalence in the United States and D.C. is given if it is available.
- A time trend analysis for each indicator is given as far back as comparable data have been gathered. This includes a dashed trend line as well as the actual data results for each available year. Multiple years of data are very valuable not only for analyzing the trend of the indicator, but also help to show the variability in some indicators.
- A detailed demographic breakdown is included. This table is important because it can identify demographic subgroups at highest risk.
- Text explaining any demographic differences or associations with the given indicator is included. When a prevalence is indicated to be significantly different for different demographics, it simply means the $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the given indicators do not overlap.
- Any additional data gathered on the given topic will then follow.

Table 1, on the next page, shows the estimated risk factor rates and the estimated number of persons in South Dakota who are at risk for the selected risk factors. The DOH based the estimated population at risk on 2020 population estimates from the U. S. Census Bureau.

| Table 1 <br> Estimated Percentage and Number of Persons at Risk Due to Selected Factors (Ages 18 and Older Unless Otherwise Specified): South Dakota BRFSS, 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic | Estimated \% | Estimated Population |
| Body Mass Index - Overweight (BMI 25.0+) | 70\% | 469,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Obese (BMI 30.0+) | 33\% | 224,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Severely Obese (BMI 35.0+) | 13\% | 85,000 |
| Body Mass Index - Morbidly Obese (BMI 40.0+) | 5\% | 32,000 |
| No Leisure Time Physical Activity | 22\% | 151,000 |
| Cigarette Smoking | 18\% | 120,000 |
| Smokeless Tobacco Use | 6\% | 38,000 |
| E-Cigarette Use | 4\% | 27,000 |
| Tobacco Use (Cigarette, Smokeless, or E-Cig) | 28\% | 190,000 |
| Diabetes | 8\% | 53,000 |
| No Health Insurance (18-64 Years Old) | 9\% | 45,000 |
| No Health Insurance (0-17 Years Old) | 3\% | 7,000 |
| No Health Insurance (0-64 Years Old) | 7\% | 52,000 |
| No Routine Check-Up in Past Two Years | 11\% | 77,000 |
| No Flu Shot in Past 12 months (65+ Years Old) | 28\% | 44,000 |
| Never Had a Pneumonia Vaccination (65+ Years Old) | 24\% | 37,000 |
| No Shingles Shot (50+) | 54\% | 175,000 |
| Ever Had a Heart Attack | 4\% | 29,000 |
| Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease | 4\% | 29,000 |
| Ever Had a Stroke | 3\% | 18,000 |
| Ever Been Diagnosed with Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer) | 8\% | 56,000 |
| No Mammogram in Past 2 years (40-74 Years Old) | 24\% | 42,000 |
| Has Not Met Cervical Cancer Screening Recommendations (21-65 Years Old) | 17\% | 40,000 |
| Has Not Met Colorectal Cancer Screening Recommendations (50-75 Years Old) | 24\% | 63,000 |
| No PSA Test Within the Past 2 Years (40+ Years Old) | 61\% | 125,000 |
| Ever Been Diagnosed with Skin Cancer | 8\% | 51,000 |
| Does Not Use Sun Block Most or All of the Time | 75\% | 503,000 |
| Current Asthma | 8\% | 54,000 |
| Arthritis | 25\% | 166,000 |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | 6\% | 42,000 |
| Depressive Disorder | 16\% | 109,000 |
| Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30 days | 6\% | 41,000 |
| Haven't Been to the Dentist in the Past Year | 30\% | 205,000 |
| Haven't Been to the Dentist in the Past Year (6-17 Years Old) | 10\% | 14,000 |
| Kidney Disease | 3\% | 17,000 |
| Severe Vision Impairment | 4\% | 28,000 |
| Hearing Difficulty | 8\% | 54,000 |
| Lack of Seat Belt Use (Seldom Use or Less) | 12\% | 81,000 |
| Less Than Six Hours of Sleep per Day | 8\% | 56,000 |
| Drank Alcohol in Past 30 Days | 56\% | 378,000 |
| Binge Drinking | 18\% | 121,000 |
| Heavy Drinking | 6\% | 42,000 |
| Taken Prescription Pain Medication in Past 12 Months | 15\% | 100,000 |
| One or More Adverse Childhood Experiences | 47\% | 315,000 |
| Five or More Adverse Childhood Experiences | 8\% | 56,000 |
| Fair/Poor Health Status | 11\% | 76,000 |
| Physical Health Not Good for 30 of the Past 30 days | 4\% | 29,000 |
| Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30 Days | 7\% | 46,000 |
| Injured in a Fall in Past 12 Months (45+ Years Old) | 9\% | 32,000 |
| Never Been Tested for HIV | 71\% | 482,000 |
| Never Been Tested for Hepatitis C | 66\% | 448,000 |

[^0]Table 2 shows the topics covered on South Dakota's BRFSS each year from 2011 through 2020.

| Table 2Topics Covered on the South Dakota BRFSS, 2011-2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topics | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Advanced Directive |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alcohol Consumption | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Arthritis | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Asthma | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Birth Control |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body Mass Index | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Breast Cancer Screening | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Cancer | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Cancer Survivorship | X |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular Disease | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Care Giving |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cervical Cancer Screening | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Cholesterol Awareness |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Cognitive Impairment |  | X |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| Colorectal Cancer Screening | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Depressive Disorder | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Diabetes | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Diabetes - Pre |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Disability (Physical, Mental, or Emotional) |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X | X | X |
| Falls | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Flu Shots | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Care Coverage and Access | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Care Coverage - Children | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Health Status / Healthy Days | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| "Healthy South Dakota" - Name Recognition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Hearing Difficulty | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heart Attack - Knowledge of Signs and Symptoms |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| High Blood Pressure - Prevalence |  | X |  | X |  | X | X | X | X | X |
| Hepatitis C Testing | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Blood Pressure - Actions to Control |  |  |  | X |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| HIV/AIDS | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| HPV |  |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Influenza Like Illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| Kidney Disease | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Lung Cancer Screening | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mental Health Treatment |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutrition/Fruits \& Vegetables |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Oral Health | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Oral Health - Children | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Physical Activity - Exercise Trips |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Activity - Hours Sitting per Day |  |  |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Activity - Leisure Time | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Physical Activity - Type and Amount of Time |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Physical, Mental, or Emotional Limitations |  |  |  |  |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| Pneumonia Vaccination | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Prescription Pain Medication Use | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prostate Cancer Screening | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Salt Related Behavior |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Seat Belts | X |  | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Sexual Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| Shingles Vaccination | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |

Table 2
Topics Covered on the South Dakota BRFSS, 2011-2020

| Topics | Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Sleep | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |
| Stroke - Signs and Symptoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| Substance Abuse Treatment |  | X |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sun Exposure / Skin Cancer | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | X | X |
| Sweetened Beverages / Menu Labeling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| Tetanus Shot |  | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| Tobacco - Cigarette Use | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tobacco - E-Cigarette Use | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobacco - QuitLine Name Recognition |  | X |  |  | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| Tobacco - Second Hand Smoke | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Tobacco - Smokeless | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| TV Viewing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  | X |
| Vision Impairment | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Weight Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

## Participating Agencies

The South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System is a combined effort between the South Dakota Department of Health (DOH) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The DOH contracted with Issues and Answers, Inc. to collect the data through telephone interviews. However, the DOH continues to supervise the survey process, as well as design and distribute the report. The CDC provides financial and technical assistance, develops the questionnaire, designs the methodology, and processes the data.

## Method of Surveillance

This study uses a telephone survey rather than other survey methods because of its low cost, ease of administration in reaching respondents, and reliability. Telephone surveys are less representative of areas where a significant portion of the population does not have telephones. Cell phones were first called in 2011. Fifty-seven percent of all surveys were completed via cell phone in 2020 with the intent to continue to increase this percentage in the coming years.

## Questionnaire Development

The BRFSS is designed to collect information on the health behaviors of adults over time. For the 2020 survey (Appendix B), standard demographic questions were included along with sections on general health status, physical and mental health, health insurance, breast cancer screening, cervical cancer screening, prostate cancer screening, lung cancer screening, cancer survivorship, oral health, chronic health conditions, cardiovascular disease, tobacco use, alcohol use, seat belt use, sleep, physical activity, immunization, adverse childhood experiences, and HIVIAIDS. South Dakota also added several state-specific questions to the end of the core questionnaire including secondhand smoke, colorectal cancer screening, sunscreen use, prescription pain medication, hepatitis C testing, children's oral health, and children's health insurance.

## Accuracy of Survey Data

It is important to remember that the survey data are self-reported. Therefore, people may tend to report a more favorable lifestyle than actually practiced. The accuracy of self-reported data may also vary according to risk factors, i.e., self-reported smoking status is thought to be more accurate than self-reported eating habits. These limitations do not negate the survey's ability to identify high-risk groups and monitor long-term trends.

## Eligible Respondent Selection

Eligible respondents for the landline survey were individuals 18 years of age or over who resided a majority of the time at the household contacted. In households with more than one eligible respondent, a random selection was made to determine the actual respondent. Data included in the children's sections of this report were estimated based on responses from the adult respondent regarding a randomly selected child in the household. Automated prescreening was done to eliminate business phones and non-working numbers.

Eligible respondents for the cell phone survey were individuals 18 years of age or over who did not also have a landline phone or rarely used their landline phone.

## Data Collection Process

There were 6,931 interviews completed between January 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020, at an average of 578 interviews per month.

## Data Processing

The DOH sent the data electronically to the CDC. The CDC then supplied a final data file with applicable data weights and several calculated variables included. The DOH used this file to calculate all the data presented in this report.

## Weighting

Collecting data via telephone survey often produces an over-representation of certain demographic groups in the sample population. Therefore, the sample population may not be representative of the actual population. To account for this, the data are weighted to produce estimates that represent the actual population rather than the sample population.

## Sample Description

Survey interviewers collected demographic variables including age, gender, and race. Those interested can find a summary of the demographic results in a table displayed in Appendix A: Demographics.

Appendix A also summarizes the age, race/ethnicity, household income, education, employment status, marital status, phone status (landline v. cell), home ownership status, presence of children in the household, and pregnancy status of female respondents ages 18-44 years old.

## Completion Rate

Table 3 shows the outcome of all telephone calls. The 6,931 completed interviews represented a completion rate of 3.5 percent. The refusal rate was 11.4 percent.

## Table 3

Disposition of All Telephone Numbers in the Sample, 2020

| Final Outcome |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Completed interview | Number | Percent |
| Refused interview | 6,931 | $3.5 \%$ |
|  | 22,555 | $11.4 \%$ |
| Nonworking number |  |  |
| No answer (Multiple times) | 123,145 | $62.0 \%$ |
| Telephone answering service (Multiple times) | 13,776 | $6.9 \%$ |
| Fast busy/Line busy (Multiple times) | 12,977 | $6.5 \%$ |
| Not a private residence | 5,338 | $2.7 \%$ |
| No eligible respondent at this number | 4,611 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Fax line | 2,202 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Language barrier | 1,113 | $0.6 \%$ |
| Physical/mental impairment | 941 | $0.5 \%$ |
| On never call list | 738 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Interview terminated within questionnaire | 716 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Landline phone (Cell phone study) | 396 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Respondent not available during the interviewing period | 119 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Other | 23 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  | 2,951 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

## Overweight and Obese

## OVERWEIGHT

Definition: Overweight is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 25.0 or above. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds, divided by their height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2} x 703$.

## Prevalence of Overweight

- South Dakota 70\%
- Nationwide median 67\%

Figure 1
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Overweight Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 4 <br> South Dakotans Who Are Overweight, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 74\% | 72.9\% | 75.7\% |
|  | Female | 62\% | 60.8\% | 63.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 51\% | 48.3\% | 54.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 69\% | 66.1\% | 71.7\% |
|  | 40-49 | 75\% | 72.5\% | 77.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 77\% | 74.7\% | 78.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 76\% | 74.5\% | 78.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | 73\% | 71.0\% | 75.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 59\% | 55.7\% | 63.1\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 69\% | 67.5\% | 69.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 77\% | 73.1\% | 79.8\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 77\% | 65.9\% | 85.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 69\% | 60.0\% | 76.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 67\% | 65.3\% | 69.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 72\% | 69.8\% | 73.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 70\% | 68.2\% | 71.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 69\% | 64.8\% | 73.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 69\% | 66.9\% | 70.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 69\% | 67.2\% | 70.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 68\% | 65.8\% | 69.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 69\% | 67.8\% | 70.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 73\% | 70.1\% | 75.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 65\% | 59.2\% | 70.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 62\% | 55.9\% | 67.5\% |
|  | Student | 39\% | 33.4\% | 44.9\% |
|  | Retired | 72\% | 70.0\% | 73.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 75\% | 70.8\% | 79.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 73\% | 71.4\% | 73.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 73\% | 70.3\% | 75.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 64\% | 61.1\% | 67.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 57\% | 54.5\% | 60.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 72\% | 70.5\% | 72.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 63\% | 60.3\% | 65.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 67\% | 64.2\% | 69.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 56\% | 52.8\% | 58.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 71\% | 69.6\% | 72.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 68\% | 66.3\% | 69.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 58\% | 55.1\% | 60.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 67\% | 64.5\% | 69.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 67\% | 64.1\% | 69.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 65\% | 57.3\% | 71.1\% |
|  | Brown | 71\% | 68.1\% | 74.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 62\% | 57.3\% | 66.5\% |
|  | Codington | 71\% | 67.3\% | 74.2\% |
|  | Meade | 65\% | 58.9\% | 70.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 62\% | 55.6\% | 67.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Gender Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than females.

| Age | The prevalence of being overweight increases as age increases with a peak in the 50's including significant increases as the 30s and 40s are reached. After that, the prevalence of being overweight decreases as age increases with a significant decrease as the 80s are reached. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of being overweight, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of being overweight does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of being overweight does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, unemployed, retired, or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of being overweight, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of being overweight, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | Those adults with children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than those with no children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of being overweight than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| County | Brown and Codington counties demonstrates a very high prevalence of being overweight, while Brookings and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## OBESE

Definition: Obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 30.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2}$ x 703.

## Prevalence of Obesity

- South Dakota 33\%
- Nationwide median 32\%

Figure 2
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Obese Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of obesity increases as age increases with a peak in the 50 s including a significant increase as the 30s are reached. After that, the prevalence of obesity decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the 70 s and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of obesity decreases as household income increases. |
| Education | The prevalence of obesity decreases as education levels increase. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity, while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of obesity, while those who are widowed or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to change based on home ownership. |
| Children Status | Those who live in a household with children demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of being obese than those who live in a household with no children. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of obesity does not seem to change based on phone status. |
| County | Brown and Codington counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of obesity, while Pennington, Brookings, Meade, and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## SEVERELY OBESE

Definition: Severely obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 35.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2} \times 703$.

## Prevalence of Severe Obesity

- South Dakota 13\%
- There is no nationwide median for severely obese

Figure 3
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Severely Obese Based on Body Mass Index, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | South Dakotans Who Are Severely Obese, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of severe obesity does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of being severely obese peaks in the 40s and 50s. This <br> includes a significant increase as the 30s are reached. After that, the <br> prevalence of being severely obese decreases as age increases with a <br> significant decrease as the 80s are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of being severely obese, <br> while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of being severely obese decreases as household income <br> Household <br> Income |
| increases. This includes a significant decrease as the \$75,000+ income group |  |
| is reached. |  |$\quad$| The prevalence of being severely obese decreases as education levels |
| :--- |
| increase. |

## MORBIDLY OBESE

Definition: Morbidly obese is defined as having a Body Mass Index (BMI) of 40.0 or greater. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated by taking a person's body weight in pounds divided by height in inches, divided by height in inches (again) times 703. The mathematical equation for BMI is: weight (lb)/height (in) ${ }^{2} \times 703$.

## Prevalence of Morbid Obesity

- South Dakota 5\%
- There is no nationwide median for morbid obesity

Figure 4
Percent of South Dakotans Who are Morbidly Obese, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2018-2020

| Table 7South Dakotans Who Are Morbidly Obese, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 4\% | 3.6\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Female | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.7\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 2.4\% | 4.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 5\% | 4.2\% | 6.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 4.6\% | 6.8\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 4.2\% | 6.0\% |
|  | 70-79 | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 1\% | 0.8\% | 2.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.9\% | 4.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 5.4\% | 9.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 3.1\% | 14.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 8\% | 4.3\% | 13.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 4\% | 2.9\% | 5.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.8\% | 5.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 6\% | 3.6\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 3.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.8\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Retired | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.5\% | 15.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 6\% | 4.7\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 4\% | 3.7\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 6\% | 4.9\% | 6.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 3.6\% | 5.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.7\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.8\% | 4.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 3.7\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 2.9\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.3\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Brown | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.4\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 2.4\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 2\% | 0.8\% | 2.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gender } & \text { The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to differ based on gender. } \\ \text { Age } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of morbid obesity does not seem to consistently change as age } \\ \text { changes. }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Race/ } \\ \text { Ethnicity }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of morbid obesity, while whites } \\ \text { show a very low prevalence. }\end{array} \\ \text { Household } \\ \text { Income }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of morbid obesity decreases as household income increases. } \\ \text { This includes a significant decrease as the } \$ 75,000+\text { income group is reached. }\end{array}\right\}$

## Physical Activity

## LEISURE TIME PHYSICAL ACTIVITY

Definition: South Dakotans who report leisure time physical activity or exercise during the past 30 days other than the respondent's regular job.

## Prevalence of Leisure Time Physical Activity

- South Dakota 78\%
- Nationwide median 78\%

Figure 5
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Reported Leisure Time Physical Activity, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 8South Dakotans Who Reported Leisure Time Physical Activity, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 75\% | 74.0\% | 76.7\% |
|  | Female | 77\% | 75.4\% | 77.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 84\% | 81.9\% | 86.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 82\% | 79.5\% | 84.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 78\% | 75.1\% | 79.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 72\% | 70.1\% | 74.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 70\% | 68.2\% | 72.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 68\% | 65.5\% | 70.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 64\% | 61.0\% | 67.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 76\% | 75.2\% | 77.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 73\% | 69.2\% | 76.1\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 80\% | 72.3\% | 86.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 75\% | 67.7\% | 81.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 70\% | 67.6\% | 71.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 77\% | 75.3\% | 78.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 83\% | 81.9\% | 84.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 62\% | 57.3\% | 66.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 71\% | 69.1\% | 72.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 77\% | 75.9\% | 78.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 85\% | 84.3\% | 86.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 79\% | 78.2\% | 80.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 72\% | 69.2\% | 74.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 74\% | 67.8\% | 79.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 77\% | 72.4\% | 81.4\% |
|  | Student | 89\% | 84.6\% | 92.2\% |
|  | Retired | 71\% | 69.2\% | 72.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 52\% | 47.8\% | 56.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 77\% | 76.1\% | 78.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 70\% | 66.8\% | 72.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 66\% | 62.6\% | 68.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 79\% | 76.6\% | 80.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 76\% | 75.2\% | 77.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 75\% | 72.6\% | 76.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 82\% | 80.0\% | 83.7\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 83\% | 81.0\% | 85.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 70\% | 68.7\% | 71.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 78\% | 77.0\% | 79.2\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 85\% | 74.7\% | 91.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 84\% | 82.2\% | 86.1\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 77\% | 74.3\% | 78.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 77\% | 74.4\% | 78.6\% |
|  | Lincoln | 81\% | 74.8\% | 85.9\% |
|  | Brown | 73\% | 69.9\% | 75.5\% |
|  | Brookings | 78\% | 74.7\% | 81.4\% |
|  | Codington | 72\% | 68.7\% | 75.0\% |
|  | Meade | 76\% | 72.0\% | 80.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 82\% | 77.3\% | 86.3\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

The prevalence of leisure time physical activity does not seem to differ based on gender.

Age The prevalence of leisure time physical activity decreases as age increases. This includes a significant decrease when the 50s are reached.

Race/Ethnicity The prevalence of leisure time physical activity does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity.

Household Income

Education The prevalence of leisure time physical activity increases as education increases. This includes significant increases as the high school graduate, some post-high school, and college graduate levels are reached.

Employment Those who are students demonstrate a very high prevalence of leisure time physical activity, while those who are unable to work show a very low prevalence.

Those who are married or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of leisure time physical activity, while those who are divorced or widowed show a very low prevalence.

The prevalence of leisure time physical activity does not seem to change based on home ownership.

The prevalence of leisure time physical activity among adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of leisure time physical activity than those who primarily use a landline phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of leisure time physical activity does not seem to change Status

County Residents of Lawrence county exhibit a very high prevalence of leisure time physical activity, while residents of Brown and Codington counties show a very low prevalence.

## Tobacco Use

## CIGARETTE SMOKING

Definition: South Dakotans who report having smoked at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and now smoke every day or smoke some days.

## Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking

- South Dakota 18\%
- Nationwide median 16\%

Figure 6
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-20

Education The prevalence of cigarette smoking decreases as education levels increase with significant decreases at each level.

Employment Those who are unemployed, a homemaker, or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while those who are a student or retired show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence.

Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of cigarette smoking than those who own their home.

The prevalence of cigarette smoking in the adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of cigarette smoking than those who primarily use a landline phone.

Pregnancy
Status
County Minnehaha, Pennington, Brown, Codington, Meade, and Lawrence counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of cigarette smoking, while Lincoln and Brookings counties show a very low prevalence.

In 2019-2020, 52 percent of South Dakotans tried to stop smoking for one day or longer because they were trying to quit smoking as shown below in Table 10.

| Table 10 <br> South Dakotans Who Tried to Stop Smoking, Within the Past <br> 12 Months, for One Day or Longer, Because They Were Trying <br> to Quit Smoking, 2011-2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Survey Year | Percent |
| $2019-2020$ | $52 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $51 \%$ |
| $2017-2018$ | $54 \%$ |
| $2016-2017$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2015-2016$ | $57 \%$ |
| $2014-2015$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2013-2014$ | $56 \%$ |
| $2012-2013$ | $55 \%$ |
| $2011-2012$ | $56 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020
Figure 7, below, shows the percentage of smokers who have been advised to quit smoking in the past 12 months by a health professional. In 2018-2020, 71 percent of South Dakotans had been advised to quit smoking by a health professional.

Figure 7
Percentage of Smokers Who Have Been Advised by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional to Quit Smoking in the Past 12 Months, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

Figure 8, below, shows South Dakotans' place of work official smoking policy for work areas. The majority of South Dakotans for all five years stated that smoking was not allowed in any work areas.

Figure 8
South Dakotans' Place of Work Smoking Policy, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
Figure 9, below, shows the South Dakotans' rules about smoking inside their homes. The majority of South Dakotans for all five years stated that smoking was not allowed anywhere in their homes.

Figure 9
South Dakotans' Rules About Smoking Inside the Home, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Figure 10, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans that had a CT or CAT scan in the past 12 months and the reason for the scan. The majority of South Dakotans did not have a CT or CAT scan, while seven percent of current smokers did have a scan to check for lung cancer.

Figure 10
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Had a CT or CAT Scan in the Past 12 Months, 2018-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2018-2020
In 2012-2020, 47 percent of South Dakotans who use Indian Health services are current smokers, while 45 percent of South Dakotans who use Medicaid are current smokers. This compares to 13 percent of South Dakotans who use a private health insurance plan are current smokers.

| South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Cigarette Smoking Status by Type of Health |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Insurance, 2011-2020 |  |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

## SMOKELESS TOBACCO

Definition: South Dakotans who report that they use chewing tobacco or snuff every day or some days.

## Prevalence of Smokeless Tobacco

- South Dakota 6\%
- Nationwide median 4\%

Figure 11
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Use Smokeless Tobacco, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

Table 12
South Dakotans Who Use Smokeless Tobacco, 2016-2020

|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 11\% | 10.5\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Female | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 9\% | 7.2\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 8\% | 6.4\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 9\% | 7.0\% | 10.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 2\% | 1.3\% | 3.8\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 10\% | 7.4\% | 12.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 3.9\% | 17.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 1.8\% | 8.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.3\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 6.5\% | 8.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 7\% | 5.7\% | 7.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.4\% | 9.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 6.6\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 6\% | 5.6\% | 7.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.5\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 6.4\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 10\% | 7.7\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 8\% | 5.2\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 2\% | 0.7\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Student | 5\% | 2.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Retired | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 4\% | 2.8\% | 6.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.1\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 7\% | 6.0\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 3\% | 1.9\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 5.8\% | 8.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.4\% | 10.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.9\% | 1.9\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 5\% | 4.4\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 1.9\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 3.8\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.6\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 4.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 6.4\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 2.5\% | 7.6\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Gender

Age The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use generally decreases as age increases including a significant decrease as the 60s are reached.

American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while whites show a very low prevalence.

The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to change as household income changes.

The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to consistently change as education levels change.

Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or unemployed demonstrate a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while those who are a homemaker, a student, retired, or unable to work show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence.

The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to differ by home ownership status.

The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use in the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of smokeless tobacco use than those who primarily use a landline phone.

The prevalence of smokeless tobacco use does not seem to change based on pregnancy status.

Residents of Meade county exhibit a very high prevalence of smokeless tobacco use, while residents of Minnehaha county show a very low prevalence.

## E-CIGARETTE SMOKING

Definition: South Dakotans who currently use electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes).

## Prevalence of E-Cigarette Use

- South Dakota 4\%
- There is no nationwide median for electronic cigarette use

Figure 12
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke E-Cigarettes, 2016-2020


[^1]| Table 13South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke E-Cigarettes, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 11\% | 9.0\% | 13.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 5\% | 3.4\% | 6.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.1\% | 4.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 2\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.2\% | 4.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.5\% | 8.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 3.6\% | 18.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 6\% | 3.2\% | 11.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 4.5\% | 6.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.9\% | 3.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 4.5\% | 10.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.9\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.4\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 8\% | 5.1\% | 12.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 2\% | 0.7\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Student | 11\% | 7.2\% | 15.8\% |
|  | Retired | 1\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 6\% | 4.0\% | 9.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Never Married | 9\% | 7.2\% | 10.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 5.9\% | 8.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 8.6\% | 12.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 5\% | 4.3\% | 5.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 0.8\% | 23.8\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 4.4\% | 7.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 3.3\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.4\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Brown | 5\% | 3.5\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 4.6\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 3.3\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 2.7\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 2.1\% | 7.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | There seems to be no gender difference regarding e-cigarette use. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | E-cigarette use decreases as age increases. This includes significant <br> decreases as the 30s and 60s are reached. |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | There seems to be no racial/ethnicity difference regarding e-cigarette use. |
| Household <br> Income | The prevalence of e-cigarette use decreases as household income increases. |
| Education | E-cigarette use decreases as education increases. This includes a significant <br> decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unemployed, a student, or unable to work show a very high <br> prevalence of e-cigarette use, while those who are a homemaker or retired <br> show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of e- <br> cigarette use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of e- <br> cigarette use than those who own their home. |
| Home |  |
| Ownership | Those adults who live in a household with no children exhibit a significantly <br> higher prevalence of e-cigarette use than those who live in a household with <br> children. |
| Children |  |
| Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher <br> prevalence of e-cigarette use than those who primarily use a landline. |
| Pregnancy | The prevalence of e-cigarette use does not seem to differ based on <br> pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of e-cigarette use does not seem to differ among the counties <br> available for analysis. |

## TOBACCO USE

Definition: South Dakotans who currently smoke cigarettes, use smokeless tobacco, or use E-cigarettes.

## Prevalence of Tobacco Use

- South Dakota 28\%
- There is no nationwide median for tobacco use

Figure 13
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, Use Smokeless
Tobacco, or Use E-Cigarettes, 2016-2020


[^2]| Table 14South Dakotans Who Currently Smoke Cigarettes, Use Smokeless Tobacco, or Use E-Cigarettes, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 33\% | 31.6\% | 34.8\% |
|  | Female | 20\% | 18.6\% | 21.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 33\% | 30.5\% | 36.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 37\% | 34.0\% | 40.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 30\% | 27.0\% | 32.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 27\% | 25.3\% | 29.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 19\% | 17.0\% | 20.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.4\% | 15.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 6\% | 4.2\% | 7.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 24\% | 22.8\% | 24.8\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 51\% | 46.5\% | 54.9\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 57\% | 46.1\% | 66.5\% |
|  | Hispanic | 30\% | 22.9\% | 38.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 36\% | 33.9\% | 38.3\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 27\% | 25.5\% | 29.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 18\% | 16.0\% | 19.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 41\% | 36.6\% | 45.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 33\% | 30.9\% | 34.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 27\% | 25.3\% | 28.7\% |
|  | College Graduate | 13\% | 11.3\% | 13.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 30\% | 28.3\% | 31.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 25\% | 22.6\% | 28.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 46\% | 39.5\% | 51.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 27\% | 21.3\% | 34.4\% |
|  | Student | 23\% | 18.4\% | 29.2\% |
|  | Retired | 13\% | 11.9\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 38\% | 33.4\% | 42.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 21\% | 19.5\% | 22.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 42\% | 39.3\% | 45.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 18\% | 15.2\% | 21.8\% |
|  | Never Married | 35\% | 32.8\% | 38.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 22\% | 20.7\% | 22.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 41\% | 38.4\% | 43.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 34\% | 31.9\% | 36.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 35\% | 31.9\% | 37.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 19\% | 17.8\% | 20.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 29\% | 28.1\% | 30.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 20\% | 8.9\% | 38.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 25\% | 22.8\% | 27.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 25\% | 22.6\% | 28.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 27\% | 24.8\% | 29.8\% |
|  | Lincoln | 16\% | 11.6\% | 22.3\% |
|  | Brown | 26\% | 23.5\% | 29.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 23\% | 18.6\% | 27.0\% |
|  | Codington | 26\% | 22.8\% | 29.7\% |
|  | Meade | 29\% | 23.8\% | 34.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 23\% | 18.7\% | 28.8\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of tobacco use than females. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | Tobacco use peaks with those in their 30 s and then decreases as age <br> increases. This includes significant decreases as the 40s, $60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s <br> are reached. |
| Race/ | American Indians and American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high <br> prevalence of tobacco use, while whites and Hispanics show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | Tobacco use decreases as household income increases. This includes <br> significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ income groups <br> are reached. |
| Income | Tobacco use decreases as education levels increase. This includes significant <br> decreases at every level. |
| Education | Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high <br> prevalence of tobacco use, while those who are retired show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of tobacco use, while <br> those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of tobacco |
| use than those who own their home. |  |

## Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening

## MAMMOGRAM

Definition: Female South Dakotans, ages 40-74, who have had a mammogram in the past two years.

## Prevalence of Mammogram

- South Dakota 76\%
- There is no nationwide median for mammograms

Figure 14
Percentage of Female South Dakotans, Ages 40-74, Who Have Had a Mammogram in the Past Two Years, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

| Table 15 <br> Female South Dakotans, Ages 40-74, Who Have Had a Mammogram in the Past Two <br> Years, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 77\% | 74.9\% | 78.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | 68\% | 62.5\% | 72.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 79\% | 76.2\% | 82.5\% |
|  | 60-69 | 81\% | 77.9\% | 83.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 80\% | 75.0\% | 84.6\% |
|  | 80+ | - | - | - |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 78\% | 76.1\% | 80.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 67\% | 59.6\% | 74.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 69\% | 64.6\% | 73.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 78\% | 75.0\% | 81.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 84\% | 80.6\% | 86.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 75\% | 64.0\% | 83.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 78\% | 73.2\% | 81.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 75\% | 71.9\% | 78.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 79\% | 75.7\% | 81.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 76\% | 73.2\% | 79.1\% |
|  | Self-employed | 77\% | 70.8\% | 82.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 62\% | 48.2\% | 73.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 74\% | 65.2\% | 81.3\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 84\% | 80.3\% | 86.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 65\% | 56.4\% | 73.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 81\% | 78.5\% | 82.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 65\% | 59.0\% | 70.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 73\% | 64.8\% | 80.3\% |
|  | Never Married | 65\% | 55.3\% | 73.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 79\% | 77.4\% | 81.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 63\% | 55.6\% | 68.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 64\% | 55.8\% | 70.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 55\% | 38.6\% | 71.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 80\% | 77.1\% | 82.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 75\% | 72.3\% | 77.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 62\% | 55.0\% | 68.9\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 78\% | 72.5\% | 82.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 73\% | 67.7\% | 77.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 81\% | 65.0\% | 91.2\% |
|  | Brown | 81\% | 75.7\% | 85.9\% |
|  | Brookings | 82\% | 76.4\% | 86.2\% |
|  | Codington | 84\% | 75.5\% | 90.5\% |
|  | Meade | 69\% | 58.3\% | 77.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 75\% | 67.2\% | 81.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Age | Mammogram screening generally increases as age increases. This includes a significant increase as the 50s are reached. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity | Whites exhibit significantly higher prevalence mammogram screening than American Indians. |
| Household Income | Mammogram screening increases as household income increases. This includes a significant increase as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ income group is reached. |
| Education | Mammogram screening does not seem to change as education changes. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of mammogram screening, while those who are unemployed or unable to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married exhibit very high prevalence of mammogram screening, while those who are divorced or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of mammogram screening than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of mammogram screening does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of mammogram screening does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| County | The prevalence of mammogram screening does not seem to differ among the eight available counties. |

## CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING

Definition: Female South Dakotans, ages 21 to 65 years old, who have met cervical cancer screening recommendations within the past three years.

## Prevalence of Cervical Cancer Screening

- South Dakota 83\%
- There is no nationwide median for cervical cancer screening recommendations

Figure 15
Percentage of Female South Dakotans, Ages 21-65, Who Met Cervical Cancer
Screening Recommendations Within the Past Three Years, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Table 16 <br> Female South Dakotans, Ages 21-65, Who Met Cervical Cancer Screening Recommendations Within the Past Three Years, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 81\% | 78.9\% | 83.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 71\% | 64.4\% | 77.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 87\% | 82.0\% | 90.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 84\% | 78.9\% | 88.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 82\% | 78.0\% | 85.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 84\% | 79.2\% | 87.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | - | - | - |
|  | 80+ | - | - | - |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 82\% | 80.0\% | 84.7\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 85\% | 80.2\% | 89.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | 79\% | 60.4\% | 89.8\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 71\% | 65.1\% | 76.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 87\% | 83.1\% | 90.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 90\% | 86.5\% | 92.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 70\% | 54.8\% | 81.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 73\% | 67.6\% | 78.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 80\% | 75.1\% | 83.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 90\% | 87.1\% | 91.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 83\% | 80.1\% | 85.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 85\% | 77.4\% | 90.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 68\% | 52.5\% | 80.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 86\% | 78.9\% | 90.4\% |
|  | Student | 53\% | 37.7\% | 68.1\% |
|  | Retired | 82\% | 73.0\% | 88.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 69\% | 58.0\% | 78.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 86\% | 82.9\% | 87.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 80\% | 72.3\% | 85.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 75\% | 54.8\% | 88.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 71\% | 64.1\% | 76.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 85\% | 83.0\% | 87.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 73\% | 66.6\% | 77.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 87\% | 83.7\% | 89.9\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 67\% | 59.4\% | 73.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 81\% | 76.7\% | 84.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 81\% | 78.5\% | 84.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 80\% | 76.0\% | 82.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 80\% | 73.9\% | 84.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 80\% | 73.9\% | 85.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 83\% | 65.5\% | 93.1\% |
|  | Brown | 85\% | 77.9\% | 90.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 70\% | 55.4\% | 81.2\% |
|  | Codington | 88\% | 80.8\% | 92.6\% |
|  | Meade | 77\% | 60.6\% | 87.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 77\% | 65.4\% | 85.3\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Age | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening does not seem to consistently <br> change as age changes. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Race/Ethnicity | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening does not seem to differ based on <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Household | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening increases as household income <br> increases. This includes a significant increase as the \$35,000-\$74,999 <br> income group is reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening increases as education levels <br> increase. This includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is <br> reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, self-employed, a homemaker, or retired <br> demonstrate a very high prevalence of cervical cancer screening, while those <br> who are a student or unable to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are married exhibit a very high prevalence of cervical cancer <br> screening, while those who have never been married show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Home | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of cervical <br> cancer screening than those who rent their home. |
| Ownership | Those who have children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher <br> prevalence of cervical cancer screening than those who do not have children. |
| Children | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening does not seem to differ by phone <br> status. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of cervical cancer screening does not seem to differ among <br> the eight available counties. |

## Colorectal Cancer Screening

## MET COLORECTAL CANCER SCREENING RECOMMENDATIONS

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 50 to 75, that met colorectal cancer screening recommendations.

## Prevalence of Meeting Colorectal Cancer Screening Recommendations

- South Dakota 76\%
- Nationwide median 74\%

Figure 16
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 50-75, Who Met Colorectal Cancer
Screening Recommendations, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

| Table 17South Dakotans, Ages 50-75, Who Met Colorectal Cancer Screening Recommendations,$2016-2020$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 67\% | 64.6\% | 70.0\% |
|  | Female | 73\% | 71.2\% | 75.7\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | 61\% | 57.6\% | 63.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 76\% | 73.1\% | 78.0\% |
|  | 70-79 | 81\% | 77.2\% | 84.2\% |
|  | 80+ | - | - |  |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 71\% | 69.6\% | 73.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 57\% | 50.0\% | 64.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 71\% | 50.1\% | 86.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 53\% | 31.1\% | 74.5\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 64\% | 60.1\% | 67.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 71\% | 67.8\% | 74.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 76\% | 73.1\% | 79.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 53\% | 43.6\% | 62.3\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 66\% | 62.9\% | 69.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 71\% | 68.1\% | 73.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 80\% | 76.9\% | 81.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 68\% | 64.7\% | 70.4\% |
|  | Self-employed | 63\% | 57.9\% | 67.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 57\% | 45.0\% | 68.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 64\% | 52.6\% | 74.2\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 80\% | 77.1\% | 82.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 69\% | 62.1\% | 74.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 73\% | 70.8\% | 74.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 63\% | 58.2\% | 67.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 74\% | 67.2\% | 80.2\% |
|  | Never Married | 60\% | 52.8\% | 66.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 72\% | 70.4\% | 74.1\% |
|  | Rent Home | 57\% | 51.3\% | 62.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 73\% | 70.9\% | 75.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 68\% | 66.0\% | 70.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 76\% | 71.6\% | 79.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 72\% | 67.2\% | 75.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 79\% | 68.6\% | 86.7\% |
|  | Brown | 74\% | 68.9\% | 78.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 78\% | 73.7\% | 81.8\% |
|  | Codington | 80\% | 75.3\% | 83.7\% |
|  | Meade | 64\% | 55.3\% | 71.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 64\% | 56.9\% | 69.7\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Demographics | Females demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of meeting colorectal <br> cancer screening recommendations than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of meeting colorectal cancer screening recommendations <br> increases as age increases with a significant increase as the 60s are reached. |
| Race/Ethnicity | Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of meeting colorectal <br> cancer screening recommendations than American Indians. |
| Household | The prevalence of meeting colorectal cancer screening recommendations <br> increases as household income increases. This includes a significant increase <br> as the \$35,000-\$74,999 income group is reached. |
| Income | The prevalence of meeting colorectal cancer screening recommendations <br> increases as education levels increase with significant increases as the high <br> school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> meeting colorectal cancer screening recommendations than all other types of <br> employment. |
| Marital | Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of meeting <br> colorectal cancer screening recommendations, while those who have never <br> been married or divorced show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of |
| Home | Theeting colorectal cancer screening recommendations than those who rent |
| their home. |  |

Figure 17, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans, ages 50-75, who report that a doctor, nurse, or other health professional recommended that they be tested for colorectal or colon cancer. In 2020, 30 percent of South Dakotans stated a health professional recommended a colorectal or colon cancer test.

Figure 17
Percent of South Dakotans, Ages 50-75, Recommended by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional to be Tested for Colorectal or Colon Cancer, 2014-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2014-2020

Table 18 shows the percent of South Dakotans, ages 50-75, who met colorectal cancer screening recommendations and whether a health profession had recommended they be screened. In 2018 and 2020, 78 percent of South Dakotans had met the colorectal cancer screening recommendations after a health professional recommended a colorectal or colon cancer test.


[^3]
## Cancer

## CANCER

Definition: South Dakotans who reported they had ever been diagnosed with cancer (excluding skin cancer).

## Prevalence of Cancer

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 18


[^4]| Table 19South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Cancer (Excluding Skin Cancer),2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 7\% | 5.8\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.1\% | 9.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 1.5\% | 4.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 5.7\% | 8.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 13\% | 12.0\% | 14.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 20\% | 18.2\% | 22.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 24\% | 20.8\% | 27.1\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.6\% | 8.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 1.9\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.5\% | 8.8\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$ 35,000 | 9\% | 7.5\% | 9.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 7.1\% | 8.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.5\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 7.1\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 8\% | 7.2\% | 8.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.9\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 6\% | 3.8\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 10\% | 5.9\% | 16.8\% |
|  | Student | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Retired | 18\% | 17.1\% | 19.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 15\% | 11.9\% | 18.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 8\% | 7.7\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 17\% | 15.2\% | 19.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 2\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Home Ownership } \\ & \text { Status } \end{aligned}$ | Own Home | 9\% | 8.5\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 3.5\% | 5.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.7\% | 3.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 12\% | 11.3\% | 13.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.8\% | 3.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 8\% | 5.0\% | 11.9\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 6.6\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.9\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Meade | 6\% | 4.3\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 5\% | 3.5\% | 7.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of cancer than males.
Age The prevalence of cancer increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 30s, 50s, 60s, and 70s are reached.

Race/ The prevalence of cancer does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity.
Ethnicity
Household The prevalence of cancer decreases as household income increases. This Income

Education The prevalence of cancer does not seem to differ as education levels change.
Employment Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of cancer, while those who are students show a very low prevalence.

Marital Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of cancer, while those Status

Home Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of cancer than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of cancer among females who are not pregnant is significantly Status higher than those who are pregnant.

County Pennington and Brown counties exhibit a very high prevalence of cancer, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence.

Table 20, below, shows that in 2018-2020, most respondents diagnosed with cancer have had just one type of cancer while 16 percent have had two types of cancer. Five percent of respondents have had three or more types of cancer.

| Table 20 <br> Number of Cancers that South Dakotans Have Had, 2015-2020 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | One Type of Cancer | Two Types of Cancer | Three or More Types <br> of Cancer |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 2 0}$ | $80 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | $80 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $83 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | $84 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2020

Table 21, below, shows the type of cancer that South Dakotans had. The most common type of cancer for South Dakotans in 2018 and 2020 was skin cancer other than melanoma at 23 percent followed by breast cancer and melanoma both at 14 percent.

| Table 21 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Cancer South Dakotans Have Been Diagnosed With, 2015-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| Cancer Type | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 - 2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 - 2 0 2 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Skin cancer other than melanoma | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Breast | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Melanoma | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Prostate | $9 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Colon (intestine) | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Cervical | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Stomach | $1 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Renal (kidney) | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Endometrial | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Lung | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Ovarian | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Thyroid | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Bladder | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Leukemia (blood) | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Other | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2015-2020
Table 22, below, shows the percent of respondents with cancer and if they were currently seeking cancer treatments. Most respondents, 59 percent, stated they have completed cancer treatments, while 14 percent of respondents answered they were currently receiving cancer treatments. Less than one percent said that they had refused cancer treatments.

| Table 22 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| South Dakotans' Treatment for Cancer, 2020 |  |
| Treatment Status for Cancer | $\%$ |
| Yes, l'm currently receiving cancer treatment | $14 \%$ |
| No, I've completed treatment | $59 \%$ |
| No, I haven't started treatment | $4 \%$ |
| No, I've refused treatment | $0.1 \%$ |
| Treatment not necessary | $23 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020
Table 23, below, shows the type of doctor that provides the majority of health care to South Dakotans with cancer. Most respondents, 51 percent, in recent years stated they see a family practitioner for their health care. Twenty-five percent stated they see a general practitioner, internist for the majority of their health care.

| Table 23 <br> Type of Doctor Providing a Majority of Health Care for South Dakotans <br> With Cancer, 2016-2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Physicians' Specialty | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 , 2 0 1 8 ,}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| Family Practitioner | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| General Practitioner, Internist | $27 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| General Surgeon | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Medical Oncologist | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Cancer Surgeon | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Gynecologic Oncologist | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Figure 19, below, shows that of the respondents who said they had cancer, 51 percent in recent years, received a written summary given to them by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional of all the cancer treatments they received.

Figure 19
South Dakotans Who Received a Written Summary of All Cancer Treatments, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
Figure 20, below, shows that of the South Dakotans who said they had cancer, 77 percent in recent years, received instructions from a doctor, nurse, or other health professional about where they should return or who they should see for routine cancer check-ups after completing cancer treatments.

Figure 20
South Dakotans Who Received Instructions for Routine Cancer Check-ups, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Figure 21, below, shows that of the South Dakotans who received instructions from a doctor, nurse, or other health professional about routine cancer check-ups after their treatments, 79 percent said that these instructions were written down or printed on paper for them.

Figure 21

## South Dakotans Who Received Written Instructions on Paper for Routine Cancer Check-ups, 2016-2020



Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Figure 22, below, shows that of the respondent's most recent cancer diagnosis, 92 percent in recent years, said that they had health insurance that paid for all or part of their cancer treatments. This question included those on Medicare, Medicaid, and other types of state health programs.

Figure 22
South Dakotans Whose Health Insurance Paid for Some or All of Cancer Treatments, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Figure 23, below, shows that of South Dakotans ever diagnosed with cancer, seven percent in recent years stated they had been denied health insurance or life insurance coverage because of their cancer.

Figure 23

## South Dakotans Denied Health Insurance or Life Insurance Due to Cancer Diagnosis, 2016-2020



Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
Figure 24, below, shows that of South Dakotans ever diagnosed with cancer, four percent stated they had participated in a clinical trial as part of their cancer treatment.

Figure 24
South Dakotans Who Participated in a Clinical Trial as Part of Their Cancer Treatment, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## SKIN CANCER

Definition: South Dakotans who reported they have ever been diagnosed with skin cancer.

## Prevalence of Skin Cancer

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 6\%

Figure 25
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Diagnosed With Skin Cancer, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of skin cancer does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of skin cancer increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the $40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$, and 70 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites and Hispanics demonstrate a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while American Indians and American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of skin cancer does not seem to consistently change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of skin cancer increases as education levels increase. This includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while those who are employed for wages, unemployed, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of adult skin cancer does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | Those who are not pregnant demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of skin cancer than those who are pregnant. |
| County | Residents of Pennington, Meade, and Lawrence counties exhibit a very high prevalence of skin cancer, while residents of Minnehaha, Brown, Brookings, and Codington counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Prostate Cancer

## PROSTATE-SPECIFIC ANTIGEN (PSA) TEST

Definition: Males, ages 40 and older, who have had a PSA test within the past two years.

## Prevalence of PSA Test

- South Dakota 39\%
- Nationwide median $32 \%$

Figure 26
Percentage of Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Have Had a PSA
Test Within the Past Two Years, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

| Table 25 <br> Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Have Had a PSA Test Within the Past Two Years, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 38\% | 35.7\% | 40.3\% |
|  | Female | - | - | - |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | 9\% | 6.0\% | 12.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 31\% | 27.0\% | 35.5\% |
|  | 60-69 | 52\% | 47.6\% | 56.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 64\% | 58.6\% | 68.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 50\% | 41.0\% | 59.4\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 40\% | 37.7\% | 42.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 26\% | 17.0\% | 36.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 36\% | 31.0\% | 40.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 40\% | 36.2\% | 44.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 37\% | 33.3\% | 40.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 28\% | 19.9\% | 38.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 36\% | 31.8\% | 39.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 37\% | 33.0\% | 40.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 47\% | 43.3\% | 50.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 27\% | 23.6\% | 29.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 36\% | 30.9\% | 40.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 24\% | 13.9\% | 39.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | * | * | * |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 58\% | 54.0\% | 62.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 42\% | 31.0\% | 53.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 42\% | 39.4\% | 44.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 25\% | 20.7\% | 30.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 43\% | 34.1\% | 52.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 29\% | 22.0\% | 37.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 40\% | 38.0\% | 42.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 26\% | 19.6\% | 32.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 7\% | 3.6\% | 14.3\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 7\% | 2.5\% | 18.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 45\% | 41.8\% | 48.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 34\% | 31.5\% | 37.2\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 35\% | 30.1\% | 41.0\% |
|  | Pennington | 36\% | 31.1\% | 41.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 42\% | 27.9\% | 56.5\% |
|  | Brown | 33\% | 27.7\% | 39.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 32\% | 26.6\% | 37.4\% |
|  | Codington | 38\% | 32.1\% | 43.9\% |
|  | Meade | 31\% | 22.9\% | 39.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 42\% | 34.1\% | 49.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

| Age | PSA testing peaks in the 70s. This includes significant increases as the 50s, <br> 60s, and 70s are reached. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | Whites exhibit a very high prevalence for PSA testing, while American Indians <br> show a very low prevalence. |
| Household | The prevalence of PSA testing does not seem to change as household <br> Income changes. |
| income | The prevalence of PSA testing increases as education levels increase. This <br> includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Education | Those who are a retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of PSA testing, <br> while those who are employed for wages or unemployed show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Employment |  |$\quad$| Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of PSA |
| :--- |
| testing, while those who are divorced or have never been married show a very |
| low prevalence. |

Figure 27, below, shows the percent of male South Dakotans, ages 40 and older, who stated that a doctor, nurse or other health professional talked with them about the advantages of the PSA test. In 2020, 47 percent said that they had been informed of the advantages.

Figure 27
Percentage of Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Stated That a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Talked With Them About the Advantages of the PSA Test, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020
Figure 28, below, shows the percent of male South Dakotans, ages 40 and older, who stated that a doctor, nurse or other health professional talked with them about the disadvantages of the PSA test. In 2020, 21 percent stated that a health professional talked with them about the disadvantages.

Figure 28
Percentage of Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Stated That a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Talked With Them About the Disadvantages of the PSA Test, 2012-2020


[^5]Figure 29, below, shows the percent of male South Dakotans, ages 40 and older, who stated that a doctor, nurse or other health professional ever recommended that they have a PSA test. Less than half of respondents in 2020 stated that they were recommended to have a PSA test.

Figure 29
Percentage of Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Were Recommended by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional to Have a PSA Test, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 30, below, shows the percent of male South Dakotans, ages 40 and older, who had a PSA test when their health professional recommended it. Most respondents for all years stated that they had the PSA test that was recommended.

Figure 30
Male South Dakotans, Ages 40 and Older, Who Had a PSA Test When a Health Professional Recommended It, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 31, below, shows the main reason male South Dakotans, ages 40 and older, gave for having their last PSA test. The majority of respondents for all years stated the main reason they had their last PSA test was because it was part of a routine exam.

Figure 31
Male South Dakotans', Ages 40 and Older, Main Reason for Last PSA Test, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

## Diabetes

Definition: South Dakotans ever told by a doctor that they have diabetes, excluding women who were told this while they were pregnant.

## Prevalence of Diabetes

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 11\%

Figure 32
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Diabetes, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 26 <br> South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Diabetes, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 10\% | 9.2\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.0\% | 9.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.4\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 5.3\% | 8.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 11\% | 9.2\% | 12.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 16\% | 14.7\% | 17.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 22\% | 19.9\% | 24.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 19\% | 16.5\% | 22.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 8.4\% | 9.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 17\% | 14.3\% | 19.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 3.7\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Hispanic | 9\% | 5.5\% | 14.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 14\% | 12.6\% | 15.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.2\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 14\% | 11.2\% | 16.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 10\% | 9.4\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 9\% | 7.8\% | 9.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 7\% | 6.7\% | 8.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 6\% | 5.3\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 4.6\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 10\% | 6.9\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 9\% | 6.5\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 1.0\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Retired | 20\% | 18.2\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 24\% | 20.6\% | 27.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 9\% | 8.4\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 14\% | 12.0\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 18\% | 16.1\% | 20.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 10\% | 9.5\% | 10.9\% |
|  | Rent Home | 8\% | 7.2\% | 9.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.9\% | 3.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 14\% | 13.1\% | 15.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.2\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.3\% | 4.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 7.7\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 8\% | 4.6\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Brown | 10\% | 8.0\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Codington | 10\% | 8.4\% | 12.3\% |
|  | Meade | 8\% | 6.3\% | 10.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 9\% | 6.8\% | 11.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gender } & \text { There seems to be no gender difference regarding the prevalence of diabetes. } \\ \text { Age } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of diabetes generally increases as age increases. This } \\ \text { includes significant increases as the } 40 \mathrm{~s}, 50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, \text { and } 70 \mathrm{~s} \text { are reached. }\end{array} \\ \text { Race/Ethnicity }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of diabetes, while } \\ \text { whites and American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. }\end{array}\right\}$

Figure 33, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans who are taking insulin for their diabetes. In 2018 and 2020, a little over one third of South Dakotans with diabetes indicated they were taking insulin for their diabetes.

Figure 33
South Dakotans Who Use Insulin for Diabetes, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 34, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans who check their blood for glucose or sugar one or more times per day. In 2018 and 2020, 63 percent of South Dakotans stated they check their blood for glucose or sugar one or more times per day.

Figure 34
South Dakotans Who Check Their Blood for Glucose or Sugar One or More Times Per Day, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 35, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans who check their feet for any sores or irritations one or more times per day. In 2018 and 2020, 53 percent of South Dakotans stated that they check their feet for any sores or irritations one or more times per day.

Figure 35
South Dakotans Who Check Their Feet for Sores or Irritations One or More Times Per Day, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 36, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans that have seen a doctor, nurse, or other health professional two or more times in the past 12 months for their diabetes. In 2018 and 2020, 73 percent of South Dakotans indicated that they have seen a doctor, nurse, or other health professional two or more times in the past 12 months for their diabetes.

Figure 36
South Dakotans Who Have Seen a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional for Their Diabetes Two or More Times in the Past 12 Months, 2012-2020


[^6]Figure 37, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans that had hemoglobin A1c checked two or more times in the past 12 months by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional. In 2018 and 2020, 72 percent of South Dakotans indicated that they have had hemoglobin A1c checked two or more times by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional.

Figure 37
South Dakotans That Had Hemoglobin A1c Checked by a Doctor, Nurse, or Other Health Professional Two or More Times in the Past 12 Months, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 38, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans that stated they had a health professional check their feet for sores or irritations at least once in the past year. In 2018 and 2020, 78 percent of South Dakotans indicated that they have had their feet checked by a health professional at least once in the past year.

Figure 38
South Dakotans Who Had a Health Professional Check Their Feet for Any Sores or Irritations at Least Once in the Past Year, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 39, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans that had an eye exam in the past year in which the pupils were dilated. In 2018 and 2020, 70 percent of South Dakotans indicated that they had an eye exam in the past year in which their pupils were dilated.

Figure 39
South Dakotans Who Had an Eye Exam in the Past Year in Which the Pupils Were Dilated, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020
Figure 40, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans who were told by a doctor that diabetes has affected their eyes or that they have retinopathy. In 2018 and 2020, 15 percent of South Dakotans indicated that diabetes has affected their eyes or that they had retinopathy.

Figure 40
South Dakotans Told by a Doctor That Diabetes Has Affected Their Eyes or They Have Retinopathy, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

Figure 41, below, shows the percent of South Dakotans who have ever taken a course or class in how to manage diabetes. In 2018 and 2020, 61 percent of South Dakotans indicated that they have taken a course or class to manage diabetes.

Figure 41
South Dakotans Who Have Ever Taken a Course or Class in How to Manage Diabetes, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

## Cardiovascular Disease

## PREVIOUSLY HAD A HEART ATTACK

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction?"

## Prevalence of Previous Heart Attack

- South Dakota 4\%
- Nationwide median $4 \%$

Figure 42
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Heart Attack, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 27 <br> South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Heart Attack, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.5\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 3\% | 1.8\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 7\% | 6.4\% | 8.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.0\% | 14.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 15\% | 12.4\% | 17.8\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 4.3\% | 5.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 5.5\% | 9.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 1.5\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 1.8\% | 8.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 7\% | 6.0\% | 7.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.7\% | 5.0\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 5.1\% | 8.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 5.1\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 3\% | 2.6\% | 3.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 2.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 4\% | 2.4\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 4\% | 2.5\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Student | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% |
|  | Retired | 12\% | 10.3\% | 12.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.4\% | 14.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 7\% | 5.5\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 9.1\% | 12.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 1\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.6\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.5\% | 4.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 2.0\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Brown | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Codington | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 2.7\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 5\% | 3.0\% | 6.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of a previous heart attack than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of a previous heart attack increases as age increases with significant increases as the 40s, 60s, and 70s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous heart attack, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of a previous heart attack decreases as household income increases. This includes a significant decrease as the \$35,000-\$74,999 household income level is reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of a previous heart attack decreases as education increases This includes significant decreases as the some post-high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous heart attack, while those who are students show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of a previous heart attack while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of a previous heart attack does not seem to change based on home ownership status. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of a previous heart attack among adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of a previous heart attack than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of a previous heart attack does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of a previous heart attack does not seem to differ among the eight available counties. |

## ANGINA OR CORONARY HEART DISEASE

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have angina or coronary heart disease?"

## Prevalence of Angina or Coronary Heart Disease

- South Dakota 4\%
- Nationwide median 4\%

Figure 43
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 28 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Angina or Coronary Heart Disease, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.9\% | 3.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.7\% |
|  | 30-39 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 2\% | 1.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | 50-59 | 5\% | 3.9\% | 5.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 13\% | 11.2\% | 14.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 14\% | 12.0\% | 17.1\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 4.2\% | 5.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 5\% | 3.6\% | 7.8\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 2\% | 1.1\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 2.0\% | 6.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.3\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 3.5\% | 4.8\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 4.1\% | 7.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 3\% | 3.0\% | 4.0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.8\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 2.5\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 3\% | 1.6\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 3\% | 1.8\% | 4.9\% |
|  | Student | 0.04\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |
|  | Retired | 12\% | 10.9\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 11\% | 7.8\% | 14.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 9.6\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 2\% | 1.1\% | 2.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.6\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Rent Home | 3\% | 2.8\% | 4.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.03\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.7\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Brown | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Codington | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Meade | 4\% | 2.4\% | 5.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 6\% | 4.0\% | 8.2\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Demographics

## Gender

Age

Race/ The prevalence of heart disease does not seem to differ based on Ethnicity

## Household Income

Education

## Employment

Marital
Status
Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of heart disease than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy Those who are not pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of heart Status

County Minnehaha, Pennington, Brown, Codington, and Lawrence counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of heart disease, while Brookings county shows a very low prevalence.

## PREVIOUSLY HAD A STROKE

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had a stroke?"

## Prevalence of Previous Stroke

- South Dakota 3\%
- Nationwide median 3\%

Figure 44
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Previously Had a Stroke, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 29 <br> South Dakotans Who Previously Had a Stroke, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Female | 2\% | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 1\% | 0.8\% | 2.5\% |
|  | 50-59 | 3\% | 2.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.3\% | 5.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 5.2\% | 7.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 9\% | 7.5\% | 11.5\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 2.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 4\% | 1.8\% | 9.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 4\% | 3.7\% | 5.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.3\% | 7.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 1\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 2\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 3\% | 1.6\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Student | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 1.4\% |
|  | Retired | 7\% | 5.7\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 12\% | 9.1\% | 15.5\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 2\% | 1.9\% | 2.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.8\% |
|  | Widowed | 8\% | 6.6\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 2\% | 2.1\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 3\% | 2.5\% | 3.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 4\% | 3.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 2\% | 1.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 1.3\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 1.5\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Brown | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Codington | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Meade | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Lawrence | 3\% | 1.7\% | 4.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender The prevalence of a previous stroke does not seem to differ by gender.

| Age | The prevalence of a previous stroke increases as age increases. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Race/ | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous stroke, | Ethnicity while American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence.


| Household | The prevalence of a previous stroke decreases as household income |
| :--- | :--- |
| Income | increases. This includes a significant decrease as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ | increases. This includes a significant decrease as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ household income level is reached.

Education The prevalence of a previous stroke decreases as education increases. This includes significant decreases as the high school and college graduate levels are reached.

Employment Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous stroke, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, unemployed, or a student show a very low prevalence.

Marital
Status

Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of a previous stroke while those who are married or have never been married show a very low prevalence.

The prevalence of a previous stroke does not seem to change based on home ownership status.

The prevalence of a previous stroke among adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of a previous stroke than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of a previous stroke does not seem to change based on Status pregnancy status.

County Residents of Codington county demonstrate a very high prevalence of a previous stroke, while residents of Minnehaha county show a very low prevalence.

## Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, or COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis?"

## Prevalence of COPD

- South Dakota 6\%
- Nationwide median 6\%

Figure 45
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have COPD, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Gender There is no significant gender difference with regard to the prevalence of

 COPD.\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Age } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of COPD does not seem to consistently change as age } \\
\text { changes. }\end{array} \\
\text { Race/Ethnicity }\end{array}
$$ \quad $$
\begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of COPD does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity. } \\
\text { Household } \\
\text { Income }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}The prevalence of COPD decreases as household income increases. This <br>
includes significant decreases as the \$ 35,000-\$ 74,999 and \$75,000+ <br>

household income groups are reached.\end{array}\right]\)| The prevalence of COPD decreases as education levels increase. This |
| :--- |
| Education |
| includes significant decreases as the some post-high school and college |
| graduate levels are reached. |

## FLU SHOT

Definition: South Dakotans ages 65 and older who have had an influenza vaccination within the past 12 months.

## Prevalence of Flu Shot

- South Dakota 72\%
- Nationwide median 68\%

Figure 46
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Flu Shot Within the Past 12 Months, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 31 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Flu Shot Within the Past 12 Months, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 62\% | 59.7\% | 64.9\% |
|  | Female | 64\% | 61.8\% | 66.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | - | - | - |
|  | 60-69 | 58\% | 54.9\% | 60.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 65\% | 62.4\% | 67.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 67\% | 63.8\% | 70.8\% |
| Race Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 63\% | 61.6\% | 65.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 52\% | 43.6\% | 60.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 58\% | 54.7\% | 60.8\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 67\% | 63.7\% | 69.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 66\% | 62.2\% | 69.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 57\% | 50.2\% | 63.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 61\% | 58.5\% | 64.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 64\% | 60.9\% | 66.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 68\% | 65.5\% | 70.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 60\% | 54.7\% | 64.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 50\% | 44.3\% | 55.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 66\% | 47.7\% | 80.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 66\% | 57.0\% | 73.3\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 65\% | 63.5\% | 67.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 61\% | 51.5\% | 70.4\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 64\% | 61.8\% | 66.2\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 54\% | 48.7\% | 58.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 65\% | 62.0\% | 68.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 65\% | 58.5\% | 71.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 64\% | 61.8\% | 65.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 60\% | 55.4\% | 64.6\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 65\% | 62.9\% | 67.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 61\% | 58.4\% | 63.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 67\% | 63.1\% | 71.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 62\% | 58.6\% | 65.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 66\% | 55.8\% | 75.7\% |
|  | Brown | 64\% | 59.0\% | 68.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 69\% | 64.6\% | 73.2\% |
|  | Codington | 69\% | 64.7\% | 72.9\% |
|  | Meade | 57\% | 50.0\% | 62.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 63\% | 54.1\% | 70.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender $\quad$ The prevalence of getting a flu shot does not seem to differ by gender.
Age The prevalence of getting a flu shot increases as age increases. This includes a significant increase as the 70 s are reached.

Race/ Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of getting a flu shot than Ethnicity

Household Income

Education The prevalence of getting a flu shot increases as education levels increase.
Employment Those who are a homemaker or retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of getting a flu shot, while those who are self-employed show a very low prevalence.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Marital } & \text { Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a } \\ \text { Status } & \text { flu shot, while those who are divorced show a very low prevalence. }\end{array}$
Home The prevalence of getting a flu shot does not seem to differ based on home
Ownership ownership status.

Phone Status The prevalence of getting a flu shot does not seem to differ based on phone status.

County Minnehaha, Brookings, and Codington counties all demonstrate a very high prevalence of getting a flu shot, while Meade county shows a very low prevalence.

## PNEUMONIA SHOT

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 65 and older, who have ever had a pneumonia vaccination.

## Prevalence of Pneumonia Shot

- South Dakota 76\%
- Nationwide median 72\%

Figure 47
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Had a Pneumonia Shot, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 32 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 65 and Older, Who Have Ever Had a Pneumonia Shot, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 74\% | 71.5\% | 76.3\% |
|  | Female | 78\% | 75.8\% | 79.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | - | - | - |
|  | 60-69 | 68\% | 65.1\% | 70.7\% |
|  | 70-79 | 81\% | 78.8\% | 82.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 78\% | 74.3\% | 80.8\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 76\% | 74.4\% | 77.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 75\% | 66.3\% | 81.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 75\% | 72.4\% | 77.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 78\% | 75.4\% | 80.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 77\% | 73.0\% | 79.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 75\% | 68.4\% | 80.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 75\% | 72.7\% | 77.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 74\% | 71.6\% | 77.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 80\% | 77.7\% | 82.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 66\% | 61.3\% | 71.1\% |
|  | Self-employed | 63\% | 57.8\% | 68.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 68\% | 49.5\% | 82.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 77\% | 68.0\% | 84.1\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 79\% | 77.5\% | 80.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 79\% | 69.2\% | 85.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 77\% | 74.8\% | 78.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 69\% | 64.6\% | 74.0\% |
|  | Widowed | 77\% | 74.0\% | 80.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 78\% | 71.8\% | 83.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 76\% | 74.8\% | 78.0\% |
|  | Rent Home | 73\% | 68.1\% | 77.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 78\% | 75.8\% | 79.4\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 74\% | 71.5\% | 76.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 79\% | 74.5\% | 82.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 77\% | 73.8\% | 80.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 77\% | 65.8\% | 85.4\% |
|  | Brown | 78\% | 73.7\% | 81.7\% |
|  | Brookings | 79\% | 74.7\% | 82.2\% |
|  | Codington | 80\% | 76.3\% | 83.8\% |
|  | Meade | 71\% | 64.7\% | 76.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 72\% | 63.8\% | 79.3\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> gender. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot peaks with people in their 70s. |
| Racel |  |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Household | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to change as <br> household income changes. |
| Income | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to change as <br> education changes. |
| Education | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence <br> of getting a pneumonia shot, while those who are employed for wages or self- <br> employed show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are married exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a pneumonia <br> shot, while those who are divorced show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> home ownership. |
| Ownership | The prevalence of getting a pneumonia shot does not seem to differ based on <br> phone status. |
| Phone Status |  |

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 50 and older, who have had a shingles vaccination.

## Prevalence of Shingles Shot

- South Dakota 46\%
- There is no nationwide median for shingles shot

Figure 48
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 50 and Older, Who Have Had a Shingles Shot, 2014-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2014-2020

| Table 33 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 50 and Older, Who Have Had a Shingles Shot, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 40\% | 36.4\% | 42.7\% |
|  | Female | 45\% | 42.3\% | 47.6\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | - | - | - |
|  | 50-59 | 20\% | 17.0\% | 23.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 50\% | 46.9\% | 53.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 58\% | 53.7\% | 61.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 52\% | 45.5\% | 57.9\% |
| Race | White, Non-Hispanic | 43\% | 41.3\% | 45.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 32\% | 24.6\% | 39.4\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | 18.8\% | 69.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | * | 11.8\% | 38.3\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 36\% | 32.3\% | 40.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 49\% | 44.9\% | 52.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 41\% | 36.9\% | 44.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 33\% | 24.6\% | 42.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 40\% | 36.9\% | 44.0\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 44\% | 40.4\% | 47.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 46\% | 42.7\% | 49.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 31\% | 28.1\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 36\% | 30.7\% | 42.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 32\% | 20.2\% | 46.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 39\% | 29.2\% | 50.2\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 56\% | 53.1\% | 59.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 33\% | 23.6\% | 42.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 44\% | 41.3\% | 46.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 31\% | 26.2\% | 36.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 51\% | 46.4\% | 55.9\% |
|  | Never Married | 34\% | 25.5\% | 43.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 44\% | 41.8\% | 46.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 32\% | 26.3\% | 38.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 46\% | 43.1\% | 48.4\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 40\% | 36.9\% | 42.9\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 44\% | 38.9\% | 49.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 38\% | 33.8\% | 43.2\% |
|  | Brown | 41\% | 35.1\% | 46.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 45\% | 38.9\% | 50.3\% |
|  | Codington | 53\% | 46.5\% | 59.5\% |
|  | Meade | 42\% | 33.3\% | 51.7\% |

[^7]
## Demographics

Gender The prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination does not seem to change based on gender.

Age The prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination peaks for people in their 70 s . This includes a significant increase as the 60s are reached.

Race/ Whites exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination, while Ethnicity American Indians show a very low prevalence.

Household
Income
The prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination does not seem to change as household income changes.

Education The prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination increases as education levels increase.

Employment Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination, while those who are employed for wages, selfemployed, unemployed, a homemaker, or unable to work show a very low prevalence.

Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination, while those who are divorced or have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Home Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of getting Ownership

Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of getting a shingles vaccination than those who primarily use a cell phone.

## County

 vaccination, while Pennington county shows a very low prevalence.
## Arthritis

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?"

## Prevalence of Arthritis

- South Dakota 25\%
- Nationwide median $25 \%$

Figure 49
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Arthritis, 2011-2020


Table 34
South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Arthritis, 2016-2020

|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 22\% | 21.0\% | 23.5\% |
|  | Female | 28\% | 26.4\% | 28.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 11\% | 9.1\% | 13.4\% |
|  | 40-49 | 17\% | 15.2\% | 19.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 29\% | 26.7\% | 30.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 42\% | 40.2\% | 44.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 50\% | 47.2\% | 52.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 57\% | 53.6\% | 60.8\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 26\% | 24.8\% | 26.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 29\% | 24.7\% | 33.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 19\% | 12.1\% | 29.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 17\% | 12.0\% | 24.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 32\% | 30.5\% | 34.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 24\% | 22.7\% | 25.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 18\% | 16.2\% | 19.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 31\% | 27.1\% | 35.0\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 27\% | 25.1\% | 28.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 25\% | 23.9\% | 26.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 20\% | 18.7\% | 21.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 15\% | 14.5\% | 16.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 23\% | 20.5\% | 25.5\% |
|  | Unemployed | 23\% | 18.5\% | 28.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 26\% | 20.9\% | 32.5\% |
|  | Student | 4\% | 2.3\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Retired | 50\% | 48.4\% | 52.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 59\% | 54.1\% | 63.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 26\% | 24.5\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 33\% | 30.2\% | 35.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 53\% | 50.2\% | 56.5\% |
|  | Never Married | 11\% | 9.6\% | 12.6\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 28\% | 26.7\% | 28.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 19\% | 17.5\% | 21.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 8.7\% | 12.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 7\% | 5.6\% | 8.6\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 36\% | 34.1\% | 37.1\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 21\% | 20.0\% | 22.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 12\% | 4.0\% | 31.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 8.6\% | 12.0\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 21\% | 19.1\% | 23.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 28\% | 25.9\% | 30.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 27\% | 20.9\% | 33.5\% |
|  | Brown | 25\% | 22.8\% | 27.9\% |
|  | Brookings | 15\% | 13.3\% | 17.6\% |
|  | Codington | 26\% | 23.4\% | 29.3\% |
|  | Meade | 26\% | 22.2\% | 30.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 31\% | 26.6\% | 36.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of arthritis than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of arthritis increases as age increases. This includes <br> significant increases in every age group. |
| Race/ | Whites and American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of arthritis, <br> while Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of arthritis decreases as household income increases. This <br> includes significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ <br> household income groups are reached. |
| Income | The prevalence of arthritis decreases as education levels increase. This <br> includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of arthritis, <br> while those who are students show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of arthritis, while those <br> who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> arthritis than those who rent their home. |
| Home | Those with children in the household exhibit a significantly higher prevalence <br> of arthritis than those without children. |
| Chwnership | Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher |
| Prevalence of arthritis than those who primarily use a cell phone. |  |

## Asthma

Definition: South Dakotans who were told by a doctor, nurse, or health professional that they had asthma and that they still have asthma.

## Prevalence of Asthma

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 10\%

Figure 50
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Asthma, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 35South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Asthma, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.2\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Female | 9\% | 8.4\% | 10.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 10\% | 7.9\% | 11.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 7\% | 5.4\% | 8.1\% |
|  | 40-49 | 6\% | 4.6\% | 6.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 9\% | 7.4\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 8\% | 6.6\% | 9.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 6\% | 4.4\% | 8.6\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.9\% | 8.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 9.2\% | 13.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 10\% | 5.8\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 8\% | 4.4\% | 13.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 8.8\% | 11.4\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.5\% | 7.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 9.0\% | 14.6\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.4\% | 8.2\% |
|  | College Graduate | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 4.3\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 11\% | 7.0\% | 16.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.7\% | 9.6\% |
|  | Student | 10\% | 6.8\% | 14.0\% |
|  | Retired | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 18\% | 14.5\% | 21.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 10\% | 8.0\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 7\% | 6.2\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 8\% | 7.1\% | 10.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 7\% | 6.0\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 10\% | 9.0\% | 12.0\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.6\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 2.6\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 8.2\% | 11.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 8\% | 6.6\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 10\% | 6.4\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 6.3\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 8\% | 5.7\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.3\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 5.4\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 4.3\% | 10.7\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of asthma than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to consistently change as age increases. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of asthma, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of asthma, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, a homemaker, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of asthma, while those who are married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of asthma than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of asthma does not seem to differ among the available counties. |

## Depression

Definition: South Dakotans who were told by a doctor, nurse, or health professional that they had some form of depression.

## Prevalence of Depression

- South Dakota 16\%
- Nationwide median 20\%

Figure 51
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Depression, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 36South Dakotans Who Were Told They Have Depression, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 12\% | 11.0\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Female | 21\% | 19.9\% | 22.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 20\% | 17.4\% | 21.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 19\% | 17.0\% | 21.8\% |
|  | 40-49 | 17\% | 14.8\% | 18.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 17\% | 15.7\% | 19.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 15\% | 13.7\% | 16.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 11\% | 9.5\% | 12.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 7\% | 5.7\% | 9.3\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 16\% | 15.5\% | 17.1\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 22\% | 18.1\% | 26.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 25\% | 16.6\% | 35.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 14\% | 9.7\% | 18.5\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 24\% | 22.5\% | 26.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 15\% | 14.0\% | 16.8\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 11\% | 9.8\% | 12.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 17\% | 14.4\% | 20.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 17\% | 15.3\% | 18.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 18\% | 16.2\% | 18.9\% |
|  | College Graduate | 15\% | 13.5\% | 15.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 16\% | 15.3\% | 17.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 9\% | 7.8\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 29\% | 24.2\% | 35.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 19\% | 14.1\% | 26.1\% |
|  | Student | 16\% | 12.3\% | 21.1\% |
|  | Retired | 12\% | 10.8\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 48\% | 43.9\% | 52.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 14\% | 12.9\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 26\% | 24.0\% | 29.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 16\% | 13.2\% | 18.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 19\% | 16.9\% | 20.9\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 14\% | 13.1\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 24\% | 21.8\% | 26.0\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 18\% | 16.6\% | 20.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 20\% | 17.7\% | 22.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 13\% | 12.3\% | 14.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 18\% | 16.7\% | 18.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 23\% | 12.4\% | 38.3\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 25\% | 22.9\% | 27.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 18\% | 16.3\% | 20.4\% |
|  | Pennington | 19\% | 17.5\% | 21.6\% |
|  | Lincoln | 17\% | 12.6\% | 23.2\% |
|  | Brown | 20\% | 17.0\% | 22.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 19\% | 15.5\% | 22.8\% |
|  | Codington | 15\% | 12.8\% | 18.1\% |
|  | Meade | 18\% | 14.0\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Lawrence | 16\% | 12.4\% | 21.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of depression than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of depression decreases as age increases. This includes significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of depression, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of depression decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of depression as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of depression, while those who are self-employed or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of depression, while those who are married or widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those who own their home. |
| Children <br> Status | The prevalence of depression among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of depression does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of depression does not seem to differ for the counties available for analysis. |

## Kidney Disease

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you have kidney disease? Do NOT include kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence."

## Prevalence of Kidney Disease

- South Dakota 3\%
- Nationwide median 3\%

Figure 52
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Been
Told They Have Kidney Disease, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 37South Dakotans Who Have Been Told They Have Kidney Disease, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Female | 3\% | 2.4\% | 3.3\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 2\% | 1.4\% | 3.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 2\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.4\% | 5.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 8\% | 5.9\% | 10.3\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.2\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 2\% | 1.1\% | 5.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 2\% | 2.0\% | 3.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 4\% | 2.5\% | 5.9\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% |
|  | Unemployed | 2\% | 0.7\% | 3.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 2\% | 1.2\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Student | 1\% | 0.2\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Retired | 6\% | 5.2\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 9\% | 7.1\% | 11.7\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 6\% | 4.5\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.6\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Rent Home | 2\% | 1.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.8\% | 2.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 4\% | 3.7\% | 5.1\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 2\% | 1.8\% | 2.4\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 1.3\% | 18.9\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 1\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 1.6\% | 2.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 3\% | 1.9\% | 3.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 2\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Brown | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Codington | 3\% | 1.8\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Meade | 2\% | 1.0\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Lawrence | 1\% | 0.7\% | 2.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender The prevalence of kidney disease does not seem to differ based on gender.
Age The prevalence of kidney disease increases as age increases. This includes significant increases as the 40 s and 60 s are reached.

| Race/ | Whites and American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of kidney <br> Ethnicity |
| :--- | :--- |
| disease, while American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. |  |
| Household The prevalence of kidney disease does not seem to change as household <br> Income income changes. |  |

Education The prevalence of kidney disease decreases as education levels increase.
Employment Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of kidney disease, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, unemployed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence.

Marital
Status

Home There seems to be no difference in the prevalence of kidney disease
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of kidney disease than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of kidney disease does not seem to change based on Status

County The prevalence of kidney disease does not seem to differ among the eight available counties.

## Vision Impairment

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "yes" to the question: "Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?"

## Prevalence of Vision Impairment

- South Dakota 4\%
- There is no nationwide median for vision impairment

Figure 53
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have a Vision Impairment, 2013-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2020

| Table 38South Dakotans Who Have a Vision Impairment, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.7\% |
|  | Female | 4\% | 3.4\% | 4.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 3\% | 2.2\% | 4.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 3\% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 2.7\% | 4.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 60-69 | 4\% | 3.0\% | 4.4\% |
|  | 70-79 | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 11\% | 8.5\% | 13.2\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 3\% | 2.8\% | 3.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 8.2\% | 15.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.3\% | 12.0\% |
|  | Hispanic | 8\% | 4.0\% | 14.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 3\% | 2.1\% | 3.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 10\% | 7.5\% | 12.6\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 5\% | 3.8\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 3\% | 2.5\% | 3.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2\% | 1.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 2\% | 1.1\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 5\% | 3.5\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Homemaker | 9\% | 4.5\% | 16.0\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.6\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Retired | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 16\% | 12.6\% | 19.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3\% | 2.4\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 5\% | 4.1\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 9\% | 7.7\% | 11.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 4\% | 2.9\% | 5.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.8\% | 4.1\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.9\% | 4.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.2\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 3\% | 3.0\% | 4.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 0.7\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 4\% | 3.4\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.1\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Brown | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Brookings | 3\% | 2.0\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Codington | 4\% | 3.4\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Meade | 5\% | 3.2\% | 7.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 3\% | 2.1\% | 5.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of severe vision impairment does not seem to differ gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of severe vision impairment increases as age increases including a significant increase as the 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians and Hispanics exhibit a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of severe vision impairment decreases as household income increases with significant decreases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of severe vision impairment decreases as education levels increase with significant decreases as the high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are a homemaker or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of severe vision impairment, while those who are married or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of severe vision impairment than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of severe vision impairment in the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of severe vision impairment than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of severe vision impairment does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of severe vision impairment does not seem to differ among the eight available counties. |

## Alcohol Use

## DRANK IN PAST 30 DAYS

Definition: South Dakotans who report drinking alcohol in the past 30 days.

## Prevalence of Drinking in Past 30 Days

- South Dakota 56\%
- Nationwide median 53\%

Figure 54
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Drank Alcohol in the Past 30 Days, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 20112020

| Table 39 <br> South Dakotans Who Drank Alcohol in Past 30 Days, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 64\% | 62.8\% | 65.8\% |
|  | Female | 50\% | 49.0\% | 51.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 60\% | 57.1\% | 62.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 64\% | 60.5\% | 66.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 63\% | 60.6\% | 66.1\% |
|  | 50-59 | 59\% | 56.5\% | 61.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 56\% | 53.8\% | 57.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 45\% | 42.4\% | 47.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 35\% | 31.5\% | 38.6\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 60\% | 58.6\% | 60.8\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 37\% | 33.1\% | 41.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 52\% | 40.7\% | 62.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 52\% | 44.0\% | 60.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 46\% | 43.7\% | 48.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 60\% | 58.5\% | 62.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 73\% | 71.2\% | 74.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 41\% | 36.7\% | 46.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 50\% | 47.7\% | 51.7\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 61\% | 59.2\% | 62.8\% |
|  | College Graduate | 68\% | 66.1\% | 69.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 64\% | 62.3\% | 65.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 65\% | 62.4\% | 68.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 53\% | 46.7\% | 59.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 36\% | 30.8\% | 41.4\% |
|  | Student | 54\% | 48.2\% | 60.2\% |
|  | Retired | 47\% | 45.3\% | 49.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 32\% | 27.6\% | 36.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 62\% | 60.6\% | 63.2\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 51\% | 47.6\% | 53.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 36\% | 33.3\% | 39.3\% |
|  | Never Married | 57\% | 53.9\% | 59.2\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 60\% | 58.8\% | 61.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 53\% | 50.8\% | 55.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 59\% | 56.6\% | 61.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 65\% | 62.3\% | 68.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 49\% | 47.6\% | 50.9\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 60\% | 59.1\% | 61.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 3.4\% | 21.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 57\% | 53.8\% | 59.4\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 59\% | 56.1\% | 61.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 57\% | 54.5\% | 59.6\% |
|  | Lincoln | 61\% | 54.0\% | 67.6\% |
|  | Brown | 55\% | 51.7\% | 58.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 61\% | 56.8\% | 64.9\% |
|  | Codington | 59\% | 55.4\% | 62.5\% |
|  | Meade | 53\% | 47.4\% | 58.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 59\% | 53.3\% | 64.9\% |

Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of drinking alcohol than females. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | Alcohol use generally decreases with age. This includes significant decreases as the 70s and 80s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites and Hispanics demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of drinking alcohol than American Indians. |
| Household Income | Alcohol use increases as household income increases. This includes significant increases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and $\$ 75,000+$ income groups are reached. |
| Education | Alcohol use increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the high school graduate, some post-high school, and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages or self-employed demonstrate a very high prevalence of alcohol use, while those who are a homemaker or unable to work show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married exhibit a very high prevalence of alcohol use, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who rent their home. |
| Children <br> Status | Those with no children in the household exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those with children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who use primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who primarily use a landline phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | Females who are not pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of alcohol use than those who are pregnant. |
| County | There seems to be no difference among the eight available counties regarding alcohol use. |

## BINGE DRINKING

Definition: South Dakota males who report having five or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion or South Dakota females who have four or more alcoholic drinks on one occasion, one or more times in the past month.

## Prevalence of Binge Drinking

- South Dakota 18\%
- Nationwide median $16 \%$

Figure 55
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Engage in Binge Drinking, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 40South Dakotans Who Engage in Binge Drinking, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 25\% | 23.8\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Female | 14\% | 12.6\% | 14.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 31\% | 28.7\% | 34.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 26\% | 23.4\% | 28.7\% |
|  | 40-49 | 24\% | 21.3\% | 26.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 18\% | 16.3\% | 20.1\% |
|  | 60-69 | 11\% | 9.3\% | 12.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 2\% | 1.0\% | 2.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 19\% | 18.3\% | 20.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 21\% | 17.4\% | 24.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 30\% | 20.2\% | 40.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 21\% | 14.4\% | 29.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 18\% | 16.4\% | 19.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 21\% | 18.8\% | 22.4\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 24\% | 22.0\% | 25.6\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 18\% | 14.8\% | 22.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 18\% | 16.3\% | 19.6\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 21\% | 19.8\% | 23.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 19\% | 17.6\% | 20.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 25\% | 23.4\% | 26.2\% |
|  | Self-employed | 20\% | 17.0\% | 22.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 22\% | 17.5\% | 27.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 8\% | 5.3\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Student | 29\% | 24.3\% | 35.1\% |
|  | Retired | 6\% | 4.7\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 13\% | 10.2\% | 17.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 18\% | 16.5\% | 18.7\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 19\% | 16.6\% | 21.2\% |
|  | Widowed | 6\% | 4.2\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Never Married | 29\% | 26.6\% | 31.5\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 17\% | 16.3\% | 18.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 26\% | 23.9\% | 28.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 22\% | 20.5\% | 24.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 35\% | 32.1\% | 37.8\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 11\% | 10.2\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 22\% | 21.3\% | 23.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 1.4\% | 20.4\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 21\% | 19.2\% | 23.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 19\% | 17.1\% | 21.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 17\% | 15.0\% | 19.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 20\% | 14.4\% | 26.6\% |
|  | Brown | 19\% | 16.1\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 26\% | 21.2\% | 30.4\% |
|  | Codington | 22\% | 19.3\% | 25.7\% |
|  | Meade | 15\% | 11.4\% | 19.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 16\% | 12.0\% | 20.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of binge drinking than females. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | Binge drinking decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the <br> $50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ <br> Ethnicity | The prevalence of binge drinking does not seem to differ by race. |
| Household |  |
| Income |  |$\quad$| Binge drinking increases as household income increases. |
| :--- |
| Education | | The prevalence of binge drinking does not seem to change as education |
| :--- |
| levels change. |

## HEAVY DRINKING

Definition: South Dakota males who report having more than 2 drinks per day, or South Dakota females who report having more than 1 drink per day.

## Prevalence of Heavy Drinking

- South Dakota 6\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 56
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Engage in Heavy Drinking, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

| Gender | Males exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of heavy drinking than <br> females. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | Heavy drinking generally decreases as age increases. This includes a <br> significant decrease as the 70s are reached. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of heavy drinking does not seem to differ based on <br> race/ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of heavy drinking does not seem to change as household <br> income changes. |
| Income |  |$\quad$| The prevalence of heavy drinking decreases as education levels increase. |
| :--- |

## General Health Status

## FAIR OR POOR HEALTH STATUS

Definition: South Dakotans who report having fair or poor health from possible response choices of "excellent", "very good", "good", "fair", or "poor".

## Prevalence of Fair or Poor Health Status

- South Dakota 11\%
- Nationwide median 13\%

Figure 57
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Fair or Poor Health Status, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

| Gender | There seems to be no significant gender difference in the prevalence of those <br> in fair or poor health. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of fair or poor health increases as age increases. This <br> includes significant increases when people reach their 50 s and 60 s. |
| Race/ | American Indians exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of those in fair or <br> poor health than all other races/ethnicities. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of fair or poor health decreases as household income <br> Household <br> increases. This includes significant decreases when the \$35,000-\$74,999 <br> and $\$ 75,000+$ household incomes are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of fair or poor health decreases as education increases. This <br> includes significant decreases at each education level. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of those in <br> fair or poor health while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, or <br> a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of those in <br> fair or poor health, while those who are married or have never been married <br> show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> fair or poor health than those who own their home. |
| Home | The prevalence of fair or poor health of adults does not seem to differ based |
| on on the presence of children in the household. |  |

## PHYSICAL HEALTH NOT GOOD

Definition: South Dakotans who reported their physical health was not good for 30 days of the past 30, including physical illness and injury.

## Prevalence of Physical Health Not Good for 30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 4\%
- There is no nationwide median for physical health not good

Figure 58
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Physical Health Not Good for 30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 43 <br> South Dakotans Who Reported Physical Health Not Good for 30 Days of the Past 30, 2016- $2020$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Female | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.1\% |
|  | 30-39 | 4\% | 2.9\% | 5.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 3.5\% | 5.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 8.4\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 10\% | 8.2\% | 11.3\% |
|  | 80+ | 9\% | 7.3\% | 11.0\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 7.1\% | 11.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 3.6\% | 10.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 2\% | 1.4\% | 4.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 9.2\% | 11.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 10\% | 8.0\% | 12.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.1\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 6\% | 5.0\% | 6.5\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.1\% | 4.2\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 3\% | 2.7\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 3\% | 2.4\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 10\% | 6.5\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 3.1\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Student | 2\% | 0.9\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Retired | 9\% | 7.8\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 38\% | 33.7\% | 42.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.8\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 11\% | 9.6\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 8.5\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 4\% | 2.9\% | 4.7\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.1\% | 6.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 6.0\% | 8.2\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 2.8\% | 4.7\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 1.8\% | 3.5\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 7\% | 6.3\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.1\% | 6.2\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 0.7\% | 21.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 4\% | 2.7\% | 4.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% |
|  | Pennington | 6\% | 5.2\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 3.1\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Brown | 6\% | 4.7\% | 7.9\% |
|  | Brookings | 4\% | 2.8\% | 5.3\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 4.5\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 4.3\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 6\% | 4.3\% | 9.1\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | The prevalence of poor physical health does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of poor physical health generally increases as age increases. This includes a significant increase as the 50s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of poor physical health, while Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of poor physical health decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases when the \$35,000-\$74,999 and $\$ 75,000+$ household incomes are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of poor physical health decreases as education increases. This includes significant decreases as the high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of poor physical health while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of poor physical health, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of poor physical health does not seem to differ based on home ownership. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of poor physical health of the adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of poor physical health than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of poor physical health does not seem to differ based on pregnancy status. |
| County | The prevalence of poor physical health does not seem to differ among the eight available counties. |

Figure 59, below, shows the average number of days South Dakotans stated their physical health was not good for the past 30 days. In 2020, the number of days their physical health was not good was 2.5 , which is the lowest number of days in the past ten years.

Figure 59
Average Number of Days South Dakotans' Physical Health Was Not Good in the Past 30 Days, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

## MENTAL HEALTH NOT GOOD

Definition: South Dakotans who report their mental health was not good for 20 to 30 days of the past 30, including stress, depression, and problems with emotions.

## Prevalence of Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 6\%
- There is no nationwide median for poor mental health

Figure 60
Percentage of South Dakotans Stating Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

Table 44
South Dakotans Who Stated Mental Health Not Good for 20-30 Days of the Past 30, 2016-2020

|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 7.1\% | 8.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 9\% | 7.6\% | 11.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 8\% | 6.3\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 5.3\% | 8.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 7.2\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 3\% | 2.5\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 80+ | 4\% | 2.9\% | 6.1\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 6\% | 5.7\% | 6.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 7.0\% | 11.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 6.3\% | 19.8\% |
|  | Hispanic | 5\% | 2.6\% | 9.2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 11\% | 9.7\% | 12.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 5\% | 4.5\% | 6.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.3\% | 3.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 10\% | 7.9\% | 13.5\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 5.8\% | 7.7\% |
|  | College Graduate | 4\% | 3.3\% | 4.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 6\% | 4.9\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 3.1\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 18\% | 13.0\% | 24.3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 3.3\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Student | 8\% | 5.3\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Retired | 4\% | 2.9\% | 4.4\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 27\% | 23.2\% | 31.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 4\% | 3.9\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 11\% | 8.9\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 10\% | 8.1\% | 11.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 5\% | 4.4\% | 5.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 11\% | 9.1\% | 12.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 8\% | 6.4\% | 9.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.4\% | 10.9\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 5\% | 4.5\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 2.0\% | 19.0\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 8.5\% | 12.1\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 5.2\% | 8.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 2.9\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Brown | 7\% | 5.1\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 4.7\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Codington | 7\% | 5.1\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 4.8\% | 10.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 4\% | 2.6\% | 6.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
Gender Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of poor mental health than

Age The prevalence of poor mental health generally decreases as age increases.

| Race/ | American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of poor mental health, while <br> whites show a very low prevalence. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of poor mental health decreases as household income <br> Household <br> Increases. This includes significant decreases when the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ <br> and $\$ 75,000+$ household incomes are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of poor mental health decreases as education increases. <br> This includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached. |


| Employment | Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high <br> prevalence of poor mental health, while those who are self-employed, <br> homemakers, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Marital | Those who are married exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of poor <br> Status |
| mental health than all other types of marital status. |  |
| Home | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of <br> Ownership |
| poor mental health than those who own their home. |  |

Children Status

Phone Status

Pregnancy Status

County

The prevalence of poor mental health of the adults does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of poor mental health than those who primarily use a landline phone.

The prevalence of poor mental health does not seem to change based on pregnancy status.

The prevalence of poor mental health does not seem to differ among the eight available counties.

Figure 61, below, shows the average number of days all South Dakotans stated their mental health was not good for the past 30 days. In 2020, the average number of days was 3.1, down from 3.6 days in 2019.

Figure 61
Average Number of Days Respondents' Mental Health Was Not Good in the Past 30 Days, 2011-2020


[^8]
## USUAL ACTIVITIES UNATTAINABLE

Definition: South Dakotans who report poor physical or mental health kept them from doing their usual activities for 10 to 30 days of the past 30 days, such as self-care, work, or recreation.

## Prevalence of Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30

- South Dakota 7\%
- There is no national median for usual activities unattainable for $10-30$ days of the past 30

Figure 62
Percentage of South Dakotans Reporting Usual Activities Unattainable for 10-30 Days of the Past 30, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 45 <br> South Dakotans Who Stated Usual Activities Unattainable Due to Poor Physical or Mental Health for 10-30 Days of the Past 30, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 6\% | 5.7\% | 7.1\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 7.4\% | 9.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 6\% | 5.0\% | 8.2\% |
|  | 40-49 | 6\% | 5.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | 50-59 | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 9.2\% | 11.8\% |
|  | 70-79 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.6\% |
|  | 80+ | 8\% | 5.9\% | 9.6\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.5\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 12\% | 9.3\% | 15.0\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 5.3\% | 13.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 8\% | 4.2\% | 13.6\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 13\% | 11.3\% | 14.1\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 6\% | 5.4\% | 7.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 3\% | 2.2\% | 3.4\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 8.9\% | 14.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 5\% | 4.0\% | 5.4\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.6\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 4\% | 3.2\% | 5.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 16\% | 11.6\% | 20.8\% |
|  | Homemaker | 5\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% |
|  | Student | 5\% | 2.8\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Retired | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 47\% | 42.3\% | 51.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.2\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 14\% | 11.8\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 8.0\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 7\% | 5.8\% | 8.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 5.4\% | 6.4\% |
|  | Rent Home | 11\% | 9.3\% | 12.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 5\% | 4.0\% | 6.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 8\% | 7.0\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 7\% | 6.4\% | 7.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 2.7\% | 33.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 5.3\% | 7.9\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 6.0\% | 8.9\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 7.1\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Lincoln | 5\% | 2.8\% | 7.7\% |
|  | Brown | 9\% | 7.4\% | 11.5\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 4.0\% | 7.5\% |
|  | Codington | 8\% | 6.1\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 4.7\% | 9.1\% |
|  | Lawrence | 7\% | 4.6\% | 10.3\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

Age The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities does not seem to consistently change as age changes.

Race/ American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them Ethnicity

Household Income

Education

Employment Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities, while those who are married or have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of poor health keeping them from usual activities than those who own their home.

Children The prevalence of poor health keeping adults from usual activities does not Status

Phone Status The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities does not seem to differ based on phone status.

Pregnancy The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities does Status

County The prevalence of poor health keeping someone from usual activities does not seem to differ among the eight available counties.

Figure 63, below, shows the average number of days in the past 30 days where poor physical or mental health kept South Dakotans from doing their usual activities. For the past ten years the average number of days has remained steady.

Figure 63
Average Number of Days Poor Physical or Mental Health Kept South Dakotans From Doing Their Usual Activities In the Past 30 Days, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

## Health Insurance

## HEALTH INSURANCE (ADULT)

Definition: South Dakotans, ages 18-64, who do not have health insurance, prepaid plans such as health maintenance organizations (HMOs), or government plans such as Medicare or Indian Health Service.

Prevalence of No Health Insurance

- South Dakota 9\%
- There is no nationwide median for no health insurance

Figure 64
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 46South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 10\% | 8.4\% | 11.1\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 6.8\% | 9.1\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 12\% | 9.9\% | 14.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 10\% | 8.2\% | 12.6\% |
|  | 40-49 | 9\% | 7.3\% | 11.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 6\% | 4.8\% | 7.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 5\% | 3.3\% | 6.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | - | - | - |
|  | 80+ | - | - | - |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.4\% | 9.3\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 4\% | 2.5\% | 7.5\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 18\% | 8.9\% | 31.9\% |
|  | Hispanic | 25\% | 17.2\% | 34.5\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 16\% | 13.8\% | 18.0\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 6.6\% | 10.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 2\% | 1.4\% | 2.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 22\% | 17.0\% | 28.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 10.8\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 12\% | 10.0\% | 15.2\% |
|  | Unemployed | 30\% | 23.4\% | 36.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 12\% | 8.0\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Student | 4\% | 2.1\% | 6.1\% |
|  | Retired | 3\% | 1.6\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 8\% | 5.5\% | 11.6\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 5\% | 4.3\% | 5.9\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 16\% | 13.0\% | 19.4\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 5.6\% | 16.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 14\% | 11.5\% | 16.3\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 6\% | 4.9\% | 6.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 16\% | 13.9\% | 18.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.3\% | 10.4\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 11.3\% | 16.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 6\% | 4.4\% | 6.8\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 10\% | 8.6\% | 10.8\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 2.7\% | 25.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 7.8\% | 11.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 10\% | 8.2\% | 12.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 7.2\% | 11.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 1.6\% | 8.1\% |
|  | Brown | 10\% | 7.0\% | 13.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 4.3\% | 10.2\% |
|  | Codington | 6\% | 3.6\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Meade | 13\% | 8.2\% | 18.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 17\% | 11.8\% | 24.5\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020
Gender There seems to be no gender difference regarding health insurance status.
Age The prevalence of being uninsured decreases as age increases.

Race/ American Indian/Whites and Hispanics demonstrate a very high prevalence Ethnicity

## Household

 IncomeEducation The prevalence of being uninsured decreases as education levels increase. This includes significant decreases at each education level.

Employment Those who are unemployed demonstrate a very high prevalence of being uninsured, while those who are a student, retired, or unable to work show a very low prevalence.

Marital
Status

Home Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of being Ownership

Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of being uninsured than those who primarily use a landline.

Pregnancy The prevalence of being uninsured does not seem to differ based on Status pregnancy status.

County Minnehaha, Meade, and Lawrence counties all demonstrate a very high prevalence of being uninsured, while Pennington, Lincoln, Brookings, and Codington counties show a very low prevalence.

As shown in Table 47, below, employer based coverage was the most common type of health insurance reported by South Dakotans for the past ten years. The second most common was insurance through a private plan.

| Table 47Type of Health Insurance, Ages 18-64, 2011-2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Number of Respondents | 4,332 | 5,147 | 4,216 | 4,387 | 4,043 | 3,258 | 3,772 | 3,806 | 3,443 | 3,559 |
| Type of Health Insurance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Based Coverage | 57\% | 59\% | 59\% | 59\% | 60\% | 58\% | 59\% | 56\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Private Plan | 12\% | 11\% | 12\% | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| Medicaid or Medical Assistance | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 7\% |
| The Indian Health Service | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or VA | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Medicare | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| Some Other Source | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| None | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020
Table 48, below, displays how long it has been since South Dakotans had a routine checkup and whether they had health insurance. The majority of insured South Dakotans, 71 percent, stated they had a routine checkup within the past year, while 38 percent of uninsured South Dakotans had a routine checkup within the past year.

The percent of uninsured South Dakotans who stated that they had a routine checkup five or more years ago was 31 percent while only eight percent of South Dakotans with health insurance had a routine checkup five or more years ago.

| Table 48 <br> How Long Since South Dakotans Last Visited a Doctor for a <br> Routine Checkup, 2014-2020 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Health Insurance | No Health Insurance |
| Within the past year | $71 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Within the past 2 years | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Within the past 5 years | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 5 or more years ago | $8 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| Never | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota of Department Health, 2014-2020

Figure 65, below, shows the percentage of South Dakotans, ages 18-64, who were asked if there was a time in the past 12 months when they needed to see a doctor but could not because of the cost. Forty percent of South Dakotans without health insurance answered yes to this question.

Figure 65
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 18-64, Who Needed to See a Doctor But Could Not Because of the Cost, 2014-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2014-2020

## CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE

Definition: South Dakota children, ages 0-17, who do not have health insurance, prepaid plans such as health maintenance organizations (HMOs), or government plans such as Medicaid, Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), or Indian Health Service (IHS).

## Prevalence of No Health Insurance

- South Dakota 3\%
- There is no nationwide median for no children's health insurance

Figure 66
Percentage of South Dakota Children, Ages 0-17, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 49 <br> South Dakota Children, Ages 0-17, Who Do Not Have Health Insurance, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 2\% | 1.4\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Female | 2\% | 1.3\% | 3.4\% |
| Age | 0-5 | 2\% | 1.1\% | 4.0\% |
|  | 6-11 | 2\% | 1.4\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 12-17 | 2\% | 0.9\% | 2.5\% |
| Race/ Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 2\% | 0.7\% | 4.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 1\% | 0.3\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 3\% | 1.1\% | 9.0\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 3\% | 1.3\% | 4.9\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 4\% | 2.2\% | 5.7\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 1\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own home | 2\% | 1.2\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Rent home | 4\% | 2.1\% | 6.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 2\% | 1.1\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Cell phone | 2\% | 1.5\% | 3.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 2\% | 1.0\% | 4.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 2\% | 0.9\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Lincoln | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
|  | Brown | 1\% | 0.3\% | 3.1\% |
|  | Brookings | 2\% | 0.9\% | 5.6\% |
|  | Codington | 1\% | 0.1\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Meade | 3\% | 1.4\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 3\% | 0.9\% | 9.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender
Age
Race/
Ethnicity
Household
Income
Home
Ownership
Phone Status

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by gender.
The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by age.
The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by race/ethnicity.

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to change as household income changes.

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by home ownership status.

The prevalence of uninsured children does not seem to differ by phone status.

Minnehaha and Meade counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of uninsured children, while Lincoln county shows a very low prevalence.

Table 50, below, shows the different types of health coverage for children, ages $0-17$. The main type of health care coverage for the past ten years was employer based coverage. Medicaid, CHIP, or medical assistance coverage was the second most common type of health coverage.

## Table 50

Different Types of Health Coverage for South Dakota Children, Ages 17 and Under, 2011-2020

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer Based Coverage | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $58 \%$ |
| Medicaid, CHIP, or Medical Assistance | $23 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Private Plan | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| The Indian Health Service | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| The Military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or VA | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Some Other Source | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| None | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

## ROUTINE CHECKUP

Definition: South Dakotans who have visited a doctor for a routine checkup within the past two years. A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness, or condition.

## Prevalence of Routine Checkup

- South Dakota 89\%
- There is no nationwide median for routine checkups

Figure 67
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Had a Routine Checkup Within the Past Two Years, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

Table 51
South Dakotans Who Have Had a Routine Checkup Within the Past Two Years, 2016-2020

|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male |  | 79\% | 77.4\% | 80.1\% |
|  | Female | 89\% | 88.5\% | 90.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 77\% | 74.6\% | 79.6\% |
|  | 30-39 | 74\% | 71.7\% | 77.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 83\% | 81.1\% | 85.6\% |
|  | 50-59 | 86\% | 84.5\% | 87.7\% |
|  | 60-69 | 91\% | 89.8\% | 92.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 96\% | 94.4\% | 96.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 95\% | 93.3\% | 96.6\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 85\% | 83.8\% | 85.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 85\% | 82.3\% | 87.9\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 72\% | 60.5\% | 81.1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 78\% | 70.2\% | 83.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 83\% | 81.3\% | 84.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 83\% | 80.9\% | 84.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 87\% | 85.3\% | 88.3\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 80\% | 75.6\% | 83.8\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 83\% | 81.0\% | 84.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 84\% | 82.5\% | 85.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 88\% | 86.6\% | 88.9\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 82\% | 80.2\% | 82.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 76\% | 73.2\% | 79.0\% |
|  | Unemployed | 77\% | 71.6\% | 82.4\% |
|  | Homemaker | 86\% | 81.2\% | 89.4\% |
|  | Student | 86\% | 81.4\% | 89.9\% |
|  | Retired | 95\% | 94.3\% | 96.0\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 89\% | 86.3\% | 91.9\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 86\% | 85.0\% | 87.0\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 82\% | 79.9\% | 84.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 93\% | 90.4\% | 94.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 78\% | 75.6\% | 80.1\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 86\% | 85.4\% | 87.2\% |
|  | Rent Home | 78\% | 75.9\% | 80.1\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 78\% | 75.9\% | 80.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 76\% | 72.8\% | 78.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 89\% | 87.9\% | 90.4\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 82\% | 81.2\% | 83.3\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 84\% | 69.2\% | 92.0\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 85\% | 83.3\% | 87.3\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 84\% | 82.1\% | 86.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 81\% | 79.0\% | 83.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 90\% | 84.4\% | 93.4\% |
|  | Brown | 87\% | 84.8\% | 89.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 86\% | 82.4\% | 89.0\% |
|  | Codington | 86\% | 83.1\% | 88.8\% |
|  | Meade | 81\% | 76.0\% | 85.9\% |
|  | Lawrence | 75\% | 69.4\% | 79.7\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup does not seem to consistently change as age changes. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites and American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of obtaining routine checkups, while American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup does not seem to change as income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup increases as education increases. This includes a significant increase as the college graduate level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup, while those who are self-employed or unemployed show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup, while those who have never been married or divorced show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Residents of Minnehaha, Lincoln, Brown, Brookings, and Codington counties exhibit a very high prevalence of obtaining a routine checkup, while those in Pennington and Lawrence counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Oral Health

Definition: South Dakotans who have visited a dentist or dental clinic for any reason within the past year.

## Prevalence of Oral Health

- South Dakota 70\%
- Nationwide median 67\%

Figure 68
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason Within the Past Year, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

| Table 52 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Visited a Dentist or Dental Clinic for Any Reason Within the Past Year, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 65\% | 63.0\% | 66.9\% |
|  | Female | 74\% | 71.9\% | 75.2\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 67\% | 62.9\% | 70.0\% |
|  | 30-39 | 68\% | 64.4\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 40-49 | 73\% | 69.2\% | 76.0\% |
|  | 50-59 | 71\% | 68.4\% | 73.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 72\% | 69.7\% | 74.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 68\% | 65.0\% | 71.4\% |
|  | 80+ | 62\% | 57.1\% | 66.3\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 71\% | 70.0\% | 72.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 56\% | 50.4\% | 61.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 52\% | 37.7\% | 65.2\% |
|  | Hispanic | 62\% | 51.3\% | 70.8\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 55\% | 52.1\% | 57.7\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 70\% | 67.3\% | 72.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 84\% | 81.7\% | 85.6\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 53\% | 47.6\% | 59.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 61\% | 58.9\% | 63.9\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 71\% | 68.9\% | 73.3\% |
|  | College Graduate | 82\% | 80.4\% | 83.7\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 71\% | 69.5\% | 73.2\% |
|  | Self-employed | 66\% | 62.2\% | 69.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 51\% | 43.6\% | 58.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 69\% | 61.2\% | 75.1\% |
|  | Student | 80\% | 73.2\% | 85.3\% |
|  | Retired | 70\% | 67.1\% | 71.8\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 53\% | 47.3\% | 59.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 76\% | 74.1\% | 77.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 56\% | 51.8\% | 59.6\% |
|  | Widowed | 63\% | 58.7\% | 66.9\% |
|  | Never Married | 61\% | 58.1\% | 64.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 74\% | 72.5\% | 75.3\% |
|  | Rent Home | 55\% | 52.2\% | 58.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 70\% | 67.1\% | 72.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 66\% | 61.9\% | 69.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 70\% | 68.3\% | 72.1\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 69\% | 67.3\% | 70.5\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 72\% | 68.8\% | 75.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 74\% | 70.4\% | 76.5\% |
|  | Pennington | 66\% | 63.0\% | 69.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 77\% | 67.7\% | 83.6\% |
|  | Brown | 70\% | 65.8\% | 73.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 72\% | 67.5\% | 76.5\% |
|  | Codington | 76\% | 72.2\% | 79.2\% |
|  | Meade | 60\% | 53.3\% | 66.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 69\% | 63.7\% | 74.4\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of visiting a dentist in the past year does not seem to consistently change as age changes. |
| Race/Ethnicity | Whites demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year than American Indians and American Indian/whites. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year increases as household income increases. This includes significant increases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and $\$ 75,000+$ income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the some post-high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are unable to work or unemployed demonstrate a very low prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year, while those who are employed, a homemaker, a student, or retired show a very high prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year than all other marital statuses. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home show a significantly lower prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| County | Pennington and Meade counties demonstrate a very low prevalence of visiting the dentist in the past year, while Minnehaha, Lincoln, Brookings, and Codington counties show a very high prevalence. |

Definition: South Dakota children, ages 6-17, who have visited a dentist or dental clinic for routine care within the past year.

## Prevalence of Children's Oral Health

- South Dakota 90\%
- There is no nationwide median for children's oral health

| Table 53 <br> South Dakota Children, Ages 6-17, Who Have Visited a Dentist or a Dental Clinic for Routine Care Within the Past Year, 2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 85\% | 74.1\% | 91.7\% |
|  | Female | 95\% | 90.7\% | 96.8\% |
| Age | 0-5 | - | - | - |
|  | 6-11 | 95\% | 87.4\% | 98.0\% |
|  | 12-17 | 85\% | 76.0\% | 91.2\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 94\% | 89.9\% | 96.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 86\% | 74.1\% | 92.6\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | * | * | * |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 85\% | 71.1\% | 92.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 85\% | 71.0\% | 92.9\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 97\% | 90.7\% | 98.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 95\% | 89.8\% | 97.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 75\% | 58.1\% | 86.4\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 93\% | 86.9\% | 96.6\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 89\% | 81.0\% | 93.5\% |
| County | Minnehaha | * | * | * |
|  | Pennington | * | * | * |
|  | Brown | * | * | * |
|  | Brookings | * | * | * |
|  | Codington | * | * | * |
|  | Meade | * | * | * |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

## Demographics

| Gender | There seems to be no gender difference regarding routine oral health visits for <br> children. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of children visiting the dentist regularly does not seem to differ <br> by age. |
| Race/Ethnicity | The prevalence of children visiting the dentist regularly does not seem to differ <br> based on race/ethnicity. |
| Household | The prevalence of children visiting the dentist regularly does not seem to <br> consistently change as household income changes. |
| Income | Those who own their home exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of taking <br> their children to the dentist regularly than those who rent their homes. |
| Home | The prevalence of children visiting the dentist regularly does not seem to differ <br> based on phone status. |

Figure 70, below, displays the majority of South Dakotans who stated that they have some kind of insurance that pays for some or all of their child's routine dental care. The majority for all years stated they have insurance coverage that pays for some or all of their child's routine dental care.

Figure 70
South Dakotans Who Have Any Kind of Insurance Coverage That Pays for Some or All of This Child's Routine Dental Care, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020
Figure 71, below, displays the percentage of South Dakota children, ages 6-17, who had a toothache, not caused by injury or trauma, on more than one occasion in the past 12 months. In 2020, 5 percent had a toothache not caused by injury or trauma in the past year.

Figure 71
South Dakota Children, Ages 6-17, Who Had a Toothache, Not Caused by Injury or Trauma, on More Than One Occasion in the Past 12 Months, 2020


[^9]Figure 72, below, displays the percentage of children, ages 6-17, who had a toothache that caused the child to miss school in the past 12 months. In 2020, two percent of children missed school because of a toothache.

Figure 72
South Dakota Children, Ages 6-17, Who Had a Toothache That Caused the Child to Miss School Within the Past 12 Months, 2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

Figure 73, below, displays the percentage of children who visited a hospital emergency room in the past 12 months because of a toothache. Only 0.1 percent of children visited a hospital emergency room in the past 12 months because of a toothache.

Figure 73
South Dakota Children, Ages 6-17, Who Visited a Hospital Emergency Room Because of a Toothache Within the Past 12 Months, 2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

## Hearing Difficulty

Definition: South Dakotans who answered yes to the question: "Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?"

## Prevalence of Hearing Difficulty

- South Dakota 8\%
- Nationwide median 7\%

Figure 74
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Are Deaf or Have Serious
Difficulty Hearing, 2016-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Table 54South Dakotans Who Are Deaf or Have Serious Difficulty Hearing, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 10\% | 9.4\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Female | 5\% | 4.7\% | 5.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 2\% | 1.6\% | 3.4\% |
|  | 30-39 | 4\% | 2.7\% | 5.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 4\% | 2.8\% | 5.2\% |
|  | 50-59 | 7\% | 6.1\% | 8.5\% |
|  | 60-69 | 10\% | 8.7\% | 11.1\% |
|  | 70-79 | 18\% | 16.2\% | 20.2\% |
|  | 80+ | 28\% | 25.0\% | 31.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.1\% | 8.2\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 8.3\% | 13.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 5.4\% | 19.8\% |
|  | Hispanic | 6\% | 3.5\% | 10.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 10\% | 8.9\% | 11.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.2\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 5\% | 4.1\% | 5.8\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 12\% | 9.3\% | 14.4\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 8.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 7\% | 6.3\% | 8.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 5\% | 4.8\% | 6.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 4\% | 3.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Self-employed | 7\% | 5.2\% | 8.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 8\% | 5.6\% | 11.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.9\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Student | 1\% | 0.4\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Retired | 18\% | 16.2\% | 19.2\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 16\% | 12.8\% | 19.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 8\% | 7.1\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 9\% | 8.0\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 19\% | 16.8\% | 21.6\% |
|  | Never Married | 3\% | 2.7\% | 4.4\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 8\% | 7.7\% | 9.0\% |
|  | Rent Home | 7\% | 5.6\% | 7.7\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 3\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 12\% | 10.5\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 6\% | 5.8\% | 7.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 0.3\% | 8.8\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 2\% | 1.1\% | 2.6\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 6\% | 5.1\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Pennington | 9\% | 7.4\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 4\% | 2.4\% | 6.9\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 6.7\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Brookings | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.8\% |
|  | Codington | 9\% | 7.9\% | 11.2\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 7.0\% | 11.8\% |
|  | Lawrence | 6\% | 4.6\% | 8.7\% |

[^10]
## Demographics

Gender

| Age | The prevalence of hearing difficulty increases as age increases. This includes significant increases when people reach their $50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s . |
| :---: | :---: |
| Race/ Ethnicity | American Indians demonstrate a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of hearing difficulty decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and \$75,000+ household income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of hearing difficulty decreases as education increases. This includes significant decreases as the some post-high school and college graduate levels are reached. |
| Employment | Those who are retired or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty while those who are a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty, while those who have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of hearing difficulty does not seem to differ based on home ownership status. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of hearing difficulty does not seem to change based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a landline phone show a significantly higher prevalence of hearing difficulty than those who primarily use a cell phone. |
| Pregnancy Status | The prevalence of hearing difficulty does not seem to change based on pregnancy status. |
| County | Pennington, Brown, Codington, and Meade counties exhibit a very high prevalence of hearing difficulty, while those in Minnehaha, Lincoln, and Brookings counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Seat Belt Use

Definition: South Dakotans who report they "always" or "nearly always" use seat belts when driving or riding in a car.

## Prevalence of Seat Belt Use

- South Dakota 88\%
- Nationwide median $94 \%$

Figure 75
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Always or Nearly Always Wear a Seat Belt, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender
Age Seat belt use generally increases as age increases with a significant increase as the 60s are reached.

Race/Ethnicity The prevalence of seat belt use does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity.

Household Seat belt use increases as household income increases. This includes a Income significant increase as the $\$ 75,000+$ income group is reached.

Education Seat belt use increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as the high school and college graduate levels are reached.

Employment Those who are a homemaker, a student, or retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of seat belt use, while those who are self-employed, unemployed, or unable to work show a very low prevalence.

Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of seat belt use, while those who are divorced or have never been married show a very low prevalence.

Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of seat belt use than those who rent their home.
Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status Those who primarily use a landline phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of seat belt use than those who primarily use a cell phone.

Pregnancy The prevalence of seat belt use does not seem to differ based on pregnancy Status

County Minnehaha, Pennington, Lincoln, Brookings, and Lawrence counties all exhibit a very high prevalence of seat belt use, while Brown, Codington, and Meade counties all show a very low prevalence.

Definition: South Dakotans who report they have ever had an HIV test.

## Prevalence of HIV Test

- South Dakota 29\%
- Nationwide median $37 \%$

Figure 76
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Tested for HIV, 2011-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2011-2020

| Table 56South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Tested for HIV, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 28\% | 26.1\% | 29.1\% |
|  | Female | 28\% | 27.0\% | 29.9\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 29\% | 26.7\% | 32.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 44\% | 40.6\% | 46.7\% |
|  | 40-49 | 41\% | 38.1\% | 43.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 28\% | 25.5\% | 29.9\% |
|  | 60-69 | 17\% | 15.3\% | 18.6\% |
|  | 70-79 | 11\% | 9.3\% | 12.6\% |
|  | 80+ | 5\% | 3.3\% | 6.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 25\% | 23.6\% | 25.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 52\% | 47.5\% | 56.1\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 60\% | 48.8\% | 69.5\% |
|  | Hispanic | 46\% | 37.8\% | 54.7\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 34\% | 31.7\% | 36.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 27\% | 25.4\% | 29.1\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 28\% | 26.3\% | 30.2\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 26\% | 21.8\% | 30.5\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 26\% | 24.3\% | 28.3\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 29\% | 27.5\% | 31.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 29\% | 27.4\% | 30.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 33\% | 31.2\% | 34.3\% |
|  | Self-employed | 25\% | 22.4\% | 28.6\% |
|  | Unemployed | 43\% | 37.0\% | 49.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 32\% | 25.7\% | 39.2\% |
|  | Student | 19\% | 15.1\% | 24.4\% |
|  | Retired | 11\% | 10.2\% | 12.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 45\% | 40.7\% | 50.3\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 26\% | 24.5\% | 27.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 41\% | 37.7\% | 43.9\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 8.5\% | 14.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 32\% | 29.4\% | 34.5\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 24\% | 23.4\% | 25.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 40\% | 37.3\% | 42.4\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 42\% | 39.1\% | 44.2\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 31\% | 28.6\% | 34.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 18\% | 16.8\% | 19.5\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 32\% | 30.4\% | 33.0\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 64\% | 50.0\% | 76.1\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 40\% | 37.3\% | 42.8\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 30\% | 27.4\% | 32.8\% |
|  | Pennington | 34\% | 31.9\% | 37.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 32\% | 25.1\% | 38.7\% |
|  | Brown | 24\% | 21.1\% | 27.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 22\% | 18.7\% | 25.8\% |
|  | Codington | 23\% | 19.8\% | 26.6\% |
|  | Meade | 33\% | 28.0\% | 39.2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 22\% | 17.7\% | 28.0\% |

[^11]
## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of HIV testing does not seem to differ based on gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | HIV testing peaks with those in their 30 s and then decreases as age increases with significant decreases as the $50 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}, 70 \mathrm{~s}$, and 80 s are reached. |
| Race/ Ethnicity | Whites exhibit a significantly lower prevalence of HIV testing than all other races/ethnicities. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of HIV testing does not seem to change as household income changes. |
| Education | The prevalence of HIV testing does not seem to consistently change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while those who are retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who own their home. |
| Children Status | Those who have children in the household demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who do not have children. |
| Phone Status | Those who primarily use a cell phone demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who primarily use a landline. |
| Pregnancy Status | Those who are pregnant exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of HIV testing than those who are not pregnant. |
| County | Minnehaha, Pennington, and Meade counties exhibit a very high prevalence of HIV testing, while Brown, Brookings, Codington, and Lawrence counties all show a very low prevalence. |

Definition: South Dakotans who said that they got less than six hours of sleep in an average 24-hour period.

## Prevalence of Inadequate Sleep

- South Dakota 8\%
- There is no nationwide median for sleep

Figure 77
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Get Less Than Six Hours of Sleep in an Average 24-Hour Period, 2013-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2013-2020

| Table 57 <br> South Dakotans Who Get Less Than Six Hours of Sleep in a 24-Hour Period, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 9\% | 7.3\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Female | 8\% | 6.9\% | 9.0\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 10\% | 7.5\% | 12.2\% |
|  | 30-39 | 10\% | 7.9\% | 13.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.3\% |
|  | 50-59 | 9\% | 7.5\% | 11.0\% |
|  | 60-69 | 7\% | 5.6\% | 8.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 5\% | 4.1\% | 7.0\% |
|  | 80+ | 5\% | 3.2\% | 6.7\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.2\% | 9.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 9\% | 6.7\% | 11.1\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 4.2\% | 15.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 13\% | 6.5\% | 22.9\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 12\% | 10.6\% | 14.5\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 6.0\% | 9.6\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.7\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 14\% | 9.7\% | 19.0\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.5\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 8\% | 7.0\% | 9.7\% |
|  | College Graduate | 5\% | 4.3\% | 6.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 8\% | 7.2\% | 9.8\% |
|  | Self-employed | 7\% | 5.1\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Unemployed | 15\% | 9.3\% | 22.6\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.2\% | 12.3\% |
|  | Student | 5\% | 3.1\% | 8.7\% |
|  | Retired | 5\% | 3.7\% | 5.5\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 24\% | 19.1\% | 29.0\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 6\% | 5.6\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 13\% | 10.4\% | 15.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 7.2\% | 13.1\% |
|  | Never Married | 10\% | 7.6\% | 12.5\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 7\% | 6.0\% | 7.8\% |
|  | Rent Home | 12\% | 9.7\% | 13.8\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.4\% | 11.0\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 10\% | 7.5\% | 13.1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 6\% | 5.2\% | 7.3\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 9\% | 8.0\% | 10.2\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 9\% | 7.0\% | 11.2\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 7\% | 5.6\% | 9.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 8\% | 6.1\% | 9.9\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.9\% | 4.3\% |
|  | Brown | 10\% | 7.4\% | 12.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 4.3\% | 10.1\% |
|  | Codington | 9\% | 6.8\% | 12.9\% |
|  | Meade | 9\% | 6.3\% | 13.6\% |
|  | Lawrence | 10\% | 6.9\% | 13.9\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on sample sizes less than 100 have been suppressed
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

Gender Lack of sleep does not seem to differ based on gender.
Age Lack of sleep generally decreases as age increases.
Race/Ethnicity Lack of sleep does not seem to differ based on race/ethnicity.

## Household Income

## Education

## Employment

## Marital

Status

Home
Ownership
Children
Status
Phone Status

County

The prevalence of lack of sleep decreases as household income increases. This includes significant decreases when the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ household income levels are reached.

The prevalence of lack of sleep decreases as education increases. This includes a significant decrease as the college graduate level is reached.

Those who are unemployed or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of lack of sleep, while those who are self-employed, a homemaker, a student, or retired show a very low prevalence.

Those who are divorced or have never been married exhibit a very high prevalence of lack of sleep, while those who are married show a very low prevalence.

Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of lack of sleep than those who own their home.

The prevalence of lack of sleep among adults does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household.

Those who primarily use a cell phone show a significantly higher prevalence of lack of sleep than those who primarily use a landline phone.

Minnehaha, Pennington, Brown, Codington, Meade, and Lawrence counties demonstrate a very high prevalence for lack of sleep, while Lincoln county shows a very low prevalence.

## Sunblock Use

Definition: South Dakotans who answered "always" or "nearly always" to the question: "When you are outside for more than one hour on a sunny day, how often do you wear sunblock or sunscreen with an SPF of 15 or higher?"

## Prevalence of Sunblock Use

- South Dakota 25\%
- There is no nationwide median for sunblock use

Figure 78
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Use Sunblock Most of the Time, 2014-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2014-2020

| Table 58 <br> South Dakotans Who Use Sunblock Most of the Time, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 15\% | 13.6\% | 16.4\% |
|  | Female | 36\% | 33.7\% | 37.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 18\% | 15.5\% | 21.8\% |
|  | 30-39 | 26\% | 22.4\% | 29.3\% |
|  | 40-49 | 29\% | 25.9\% | 32.8\% |
|  | 50-59 | 26\% | 23.4\% | 28.8\% |
|  | 60-69 | 27\% | 24.4\% | 29.3\% |
|  | 70-79 | 28\% | 24.8\% | 30.7\% |
|  | 80+ | 24\% | 19.8\% | 28.0\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 27\% | 25.3\% | 28.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 15\% | 11.6\% | 18.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 11\% | 5.9\% | 20.3\% |
|  | Hispanic | 22\% | 14.2\% | 33.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 18\% | 16.0\% | 20.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 25\% | 23.0\% | 27.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 32\% | 30.0\% | 34.9\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 6.1\% | 12.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 20\% | 17.6\% | 21.9\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 26\% | 24.1\% | 28.4\% |
|  | College Graduate | 36\% | 33.7\% | 38.3\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 26\% | 24.0\% | 27.6\% |
|  | Self-employed | 22\% | 19.2\% | 25.8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 16\% | 10.4\% | 22.7\% |
|  | Homemaker | 32\% | 25.1\% | 40.6\% |
|  | Student | 21\% | 14.8\% | 29.5\% |
|  | Retired | 27\% | 25.1\% | 29.4\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 22\% | 17.3\% | 28.1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 29\% | 27.8\% | 31.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 20\% | 17.2\% | 23.1\% |
|  | Widowed | 25\% | 21.9\% | 29.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 16\% | 13.5\% | 18.8\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 28\% | 26.6\% | 29.5\% |
|  | Rent Home | 18\% | 15.3\% | 20.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 27\% | 23.8\% | 29.6\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 20\% | 16.6\% | 23.0\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 26\% | 24.6\% | 28.2\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 25\% | 23.0\% | 26.1\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 35\% | 31.6\% | 38.7\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 26\% | 23.4\% | 29.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 29\% | 26.3\% | 32.4\% |
|  | Lincoln | 40\% | 31.1\% | 49.8\% |
|  | Brown | 21\% | 18.2\% | 24.3\% |
|  | Brookings | 25\% | 20.9\% | 30.4\% |
|  | Codington | 20\% | 16.4\% | 23.6\% |
|  | Meade | 30\% | 23.8\% | 36.3\% |
|  | Lawrence | 26\% | 21.1\% | 31.5\% |

Note: *Results based on sample sizes less than 100 have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of sun block use than males. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of sun block use does not seem to consistently change as age changes. |
| Race/Ethnicity | Whites demonstrate a very high prevalence of sun block use, while American Indians and American Indian/whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of sun block use increases as household income increases. This includes significant increases as the \$35,000-\$74,999 and \$75,000+ income groups are reached. |
| Education | The prevalence of sun block use increases as education levels increase. This includes significant increases as each new education level is reached. |
| Employment | Those who are employed for wages, a homemaker, or retired demonstrate a very high prevalence of sun block use, while those who are unemployed show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of sun block use, while those who are divorced or have never been married show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | Those who own their home show a significantly higher prevalence of sun block use than those who rent their home. |
| Children Status | Adults with children in the household exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of sun block use than those adults with no children in their household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of sun block use does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| County | Pennington, Lincoln, and Meade counties demonstrate a very high prevalence of sun block use, while Minnehaha, Brown, Brookings, and Codington counties show a very low prevalence. |

## Adverse Childhood Experiences

## ONE OR MORE ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES

Definition: South Dakotans that report they have had one or more adverse childhood experiences such as: lived with anyone who was depressed, mentally ill, or suicidal, lived with anyone who was a problem drinker or an alcoholic.

## Prevalence of One or More Adverse Childhood Experiences

- South Dakota 47\%
- There was no nationwide median for having adverse childhood experiences

Figure 79


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020

## Demographics

Gender The prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience does not seem to differ by gender.

| Age | The prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience <br> generally decreases as adult age increases. This includes a significant <br> decrease as the 70 s are reached. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Race/Ethnicity | American Indians and American Indian/Whites demonstrate a very high <br> prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience, while <br> whites and Hispanics show a very low prevalence. |
| Household | The prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience <br> decreases as adult household income increases. This includes a significant <br> decrease as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ income group is reached. |
| Income | The prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience <br> decreases as their adult education levels increase. This includes significant <br> decreases as the high school graduate and college graduate levels are <br> reached. |
| Education | Those who are unemployed, a homemaker, or unable to work demonstrate a <br> very high prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood <br> experience, while those who are self-employed, a student, or retired show a <br> very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who have never been married or are divorced exhibit a very high <br> prevalence of having faced at least one adverse childhood experience, while <br> those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home demonstrate a significantly higher prevalence of |
| having faced at least one adverse childhood experience than those who own |  |
| their home. |  |

## FIVE OR MORE ADVERSE CHILDHOOD EXPERIENCES

Definition: South Dakotans that report they have had five or more adverse childhood experiences such as: lived with anyone who was depressed, mentally ill, or suicidal, lived with anyone who was a problem drinker or an alcoholic.

## Prevalence of Five or More Adverse Childhood Experiences

- South Dakota 8\%
- There was no nationwide median for having adverse childhood experiences

Figure 80
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Had Five or More Adverse Childhood Experiences, 2017-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020


Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of having faced at least five <br> adverse childhood experiences than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of having faced at least five adverse childhood experiences <br> decreases as adult age increases. This includes a significant decrease as the <br> 70 s are reached. |
| Race/Ethnicity |  | | American Indians, American Indian/whites, and Hispanics demonstrate a |
| :--- |
| significantly higher prevalence of having faced at least five adverse childhood |
| experiences than whites. |

## Prescription Pain Medication

Definition: South Dakotans who have taken prescription pain medication in the past twelve months.

## Prevalence of Prescription Pain Medication

- South Dakota 15\%
- There is no nationwide median for prescription pain medication

Figure 81
Percentage of South Dakotans Who Have Taken Prescription
Pain Medication in the Last 12 Months, 2017-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020

| Table 61 <br> South Dakotans Who Have Taken Prescription Pain Medication in the Last 12 Months, 2017- $2020$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2017-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 13\% | 12.2\% | 14.8\% |
|  | Female | 17\% | 15.3\% | 17.8\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 12\% | 10.1\% | 14.9\% |
|  | 30-39 | 14\% | 11.1\% | 16.5\% |
|  | 40-49 | 13\% | 11.0\% | 15.4\% |
|  | 50-59 | 18\% | 16.1\% | 20.4\% |
|  | 60-69 | 18\% | 16.4\% | 20.2\% |
|  | 70-79 | 15\% | 13.6\% | 17.5\% |
|  | 80+ | 13\% | 10.5\% | 16.2\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 15\% | 14.0\% | 15.9\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 17\% | 13.0\% | 22.1\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 22\% | 13.2\% | 33.6\% |
|  | Hispanic | 16\% | 10.4\% | 23.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 18\% | 16.5\% | 20.6\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 14\% | 12.3\% | 15.3\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 14\% | 12.2\% | 15.6\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 16\% | 12.1\% | 19.7\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 14\% | 12.7\% | 16.1\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 16\% | 14.1\% | 17.1\% |
|  | College Graduate | 15\% | 13.6\% | 16.6\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 13\% | 12.2\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Self-employed | 12\% | 9.7\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Unemployed | 16\% | 12.3\% | 21.5\% |
|  | Homemaker | 17\% | 10.8\% | 26.9\% |
|  | Student | 13\% | 8.7\% | 19.3\% |
|  | Retired | 16\% | 14.6\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 38\% | 33.2\% | 43.8\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 15\% | 13.9\% | 16.3\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 19\% | 16.2\% | 21.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 16\% | 13.6\% | 18.7\% |
|  | Never Married | 13\% | 10.8\% | 15.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 15\% | 13.7\% | 15.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 16\% | 13.8\% | 18.3\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 14\% | 11.9\% | 16.5\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 11\% | 9.2\% | 13.2\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 14\% | 12.7\% | 15.1\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 16\% | 14.4\% | 16.7\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 6\% | 2.6\% | 14.2\% |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 15\% | 12.5\% | 17.1\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 15\% | 12.7\% | 17.1\% |
|  | Pennington | 18\% | 15.5\% | 20.2\% |
|  | Lincoln | 16\% | 11.9\% | 22.1\% |
|  | Brown | 15\% | 12.4\% | 17.2\% |
|  | Brookings | 12\% | 9.6\% | 14.7\% |
|  | Codington | 13\% | 10.5\% | 15.2\% |
|  | Meade | 18\% | 13.9\% | 22.1\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2017-2020

## Demographics

| Gender | Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of taking prescription pain <br> medication than males. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Age | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not consistently <br> change as age changes. |
| Race/ | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on race/ethnicity. |
| Ethnicity | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to <br> change as household income changes. |
| Income | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to <br> change as education levels change. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of taking <br> prescription pain medication, while those who are employed for wages, self- <br> employed, a homemaker, or a student show a very low prevalence. |
| Employment |  |
| Marital | Those who are divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of taking prescription <br> pain medication, while those who have never been married show a very low <br> prevalence. |
| Home | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on home ownership. |
| Ownership | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ |
| Children | based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Status | The prevalence of taking prescription pain medication does not seem to differ <br> based on phone status. |
| Phone Status | Residents of Pennington county demonstrate a very high prevalence of taking <br> prescription pain medication, while residents of Brookings and Codington <br> counties show very low prevalence. |

## Falls

Definition: South Dakotans ages 45 and older who answered 'yes" to the question: "In the past 12 months were you injured in a fall; by injured we mean the fall caused you to limit your regular activities for at least a day or to go see a doctor?"

## Prevalence of Injuries Due to a Fall

- South Dakota 9\%
- There is no nationwide median for injuries due to a fall

Figure 82
Percentage of South Dakotans, Ages 45 or Older, Who Were
Injured in a Fall in the Past 12 Months, 2012-2020


Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2012-2020

| Table 62 <br> South Dakotans, Ages 45 and Older, Who Were Injured in a Fall in the Past 12 Months, 2016-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2016-2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 7\% | 6.0\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Female | 10\% | 8.9\% | 11.4\% |
| Age | 18-29 | - | - | - |
|  | 30-39 | - | - | - |
|  | 40-49 | 8\% | 5.6\% | 10.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 10\% | 7.8\% | 11.6\% |
|  | 60-69 | 8\% | 7.1\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 70-79 | 8\% | 6.3\% | 9.6\% |
|  | 80+ | 9\% | 7.2\% | 12.4\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 8\% | 7.3\% | 9.0\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 17\% | 12.0\% | 23.2\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 25\% | 9.5\% | 52.7\% |
|  | Hispanic | 7\% | 2.9\% | 16.4\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 14\% | 11.7\% | 16.2\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 8\% | 6.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 5\% | 3.6\% | 6.0\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 11\% | 7.1\% | 16.1\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 9\% | 7.2\% | 10.2\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 9\% | 7.6\% | 10.6\% |
|  | College Graduate | 8\% | 6.5\% | 9.1\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 7\% | 5.7\% | 8.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 6\% | 4.3\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Unemployed | 11\% | 6.3\% | 18.9\% |
|  | Homemaker | 7\% | 4.2\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Student | * | * | * |
|  | Retired | 8\% | 7.1\% | 9.7\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 26\% | 20.9\% | 32.6\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 7\% | 6.4\% | 8.4\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 13\% | 10.4\% | 16.3\% |
|  | Widowed | 11\% | 8.6\% | 13.4\% |
|  | Never Married | 8\% | 5.2\% | 12.5\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 8\% | 6.8\% | 8.6\% |
|  | Rent Home | 14\% | 11.3\% | 17.9\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| Phone Status | Landline | 9\% | 7.5\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 9\% | 7.5\% | 9.9\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | - | - | - |
| County | Minnehaha | 8\% | 5.7\% | 10.2\% |
|  | Pennington | 10\% | 7.7\% | 12.1\% |
|  | Lincoln | 3\% | 1.9\% | 6.0\% |
|  | Brown | 8\% | 5.9\% | 10.4\% |
|  | Brookings | 7\% | 5.2\% | 9.4\% |
|  | Codington | 9\% | 6.7\% | 11.3\% |
|  | Meade | 7\% | 4.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | Lawrence | 11\% | 7.6\% | 14.8\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2016-2020

## Demographics

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { Gender } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Females exhibit a significantly higher prevalence of being injured in a fall than } \\
\text { males. }\end{array} \\
\text { Age } & \begin{array}{l}\text { The prevalence of being injured in a fall does not seem to consistently change } \\
\text { as age changes. }\end{array} \\
\text { Race/Ethnicity }\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}American Indians and American Indian/whites demonstrate a very high <br>

prevalence of being injured in a fall, while whites show a very low prevalence.\end{array}\right\}\)| Household | The prevalence of being injured in a fall decreases as household income <br> increases. This includes significant decreases as the $\$ 35,000-\$ 74,999$ and <br> \$75,000+ income groups are reached. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Income | The prevalence of being injured in a fall decreases as education levels <br> increase. |
| Education | Those who are unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of being <br> injured in a fall, while those who are employed for wages, self-employed, <br> unemployed, a homemaker, or retired show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital | Those who are divorced or widowed exhibit a very high prevalence of being <br> injured in a fall, while those who are married show a very low prevalence. |
| Status | Those who rent their home show a significantly higher prevalence of being <br> injured in a fall than those who own their home. |
| Home | The prevalence of being injured in a fall does not seem to change based on <br> phone status. |
| Phone Status | Pennington, Codington, and Lawrence counties all demonstrate a very high <br> prevalence of being injured in a fall, while Lincoln county shows a very low <br> prevalence. |

## Hepatitis C

## Definition: South Dakotans who have ever been tested for Hepatitis C.

## Prevalence of Hepatitis C Testing

- South Dakota 19\%
- There is no nationwide median for Hepatitis C testing

| Table 63South Dakotans Who Have Ever Been Tested for Hepatitis C, 2020 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2020 | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
|  |  |  | Low | High |
| Gender | Male | 22\% | 18.1\% | 25.5\% |
|  | Female | 16\% | 13.6\% | 18.5\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 14\% | 9.0\% | 20.3\% |
|  | 30-39 | 23\% | 15.7\% | 32.0\% |
|  | 40-49 | 16\% | 11.9\% | 20.9\% |
|  | 50-59 | 20\% | 15.3\% | 25.3\% |
|  | 60-69 | 23\% | 19.0\% | 27.5\% |
|  | 70-79 | 21\% | 16.6\% | 25.1\% |
|  | 80+ | 8\% | 4.3\% | 15.9\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 16\% | 14.5\% | 18.6\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 34\% | 22.1\% | 48.7\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | * | * | * |
|  | Hispanic | 28\% | 14.1\% | 48.1\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$35,000 | 24\% | 18.6\% | 30.3\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$74,999 | 18\% | 14.3\% | 21.5\% |
|  | \$75,000+ | 17\% | 14.1\% | 21.1\% |
| Education | Less than High School, G.E.D. | 19\% | 11.3\% | 30.2\% |
|  | High School, G.E.D. | 18\% | 13.7\% | 23.4\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 20\% | 16.5\% | 23.0\% |
|  | College Graduate | 18\% | 14.9\% | 21.8\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 17\% | 14.6\% | 20.5\% |
|  | Self-employed | 13\% | 9.1\% | 17.7\% |
|  | Unemployed | 27\% | 14.0\% | 45.0\% |
|  | Homemaker | 41\% | 18.9\% | 67.2\% |
|  | Student | 19\% | 9.4\% | 35.1\% |
|  | Retired | 19\% | 16.3\% | 22.6\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 28\% | 18.9\% | 39.2\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 20\% | 17.0\% | 23.1\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 25\% | 18.3\% | 32.5\% |
|  | Widowed | 10\% | 7.0\% | 14.3\% |
|  | Never Married | 15\% | 11.6\% | 20.0\% |
| Home Ownership Status | Own Home | 17\% | 15.4\% | 19.7\% |
|  | Rent Home | 25\% | 18.9\% | 32.5\% |
| Children Status | Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 22\% | 16.0\% | 28.8\% |
|  | No Children in Household (Ages 18-44) | 12\% | 8.5\% | 17.3\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 17\% | 14.7\% | 20.0\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 19\% | 16.5\% | 22.6\% |
| Pregnancy Status | Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | * | * | * |
|  | Not Pregnant (Ages 18-44) | 13\% | 9.7\% | 18.0\% |
| County | Minnehaha | 21\% | 15.9\% | 26.7\% |
|  | Pennington | 21\% | 16.5\% | 26.9\% |
|  | Brown | 16\% | 12.1\% | 19.8\% |
|  | Brookings | 13\% | 9.7\% | 17.0\% |
|  | Codington | 17\% | 13.0\% | 22.8\% |
|  | Meade | 22\% | 15.0\% | 30.2\% |

Note: $\quad$ *Results based on small sample sizes have been suppressed.
Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

## Demographics

| Gender | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to differ by gender. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to change as age changes. |
| Race/Ethnicity | American Indians exhibit a very high prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis C, while whites show a very low prevalence. |
| Household Income | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ decreases as household income increases. |
| Education | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to change as education levels change. |
| Employment | Those who are a homemaker or unable to work demonstrate a very high prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis C, while those who are selfemployed show a very low prevalence. |
| Marital Status | Those who are married or divorced exhibit a very high prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis C, while those who are widowed show a very low prevalence. |
| Home Ownership | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to differ based on home ownership status. |
| Children Status | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to differ based on the presence of children in the household. |
| Phone Status | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to differ based on phone status. |
| County | The prevalence of ever being tested for Hepatitis $C$ does not seem to differ among the six available counties. |

Appendix A: Demographics

| Demographics of Survey Respondents, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
|  |  | \# Resp. | Col \% | \# Resp. | Col \% | \# Resp. | Col \% |
| Total |  | 6,931 | 100\% | 3,076 | 100\% | 3,855 | 100\% |
| Age | 18-29 | 626 | 9\% | 337 | 11\% | 289 | 7\% |
|  | 30-39 | 749 | 11\% | 391 | 13\% | 358 | 9\% |
|  | 40-49 | 868 | 13\% | 418 | 14\% | 450 | 12\% |
|  | 50-59 | 1,190 | 17\% | 533 | 17\% | 657 | 17\% |
|  | 60-69 | 1,591 | 23\% | 690 | 22\% | 901 | 23\% |
|  | 70-79 | 1,252 | 18\% | 515 | 17\% | 737 | 19\% |
|  | 80+ | 655 | 9\% | 192 | 6\% | 463 | 12\% |
| Race/Ethnicity | White, Non-Hispanic | 5,609 | 81\% | 2,524 | 82\% | 3,085 | 80\% |
|  | American Indian, Non-Hispanic | 929 | 13\% | 361 | 12\% | 568 | 15\% |
|  | American Indian/White, Non-Hispanic | 95 | 1\% | 39 | 1\% | 56 | 1\% |
|  | Hispanic | 150 | 2\% | 63 | 2\% | 87 | 2\% |
|  | Other | 148 | 2\% | 89 | 3\% | 59 | 2\% |
| Household Income | Less than \$10,000 | 184 | 3\% | 66 | 2\% | 118 | 3\% |
|  | \$10,000-\$14,999 | 201 | 3\% | 72 | 2\% | 129 | 3\% |
|  | \$15,000-\$19,999 | 340 | 5\% | 137 | 5\% | 203 | 5\% |
|  | \$20,000-\$24,999 | 492 | 7\% | 189 | 6\% | 303 | 8\% |
|  | \$25,000-\$34,999 | 642 | 9\% | 260 | 9\% | 382 | 10\% |
|  | \$35,000-\$49,999 | 930 | 14\% | 385 | 13\% | 545 | 14\% |
|  | \$50,000-\$74,999 | 1,002 | 15\% | 464 | 15\% | 538 | 14\% |
|  | \$75,000 + | 1,720 | 25\% | 937 | 31\% | 783 | 20\% |
|  | Not Stated | 1,353 | 20\% | 527 | 17\% | 826 | 22\% |
| Education | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade or Less | 83 | 1\% | 47 | 2\% | 36 | 1\% |
|  | Some High School | 245 | 4\% | 98 | 3\% | 147 | 4\% |
|  | High School or G.E.D. | 2,009 | 29\% | 958 | 31\% | 1,051 | 27\% |
|  | Some Post-High School | 2,138 | 31\% | 914 | 30\% | 1,224 | 32\% |
|  | College Graduate | 2,427 | 35\% | 1,040 | 34\% | 1,387 | 36\% |
|  | Not Stated | 29 | 0\% | 19 | 1\% | 10 | 0\% |
| Employment Status | Employed for Wages | 2,909 | 42\% | 1,359 | 45\% | 1,550 | 40\% |
|  | Self-employed | 793 | 12\% | 499 | 16\% | 294 | 8\% |
|  | Unemployed | 223 | 3\% | 91 | 3\% | 132 | 3\% |
|  | Homemaker | 207 | 3\% | 7 | 0\% | 200 | 5\% |
|  | Student | 142 | 2\% | 66 | 2\% | 76 | 2\% |
|  | Retired | 2,194 | 32\% | 854 | 28\% | 1,340 | 35\% |
|  | Unable to Work | 336 | 5\% | 133 | 4\% | 203 | 5\% |
|  | Not Stated | 85 | 1\% | 41 | 1\% | 44 | 1\% |
| Marital Status | Married/Unmarried Couple | 3,904 | 56\% | 1,840 | 60\% | 2,064 | 54\% |
|  | Divorced/Separated | 940 | 14\% | 418 | 14\% | 522 | 14\% |
|  | Widowed | 945 | 14\% | 204 | 7\% | 741 | 19\% |
|  | Never Married | 1,085 | 16\% | 590 | 19\% | 495 | 13\% |
|  | Not Stated | 57 | 1\% | 24 | 1\% | 33 | 1\% |
| Phone Status | Landline | 2,948 | 43\% | 1,060 | 34\% | 1,888 | 49\% |
|  | Cell Phone | 3,983 | 57\% | 2,016 | 66\% | 1,967 | 51\% |
| Home Ownership | Own Home | 5,256 | 79\% | 2,305 | 79\% | 2,951 | 79\% |
|  | Rent Home | 1,377 | 21\% | 615 | 21\% | 762 | 21\% |
| Children in Household | Yes | 1,871 | 27\% | 804 | 26\% | 1,067 | 28\% |
|  | No | 4,961 | 72\% | 2,218 | 73\% | 2,743 | 71\% |
|  | Not Stated | 52 | 1\% | 24 | 1\% | 28 | 1\% |
| Pregnant (18-44) | Yes | 32 | 3\% | 0 | 0\% | 32 | 3\% |
|  | No | 1,115 | 96\% | 0 | 0\% | 1,115 | 96\% |
|  | Not Stated | 10 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 10 | 1\% |

Source: The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, South Dakota Department of Health, 2020

| Table 65 <br> Surveys Completed by Resident County, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident County | Surveys Completed | \% of Total Surveys | Total Adult Population | \% of Total Population | \# Surveyed per 1,000 Population |
| Total | 6,931 | 100.0\% | 674,238 | 100.0\% | 10.3 |
| Aurora | 24 | 0.3\% | 2,053 | 0.3\% | 11.7 |
| Beadle | 33 | 0.5\% | 13,413 | 2.0\% | 2.5 |
| Bennett | 396 | 5.7\% | 2,264 | 0.3\% | 174.9 |
| Bon Homme | 16 | 0.2\% | 5,507 | 0.8\% | 2.9 |
| Brookings | 713 | 10.3\% | 28,091 | 4.2\% | 25.4 |
| Brown | 582 | 8.4\% | 29,537 | 4.4\% | 19.7 |
| Brule | 23 | 0.3\% | 3,854 | 0.6\% | 6.0 |
| Buffalo | 29 | 0.4\% | 1,213 | 0.2\% | 23.9 |
| Butte | 48 | 0.7\% | 7,908 | 1.2\% | 6.1 |
| Campbell | 9 | 0.1\% | 1,139 | 0.2\% | 7.9 |
| Charles Mix | 26 | 0.4\% | 6,474 | 1.0\% | 4.0 |
| Clark | 26 | 0.4\% | 2,733 | 0.4\% | 9.5 |
| Clay | 27 | 0.4\% | 11,736 | 1.7\% | 2.3 |
| Codington | 568 | 8.2\% | 21,571 | 3.2\% | 26.3 |
| Corson | 113 | 1.6\% | 2,561 | 0.4\% | 44.1 |
| Custer | 37 | 0.5\% | 7,709 | 1.1\% | 4.8 |
| Davison | 28 | 0.4\% | 15,194 | 2.3\% | 1.8 |
| Day | 41 | 0.6\% | 4,164 | 0.6\% | 9.8 |
| Deuel | 63 | 0.9\% | 3,303 | 0.5\% | 19.1 |
| Dewey | 173 | 2.5\% | 3,597 | 0.5\% | 48.1 |
| Douglas | 8 | 0.1\% | 2,145 | 0.3\% | 3.7 |
| Edmunds | 46 | 0.7\% | 2,954 | 0.4\% | 15.6 |
| Fall River | 40 | 0.6\% | 5,582 | 0.8\% | 7.2 |
| Faulk | 26 | 0.4\% | 1,718 | 0.3\% | 15.1 |
| Grant | 44 | 0.6\% | 5,464 | 0.8\% | 8.1 |
| Gregory | 17 | 0.2\% | 3,218 | 0.5\% | 5.3 |
| Haakon | 41 | 0.6\% | 1,428 | 0.2\% | 28.7 |
| Hamlin | 70 | 1.0\% | 4,231 | 0.6\% | 16.5 |
| Hand | 9 | 0.1\% | 2,450 | 0.4\% | 3.7 |
| Hanson | 7 | 0.1\% | 2,454 | 0.4\% | 2.9 |
| Harding | 11 | 0.2\% | 1,013 | 0.2\% | 10.9 |
| Hughes | 49 | 0.7\% | 13,110 | 1.9\% | 3.7 |
| Hutchinson | 20 | 0.3\% | 5,402 | 0.8\% | 3.7 |
| Hyde | 5 | 0.1\% | 998 | 0.1\% | 5.0 |
| Jackson | 105 | 1.5\% | 2,163 | 0.3\% | 48.5 |
| Jerauld | 9 | 0.1\% | 1,521 | 0.2\% | 5.9 |
| Jones | 9 | 0.1\% | 721 | 0.1\% | 12.5 |
| Kingsbury | 23 | 0.3\% | 3,816 | 0.6\% | 6.0 |
| Lake | 29 | 0.4\% | 10,018 | 1.5\% | 2.9 |
| Lawrence | 120 | 1.7\% | 21,731 | 3.2\% | 5.5 |
| Lincoln | 498 | 7.2\% | 45,894 | 6.8\% | 10.9 |
| Lyman | 14 | 0.2\% | 2,707 | 0.4\% | 5.2 |
| McCook | 24 | 0.3\% | 3,989 | 0.6\% | 6.0 |
| McPherson | 22 | 0.3\% | 1,788 | 0.3\% | 12.3 |
| Marshall | 26 | 0.4\% | 3,740 | 0.6\% | 7.0 |
| Meade | 554 | 8.0\% | 22,315 | 3.3\% | 24.8 |
| Mellette | 73 | 1.1\% | 1,441 | 0.2\% | 50.7 |
| Miner | 6 | 0.1\% | 1,679 | 0.2\% | 3.6 |
| Minnehaha | 637 | 9.2\% | 147,109 | 21.8\% | 4.3 |
| Moody | 25 | 0.4\% | 4,804 | 0.7\% | 5.2 |
| Oglala Lakota | 121 | 1.7\% | 8,879 | 1.3\% | 13.6 |
| Pennington | 588 | 8.5\% | 89,782 | 13.3\% | 6.5 |
| Perkins | 44 | 0.6\% | 2,224 | 0.3\% | 19.8 |
| Potter | 9 | 0.1\% | 1,685 | 0.2\% | 5.3 |
| Roberts | 42 | 0.6\% | 7,289 | 1.1\% | 5.8 |
| Sanborn | 9 | 0.1\% | 1,750 | 0.3\% | 5.1 |
| Spink | 26 | 0.4\% | 4,868 | 0.7\% | 5.3 |


| Surveys Completed by Resident County, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: South Dakota Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2020
2019 Population Estimates, United States Census Bureau

## Appendix B: BRFSS Questionnaire

## Health Status

1.1 Would you say that in general your health is-

1 Excellent
2 Very good
3 Good
4 Fair
5 Poor
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Healthy Days

2.1 Now thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?
__Number of days
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
2.2 Now thinking about your mental health, which includes stress, depression, and problems with emotions, for how many days during the past 30 days was your mental health not good?
_ _Number of days
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
2.3 During the past 30 days, for about how many days did poor physical or mental health keep you from doing your usual activities, such as self-care, work, or recreation?

Number of days
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Health Care Access

3.1 Do you have any kind of health care coverage, including health insurance, prepaid plans such as HMOs, government plans such as Medicare, or Indian Health Service?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
3.2 Do you have one person you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider? If No, ask: Is there more than one, or is there no person who you think of as your personal doctor or health care provider?

1 Yes, only one
2 More than one
3 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
3.3 Was there a time in the past 12 months when you needed to see a doctor but could not because of cost?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
3.4 About how long has it been since you last visited a doctor for a routine checkup?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
$3 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 2 years but less than 5 years ago)
45 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Never
Refused

## Exercise

4.1 During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercises such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Inadequate Sleep

5.1 On average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24-hour period?

Note: Enter hours of sleep in whole numbers, rounding 30 minutes ( $1 / 2$ hour) or more up to the next whole hour and dropping 29 or fewer minutes.
_ _ Number of hours
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Chronic Health Conditions

Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever told you that you had any of the following? For each, tell me Yes, No, or you're Not sure.
6.1 (Ever told) you that you had a heart attack also called a myocardial infarction?
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Yes } \\ 2 & \text { No }\end{array}$
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.2 (Ever told) (you had) angina or coronary heart disease?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.3 (Ever told) (you had) a stroke?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.4 (Ever told) (you had) asthma?
$1 \quad \mathrm{Yes}$
[Go to Q6.6]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q6.6]
Refused
[Go to Q6.6]
6.5 Do you still have asthma?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.6 (Ever told) (you had) skin cancer?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.7 (Ever told) (you had) any other types of cancer?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.8 (Ever told) (you had) chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or C.O.P.D., emphysema or chronic bronchitis?

| 1 | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No |

Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.9 Has a doctor, nurse or other health professional ever told you that you have some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia?

1 Yes
2 No [Go to next section]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to next section]
Refused
[Go to next section]
Note: Arthritis diagnoses include:

- rheumatism, polymyalgia rheumatica
- osteoarthritis (not osteoporosis)
- tendonitis, bursitis, bunion, tennis elbow
- carpal tunnel syndrome, tarsal tunnel syndrome
- joint infection, Reiter's syndrome
- ankylosing spondylitis; spondylosis
- rotator cuff syndrome
- connective tissue disease, scleroderma, polymyositis, Raynaud's syndrome
- vasculitis (giant cell arteritis, Henoch-Schonlein purpura, Wegener's granulomatosis,
- polyarteritis nodosa)
6.10 (Ever told) (you had) a depressive disorder (including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression)?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.11 Not including kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence, were you ever told you have kidney disease? Note: Incontinence is not being able to control urine flow.
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Yes } \\ 2 & \text { No }\end{array}$
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
6.12 (Ever told) (you had) diabetes?

IF YES AND RESPONDENT IS FEMALE, ASK: WAS THIS ONLY WHEN YOU WERE PREGNANT? IF RESPONDENT SAYS PRE-DIABETES OR BORDERLINE DIABETES, USE RESPONSE CODE 4.

1 Yes
2 Yes, but female told only during pregnancy [Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
3 No [Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
4 No, pre-diabetes or borderline diabetes [Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
Don't know / Not sure [Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
Refused
[Go To Pre-diabetes Module]
6.12 How old were you when you were told you have diabetes?
_ Code age in years
D̄̄n't know / Not sure
Refused

## Diabetes

7.1 Are you now taking insulin?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused
7.2 About how often do you check your blood for glucose or sugar?

Read if necessary: Include times when checked by a family member or friend, but do NOT include times when checked by a health professional.

1 _ _ Times per day
2 _ _ Times per week
3 _ _ Times per month
4 _ _ Times per year
Never
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

Note: If the respondent uses a continuous glucose monitoring system (a sensor inserted under the skin to check glucose levels continuously), fill in ' 98 times per day.'
7.3 Including times when checked by a family member or friend, about how often do you check your feet for any sores or irritations?

1 _ _ Times per day
2 _ _ Times per week
3 _ _ Times per month
4 _ _ Times per year
No feet
Never
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
7.4 About how many times in the past 12 months have you seen a doctor, nurse, or other health professional for your diabetes?
_ _ Number of times
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
7.5 About how many times in the past 12 months has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional checked you for A-one-C? Read if necessary: A test for A one C measures the average level of blood sugar over the past three months.
_ _ Number of times
None
Never heard of "A one C" test
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
7.6 About how many times in the past 12 months has a health professional checked your feet for any sores or irritations?
_ _ Number of times
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
7.7 When was the last time you had an eye exam in which the pupils were dilated, making you temporarily sensitive to bright light?

1 Within the past month (anytime less than 1 month ago)
2 Within the past year (1 month but less than 12 months ago)
$3 \quad$ Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
42 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Never
Refused
7.8 Has a doctor ever told you that diabetes has affected your eyes or that you had retinopathy?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
7.9 Have you ever taken a course or class in how to manage your diabetes yourself?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Oral Health

8.1 Including all types of dentists, such as orthodontists, oral surgeons, and all other dental specialists as well as dental hygienists, how long has it been since you last visited a dentist or a dental clinic for any reason?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 5 years ( 2 years but less than 5 years ago)
45 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Never
Refused
8.2 Not including teeth lost for injury or orthodontics, how many of your permanent teeth have been removed because of tooth decay or gum disease? Read if necessary: If wisdom teeth are removed because of tooth decay or gum disease, they should be included in the count for lost teeth.
$1 \quad 1$ to 5
26 or more but not all
3 All
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Demographics

9.1 What is your age?
__Code age in years
D̄̄n't know / Not sure
Refused
9.2 Are you Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin? If yes, ask: Are you...

1 Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano/a
2 Puerto Rican
3 Cuban
4 Another Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin
No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.3 Which one or more of the following would you say is your race?

10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
$47 \quad$ Other Asian
$50 \quad$ Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
Other
No additional choices
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.4 Which one of these groups would you say best represents your race? Note: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategory underneath major heading.

10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
47 Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
Other
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.5 Are you...?

1 Married
2 Divorced
3 Widowed
4 Separated
5 Never married
6 A member of an unmarried couple
Refused
9.6 What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?

1 Never attended school or only attended kindergarten
2 Grades 1 through 8 (Elementary)
$3 \quad$ Grades 9 through 11 (Some high school)
4 Grade 12 or GED (High school graduate)
$5 \quad$ College 1 year to 3 years (Some college or technical school)
$6 \quad$ College 4 years or more (College graduate)
Refused
9.7 Do you own or rent your home?

1 Own
2 Rent
3 Other arrangement
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.8 In what county do you currently live?
_ _ _ ANSI County Code (formerly FIPS county code)
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.9 What is the ZIP Code where you currently live?
_ _ _ _ ZIP Code
Dō't knōw / Not sure
Refused
9.10 Not including cell phones or numbers used for computers, fax machines or security systems, do you have more than one telephone number in your household?

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q9.12]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q9.12]
Refused
[Go to Q9.12]
9.11 How many of these telephone numbers are residential numbers?
_ Residential telephone numbers
$\overline{6} \quad$ Six or more
Don't know / Not sure
None
Refused
9.12 How many cell phones do you have for personal use?

- Enter number

6 Six or more
Don't know / Not sure
None
Refused
9.13 Have you ever served on active duty in the United States Armed Forces, either in the regular military or in a National Guard or military reserve unit?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.14 Are you currently...?

1 Employed for wages
2 Self-employed
3 Out of work for 1 year or more
4 Out of work for less than 1 year
5 A Homemaker
6 A Student
7 Retired
8 Unable to work
Refused
9.15 How many children less than 18 years of age live in your household?

Number of children
None
Refused
9.16 Is your annual household income from all sources-

If respondent refuses at ANY income level, code Refused
04 Less than $\$ 25,000$ If no, ask 05; if yes, ask 03 ( $\$ 20,000$ to less than $\$ 25,000$ )
03 Less than \$20,000 If no, code 04; if yes, ask 02 ( $\$ 15,000$ to less than $\$ 20,000$ )
02 Less than \$15,000 If no, code 03; if yes, ask 01 ( $\$ 10,000$ to less than $\$ 15,000$ )
01 Less than \$10,000 If no, code 02
05 Less than \$35,000 If no, ask 06 ( $\$ 25,000$ to less than $\$ 35,000$ )
06 Less than \$50,000 If no, ask 07 ( $\$ 35,000$ to less than $\$ 50,000$ )
07 Less than $\$ 75,000$ If no, code 08 ( $\$ 50,000$ to less than $\$ 75,000$ )
08 \$75,000 or more
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.17 To your knowledge, are you now pregnant?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.18 About how much do you weigh without shoes?

-     -         - 

Weight (pounds/kilograms)
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
9.19 About how tall are you without shoes?
_- $^{\prime}$ _ _ Height ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{inches/meters/centimeters)}$
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Disability

10.1 Some people who are deaf or have serious difficulty hearing use assistive devices to communicate by phone. Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.2 Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.3 Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.4 Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.5 Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
10.6 Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Tobacco Use

11.1 Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life? Note: 5 packs = 100 cigarettes 1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q11.5]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q11.5]
Refused
[Go to Q11.5]
11.2 Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?

1 Every day
2 Some days
3 Not at all [Go to Q11.4]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q11.5]
Refused
[Go to Q11.5]
11.3 During the past 12 months, have you stopped smoking for one day or longer because you were trying to quit smoking?
1 Yes [Go to Q11.5]

2 No [Go to Q11.5]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q11.5]
Refused
[Go to Q11.5]
11.4 How long has it been since you last smoked a cigarette, even one or two puffs?

01 Within the past month (less than 1 month ago)
02 Within the past 3 months ( 1 month but less than 3 months ago)
03 Within the past 6 months ( 3 months but less than 6 months ago)
04 Within the past year ( 6 months but less than 1 year ago)
05 Within the past 5 years (1 year but less than 5 years ago)
06 Within the past 10 years ( 5 years but less than 10 years ago)
$07 \quad 10$ years or more
08 Never smoked regularly
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
11.5 Do you currently use chewing tobacco, snuff, or snus every day, some days, or not at all?

1 Every day
2 Some days
3 Not at all
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Alcohol Consumption

12.1 During the past 30 days, how many days per week or per month did you have at least one drink of any alcoholic beverage such as beer, wine, a malt beverage, or liquor?

1 __ Days per week
$2^{--}$Days in past 30 days
No drinks in past 30 days [Go to next section]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to next section]
Refused
[Go to next section]
12.2 One drink is equivalent to a 12-ounce beer, a 5 -ounce glass of wine, or a drink with one shot of liquor. During the past 30 days, on the days when you drank, about how many drinks did you drink on the average?
_ _ Number of drinks
Dōn't know / Not sure
None
Refused
12.3 Considering all types of alcoholic beverages, how many times during the past 30 days did you have $X[X=5$ for men, $X=4$ for women] or more drinks on an occasion?
_ _ Number of times
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
12.4 During the past 30 days, what is the largest number of drinks you had on any occasion?
_ _ Number of drinks
Dōn't know / Not sure
Refused

## Immunization

13.1 During the past 12 months, have you had either a flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose or a flu shot injected into your arm?

1 Yes
2 No
[Go to Q13.3]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q13.3]
Refused
[Go to Q13.3]
13.2 During what month and year did you receive your most recent flu vaccine that was sprayed in your nose or flu shot injected into your arm?

```
__I___ Month / Year
Dōn't know / Not sure
Refused
```

13.3 Have you received a tetanus shot in the past 10 years?

If yes, ask: Was this Tdap, the tetanus shot that also has pertussis or whooping cough vaccine?
1 Yes, received Tdap
2 Yes, received tetanus shot, but not Tdap
3 Yes, received tetanus shot but not sure what type
4 No, did not receive any tetanus shot in the past 10 years
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
13.4 Have you ever had a pneumonia shot also known as a pneumococcal vaccine?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Falls

14.1 In the past 12 months, how many times have you fallen? Read if necessary: By a fall, we mean when a person unintentionally comes to rest on the ground or another lower level.
_ _Number of times
None [Go to next section]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to next section]
Refused [Go to next section]
14.2 How many of these falls caused an injury that limited your regular activities for at least a day or caused you to go to see a doctor? Read if necessary: By an injury, we mean the fall caused you to limit your regular activities for at least a day or caused you to go to see a doctor.
_ _Number of falls
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Seat Belt Use and Drinking and Driving

15.1 How often do you use seat belts when you drive or ride in a car? Would you say-

1 Always
2 Nearly always
3 Sometimes
4 Seldom
5 Never
Don't know / Not sure
Never drive or ride in a car [Go to next section]
Refused
15.2 During the past 30 days, how many times have you driven when you've had perhaps too much to drink?
_ _ Number of times
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening

Note: If Male, Go to Next Section
The next questions are about breast and cervical cancer.
16.1 Have you ever had a mammogram? Note: A mammogram is an x-ray of each breast to look for breast cancer.

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q16.3]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q16.3]
Refused
[Go to Q16.3]
16.2 How long has it been since you had your last mammogram?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
16.3 Have you ever had a Pap test? Note: A Pap test is a test for cancer of the cervix.
1 Yes

2 No [Go to Q16.5]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q16.5]
Refused
[Go to Q16.5]
16.4 How long has it been since you had your last Pap test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
16.5 An H.P.V. test is sometimes given with the Pap test for cervical cancer screening. Have you ever had an H.P.V. test? Note: Human Papillomavirus (pap-ul-loh-muh-virus)

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q16.7]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q16.7]
Refused
[Go to Q16.7]
16.6 How long has it been since you had your last H.P.V. test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
$3 \quad$ Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
If response to Q9.17= 1 (is pregnant); then go to next section.
16.7 Have you had a hysterectomy? Read if necessary: A hysterectomy is an operation to remove the uterus (womb).

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Prostate Cancer Screening

Note: If respondent is $\leq 39$ years of age, or female, go to next section.
17.1 Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER talked with you about the advantages of the Prostate-Specific Antigen or P.S.A. test? Read if necessary: A Prostate-Specific Antigen test, also called a PSA test, is a blood test used to check men for prostate cancer.
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Yes } \\ 2 & \text { No }\end{array}$
Don't Know / Not sure
Refused
17.2 Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever talked with you about the disadvantages of the P.S.A. test?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't Know / Not sure
Refused
17.3 Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever recommended that you have a P.S.A. test?

1 Yes
Don't Know / Not sure
Refused
17.4 Have you ever had a P.S.A. test?

1 Yes
2 No
[Go to next section]
Don't Know / Not sure [Go to next section]
Refused
[Go to next section]
17.5 How long has it been since you had your last P.S.A. test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
17.6 What was the main reason you had this P.S.A. test - was it ...?

1 Part of a routine exam
2 Because of a prostate problem
3 Because of a family history of prostate cancer
4 Because you were told you had prostate cancer
5 Some other reason
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Colorectal Cancer Screening

Note: If respondent is less than 45 years of age, go to next section.
The next questions are about the five different types of tests for colorectal cancer screening.
18.1 A colonoscopy checks the entire colon. You are usually given medication through a needle in your arm to make you sleepy and told to have someone else drive you home after the test. Have you ever had a colonoscopy?

Note: Do not include a virtual colonoscopy, where your colon is filled with air and you are moved through a donut shaped X-ray machine as you lie on your back and then on your stomach.

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q18.3]
Don't Know / Not sure [Go to Q18.3]
Refused
[Go to Q18.3]
18.2 How long has it been since you had this test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 5 years ( 2 years but less than 5 years ago)
4 Within the past 10 years ( 5 years but less than 10 years ago)
$5 \quad 10$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
18.3 A sigmoidoscopy checks part of the colon and you are fully awake. Have you ever had a sigmoidoscopy?

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q18.5]
Don't Know / Not sure [Go to Q18.5]
Refused
[Go to Q18.5]
18.4 How long has it been since you had this test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 5 years ( 2 years but less than 5 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 10 years ( 5 years but less than 10 years ago)
510 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
18.5 Another test uses a special kit to obtain a small amount of stool at home to determine whether the stool contains blood and returns the kit to the doctor or the lab. Have you ever had this test using a home kit?

Note: This is also called a fecal immunochemical test or F.I.T. or a guaiac-based fecal occult blood test also known as gFOBT. The FIT test uses antibodies to detect blood in the stool. The gFOBT uses a chemical called guaiac to detect blood in the stool.
1 Yes
[Go to Q18.7]
Don't Know / Not sure [Go to Q18.7]
Refused
[Go to Q18.7]
18.6 How long has it been since you had this test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
55 or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
18.7 Another test uses a special kit to obtain an entire bowel movement at home and returns the kit to a lab. Have you ever had this test?

Note: This is also called a FIT-DNA test, a stool DNA test, or a Cologuard test. This test combined the FIT with a test that detects altered DNA in the stool.

1 Yes
2 No [Go to Q18.9]
Don't Know / Not sure [Go to Q18.9]
Refused
[Go to Q18.9]
18.8 How long has it been since you had this test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
18.9 For a virtual colonoscopy, your colon is filled with air and you are moved through a donut shaped X-ray machine as you lie on your back and then on your stomach. Have you ever had a virtual colonoscopy?

Note: Unlike a regular colonoscopy, you do not need medication to make you sleepy during the test.

| 1 | Yes |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | No | [Go to next section] |
| Don't Know / Not sure | [Go to next section] |  |
| Refused | [Go to next section] |  |

18.10 How long has it been since you had this test?

1 Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago)
2 Within the past 2 years ( 1 year but less than 2 years ago)
3 Within the past 3 years ( 2 years but less than 3 years ago)
$4 \quad$ Within the past 5 years ( 3 years but less than 5 years ago)
$5 \quad 5$ or more years ago
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## HIVIAIDS

The next few questions are about the national health problem of HIV, the virus that causes AIDS. Please remember that your answers are strictly confidential and that you don't have to answer every question if you do not want to. Although we will ask you about testing, we will not ask you about the results of any test you may have had.
19.1 Including fluid testing from your mouth, but not including tests you may have had for blood donation, have you ever been tested for HIV?
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { Yes } \\ 2 & \text { No }\end{array}$
[Go to Q19.3]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to Q19.3]
Refused
[Go to Q19.3]
19.2 Not including blood donations, in what month and year was your last HIV test?
_- l_-_ Code month and year
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
I am going to read you a list. When I am done, please tell me if any of the situations apply to you. You do not need to tell me which one.

You have injected any drug other than those prescribed for you in the past year.
You have been treated for a sexually transmitted disease or STD in the past year.
You have given or received money or drugs in exchange for sex in the past year.
You had anal sex without a condom in the past year.
You had four or more sex partners in the past year.
19.3 Do any of these situations apply to you?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## E-Cigarettes

20.1 Have you ever used an e-cigarette or other electronic vaping product, even just one time, in your entire life?

1 Yes
2 No [Go to next module]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to next module]
Refused [Go to next module]
Read if necessary: Electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) and other electronic "vaping" products include electronic hookahs (e-hookahs), vape pens, e-cigars, and others. These products are battery-powered and usually contain nicotine and flavors such as fruit, mint, or candy.

Note: These questions concern electronic vaping products for nicotine use. The use of electronic vaping products for marijuana use is not included in these questions. E-cigarettes may also be known as JUUL, Vuse, Suorin, MarkTen, and blu.
20.2 Do you now use e-cigarettes or other electronic vaping products every day, some days, or not at all? Note: These questions concern electronic vaping products for nicotine use. The use of electronic vaping products for marijuana use is not included in these questions.

1 Every day
2 Some days
3 Not at all
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Lung Cancer Screening

Note: [If Q11.1=1 (yes) and Q11.2 = 1, 2, or 3 (every day, some days, or not at all) continue, otherwise go to question Q21.4]
21.1 You've told us that you have smoked in the past or are currently smoking. The next questions are about screening for lung cancer. How old were you when you first started to smoke cigarettes regularly?

Note: Regularly is at least one cigarette or more on days that a respondent smokes (either every day or some days) or smoked (not at all). If respondent indicated age inconsistent with previously entered age, verify that this is the correct answer and change the age of the respondent regularly smoking or make a note to correct the age of the respondent.

> ___Age in years

Don't know / Not sure
Never smoked cigarettes regularly [Go to Q21.4]
Refused
21.2 How old were you when you last smoked cigarettes regularly?
_ _ _Age in years
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
21.3 On average, when you [smoke/smoked] regularly, about how many cigarettes [do/did] you usually smoke each day? Note: Regularly is at least one cigarette or more on days that a respondent smokes (either every day or some days) or smoked (not at all).

Respondents may answer in packs instead of number of cigarettes. Below is a conversion table:
0.5 pack $=10$ cigarettes
0.75 pack $=15$ cigarettes

1 pack = 20 cigarettes
1.25 pack $=25$ cigarettes
1.5 pack $=30$ cigarettes
1.75 pack $=35$ cigarettes

2 packs = 40 cigarettes
2.5 packs $=50$ cigarettes

3 packs $=60$ cigarettes
_Number of cigarettes
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
21.4 The next question is about CT or CAT scans. During this test, you lie flat on a table. While you hold your breath, the table moves through a donut shaped $x$-ray machine while the scan is done. In the last 12 months, did you have a CT or CAT scan?

1 Yes, to check for lung cancer
2 No (did not have a CT scan)
3 Had a CT scan, but for some other reason
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Cancer Survivorship

Note: If Q6.6 or Q6.7 = 1 (Yes) or Q17.6 = 4 (Because you were told you had prostate cancer) continue, otherwise go to next module

You've told us that you have had cancer. I would like to ask you a few more questions about your cancer.
22.1 How many different types of cancer have you had?

1 Only one
2 Two
3 Three or more
Don't know / Not sure [Go To Next Section]
Refused [Go To Next Section]
22.2 At what age were you told that you had cancer?

If Q23.1 $=2$ or 3 ask: At what age were you first diagnosed with cancer?
_ _ Age in Years
Dōn't know/Not sure
Refused

### 22.3 What type of cancer was it?

If Q22.1 = 2 or 3 ask: With your most recent diagnosis of cancer, what type of cancer was it?
If Q6.7 = 1 (Yes) and Q22.1 = 1 (Only one): ask Was it Melanoma or other skin cancer? then code Q22.3 as a response of 21 if Melanoma or 22 if other skin cancer

Note: If Q17.6 = 4 (Because you were told you had Prostate Cancer) and Q22.1 = 1 (Only one) then code Q22.3 as a response of 19.

Note: If respondent says skin cancer, ask: Was it melanoma or another skin cancer?
Note: Please read list only if respondent needs prompting for cancer type

## Breast

01 Breast cancer
Female reproductive (Gynecologic)
02 Cervical cancer (cancer of the cervix)
03 Endometrial cancer (cancer of the uterus)
04 Ovarian cancer (cancer of the ovary)

## Head/Neck

05 Head and neck cancer
06 Oral cancer
07 Pharyngeal (throat) cancer
08 Thyroid
09 larynx
Gastrointestinal
10 Colon (intestine) cancer
11 Esophageal (esophagus)
12 Liver cancer
13 Pancreatic (pancreas) cancer
14 Rectal (rectum) cancer
15 Stomach
Leukemia/Lymphoma (lymph nodes and bone marrow)
16 Hodgkin's Lymphoma (Hodgkin's disease)
17 Leukemia (blood) cancer
18 Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma
Male reproductive
19 Prostate cancer
20 Testicular cancer
Skin
21 Melanoma
22 Other skin cancer
Thoracic
23 Heart
24 Lung
Urinary cancer:
25 Bladder cancer
26 Renal (kidney) cancer
Others
27 Bone
28 Brain
29 Neuroblastoma
30 OtherDon't know / Not sureRefused

## Cancer Treatment

Note: If Q6.6 or Q6.7 = 1 (Yes) or Q17.6 = 4 (Because you were told you had prostate cancer) continue, otherwise go to next module
23.1 Are you currently receiving treatment for cancer? Read if necessary: By treatment, we mean surgery, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, or chemotherapy pills.

| 1 | Yes | [Go To Next Section] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No, l've completed treatment [Continue] |  |
| 3 | No, l've refused treatment | [Go To Next Section] |
| 4 | No, I haven't started treatment [Go To Next Section] |  |
| 5 | Treatment was not necessary [Go To Next Section] |  |
| Don't know / Not sure |  |  |
| Refused | [Go To Next Section] |  |
| [Go To Next Section] |  |  |

23.2 What type of doctor provides the majority of your health care? Is it a...

01 Cancer Surgeon
02 Family Practitioner
03 General Surgeon
04 Gynecologic Oncologist
05 General Practitioner, Internist
06 Plastic Surgeon, Reconstructive Surgeon
07 Medical Oncologist
08 Radiation Oncologist
09 Urologist
10 Other
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

Note: If the respondent requests clarification of this question, say: "We want to know which type of doctor you see most often for illness or regular health care (Examples: annual exams and/or physicals, treatment of colds, etc.)."

Read if necessary: An oncologist is a medical doctor who manages a person's care and treatment after a cancer diagnosis.
23.3 Did any doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever give you a written summary of all the cancer treatments that you received?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused

Read if necessary: By 'other healthcare professional', we mean a nurse practitioner, a physician’s assistant, social worker, or some other licensed professional.
23.4 Have you ever received instructions from a doctor, nurse, or other health professional about where you should return or who you should see for routine cancer check-ups after completing your treatment for cancer?

1 Yes
2 No [Go To Q23.6]
Don't know/ not sure [Go To Q23.6]
Refused [Go To Q23.6]
23.5 Were these instructions written down or printed on paper for you?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused
23.6 With your most recent diagnosis of cancer, did you have health insurance that paid for all or part of your cancer treatment?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused
Read if necessary: Health insurance also includes Medicare, Medicaid, or other types of state health programs.
23.7 Were you ever denied health insurance or life insurance coverage because of your cancer?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused
23.8 Did you participate in a clinical trial as part of your cancer treatment?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know/ not sure
Refused

## Random Child Selection

I have some additional questions about one specific child. The child I will be referring to is the Xth [please fill in correct number] child in your household. All following questions about children will be about the Xth [please fill in] child.
24.1 What is the birth month and year of the Xth child?
$-1=--\quad$ Code month and year
Don't know / Not sure

Refused
24.2 Is the child a boy or a girl?

1 Boy
2 Girl
Refused
24.3 Is the child Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin? If yes, ask: Are they...

1 Mexican, Mexican American, Chicano/a
2 Puerto Rican
3 Cuban
4 Another Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin
5 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
24.4 Which one or more of the following would you say is the race of the child?

Note: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategories underneath major heading.

10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
$47 \quad$ Other Asian
$50 \quad$ Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
60 Other

No additional choices
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
24.5 Which one of these groups would you say best represents the child's race?

Note: If 40 (Asian) or 50 (Pacific Islander) is selected read and code subcategories underneath major heading.

10 White
20 Black or African American
30 American Indian or Alaska Native
40 Asian
41 Asian Indian
42 Chinese
43 Filipino
44 Japanese
45 Korean
46 Vietnamese
$47 \quad$ Other Asian
50 Pacific Islander
51 Native Hawaiian
52 Guamanian or Chamorro
53 Samoan
54 Other Pacific Islander
60 Other
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
24.6 How are you related to the child? Are you a...

1 Parent (include biologic, step, or adoptive parent)
2 Grandparent
3 Foster parent or guardian
4 Sibling (include biologic, step, and adoptive sibling)
5 Other relative
6 Not related in any way
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## State-Added Questions

## Health Care Coverage

If "1" to Q. 3.1, continue. Otherwise go to SD02.
SD01 Earlier you indicated that you have health care coverage. What type of coverage pays for most of your medical care? Is it coverage through:

01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service
08 Some other source
None
Don't know/Not sure
Refused
SD02 Earlier you indicated that you did not have any type of health care coverage, but there are some types of coverage you may not have considered. Please tell me if you have any of the following:

01 Your employer
02 Someone else's employer
03 A plan that you or someone else buys on your own
04 Medicare
05 Medicaid or Medical Assistance
06 The military, CHAMPUS, TriCare, or the VA
07 The Indian Health Service
08 Some other source
None
Don't know/Not sure
Refused

## Tobacco

This question includes the use of combustibles, like cigarettes and cigars, smokeless tobacco, electronic cigarettes, and vaping products.

Note: If respondent had a routine checkup in the past year AND they smoke everyday or some days, or use chewing tobacco or snuff every day or some days, or use E-cigarettes every day or some days, continue. Otherwise go to SD04.

SD03 In the past 12 months, has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional advised you to quit using tobacco?
$1 \quad$ Yes
$2 \quad$ No
Don't know/Not sure
Refused

Note: If respondent is employed for wages or self-employed, continue. Otherwise, go to SD06
SD04 While working at your job, are you indoors most of the time?

| 1 | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No |

[Go to SD06]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to SD06]
Refused [Go to SD06]
SD05 Which of the following best describes your place of work's official smoking policy for work areas?

1 Not allowed in any work areas
2 Allowed in some work areas
3 Allowed in all work areas
4 No official policy
SD06 Which statement best describes the rules about smoking inside your home? Do not include decks, garages, or porches or the use of electronic cigarettes or vaping products inside the home.

1 Smoking is not allowed anywhere inside your home [Go to SD08]
2 Smoking is allowed in some places or at some times
3 Smoking is allowed anywhere inside your home
4 There are no rules about smoking inside your home
Don't know/not sure [Go to SD08]
Refused
[Go to SD08]
SD07 On how many of the past 7 days did someone smoke a combustible tobacco product, like a cigarette or cigar, in your home while you were there?
_ _ Number of days
Not at home in the past 7 days
None
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Colorectal Cancer Screening

Note: If respondent is $<45$ years of age, go to next section.
SD08 Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional ever recommended that you be tested for colorectal or colon cancer?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't Know/not sure
Refused

## Sun Exposure

SD09 When you are outside for more than one hour on a sunny day, how often do you wear sunblock or sunscreen with an SPF of 15 or higher?

1 Always
2 Nearly Always
3 Sometimes
4 Seldom
5 Never
6 Don't stay out for more than an hour
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Opioid Use

SD10 In the past 12 months, have you taken a prescription pain medication such as OxyContin, Percocet, Vicodin, Tramadol, or Fentanyl?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Hepatitis C Testing

SD11
Have you ever been tested for Hepatitis C? Note: If respondent is hesitant or unsure, please do not push for yes or no response. Instead code 7 = don't know/not sure

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Children's Health Insurance

Note: If the total number of children \{ages 0-17) is equal to or greater than 1 continue. Otherwise go to ACE module.

SD12 I'm now going to ask you some more questions about the child in the household [Note: Insert "that we talked about earlier" if total number of children is greater than one]. Does this child have health coverage?

1 Yes [Go to SD13]
2 No [Go to SD14]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to SD15]
Refused
[Go to SD15]
SD13 What type of health coverage do you use to pay for most of this child's medical care?
Note: Military coverage includes CHAMPUS, TriCare, and/or the VA
Note: Indian Health Service is also known as IHS
01 Your employer or someone else's employer
02 A plan you or someone else buys on your own
03 Medicaid, or CHIP

05 The Military
06 The Indian Health Service
07 Some other source
None
Don't know/not sure
Refused
SD14 There are some types of coverage you may not have considered. Please tell me if this child is covered by any of the following:
Note: Military coverage includes CHAMPUS, TriCare, and/or the VA
Note: Indian Health Service is also known as IHS
01 Your employer or someone else's employer
02 A plan you or someone else buys on your own
03 Medicaid, or CHIP
04 The Military
05 The Indian Health Service
06 Some other source
None
Don't know/not sure
Refused

## Children's Oral Health

Note: If child's age is greater than or equal to 6 in continue. Otherwise go to ACE Module.
SD15 In the past 12 months, has this child visited the dentist or a dental clinic for a routine check-up, exam, or teeth cleaning?

1 Yes [Go to SD17]
2 No [Go to SD16]
Don't know / Not sure [Go to SD17]
Refused
[Go to SD17]
SD16 What is the main reason this child has not visited the dentist or a dental clinic for routine dental care in the past 12 months?

```
1 Fear
2 Cost
3 Do not have/know a dentist
4 Cannot get to the office/clinic (too far away, no transportation, no
    appointments available)
    5 No reason to go (no problems, no teeth)
    6 Other priorities
    7 Have not thought of it
    8 Other Specify
    Don't Know/not sure
    Refused
```

SD18 In the past 12 months, did this child have a toothache, not caused by injury or trauma, on more than one occasion?

| 1 | Yes | [Continue to SD19] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No | [Go To SD21] |

-No [Go To SD21]
Don't know / Not sure [Go To SD21]
Refused
[Go To SD21]
SD19 In the past 12 months, how many times did this toothache cause the child to miss school?
$\overline{\text { None }}$
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD20 In the past 12 months, how many times did this child visit the hospital emergency room because of this toothache?
_ _Number of days
None
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

## Adverse Childhood Experiences

I'd like to ask you some questions about events that happened during your childhood. This is a sensitive topic and some people may feel uncomfortable with these questions. At the end of this section, I will give you a phone number for an organization that can provide information and referral for these issues. Please keep in mind that you can ask me to skip any question you do not want to answer. All questions refer to the time period before you were 18 years of age. Now, looking back before you were 18 years of age---.

SD21 Did you live with anyone who was depressed, mentally ill, or suicidal?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD22
Did you live with anyone who was a problem drinker or alcoholic?
1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused

SD23 Did you live with anyone who used illegal street drugs or who abused prescription medications?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD24 Did you live with anyone who served time or was sentenced to serve time in a prison, jail, or other correctional facility?

1 Yes
2 No
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD25 Were your parents separated or divorced?
1 Yes
2 No
8 Parents not married
Don't know / Not sure
Refused
SD26 How often did your parents or adults in your home ever slap, hit, kick, punch or beat each other up? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused

SD27 Not including spanking, (before age 18), how often did a parent or adult in your home ever hit, beat, kick, or physically hurt you in any way? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused

SD28
How often did a parent or adult in your home ever swear at you, insult you, or put you down? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused

SD29 How often did anyone at least 5 years older than you or an adult, ever touch you sexually? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused

SD30 How often did anyone at least 5 years older than you or an adult, try to make you touch them sexually? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused
SD31 How often did anyone at least 5 years older than you or an adult, force you to have sex? Was it...

1 Never
2 Once
3 More than once
Don't know/Not Sure
Refused

## ACES Closing Statement:

We understand that answering questions about past sexual abuse may bring up emotions that some people will wish to discuss. The Rape, Abuse, \& Incest National Network, (abbreviated R-A-I-N-N) is the country's largest anti-sexual violence organization. If you would like to speak with one of this organization's trained professionals, please call 800-656-HOPE (4673) or visit hotline.rainn.org. Would you like me to repeat this information?

## Closing Statement

That was my last question. Everyone's answers will be combined to help us provide information about the health practices of people in this state. Thank you very much for your time and cooperation.
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